

In addition, all tires that lack any of the prefixes or suffixes listed above in their sidewall markings are included in the scope, regardless of their intended use, as long as the tire is of a size that is among the numerical size designations listed in the following sections of the Tire and Rim Association Year Book, as updated annually, unless the tire falls within one of the specific exclusions set forth below. The sections of the Tire and Rim Association Year Book listing numerical size designations of covered certain off road tires include:

The table of mining and logging tires included in the section on Truck-Bus tires;

The entire section on Off-the-Road tires;

The entire section on Agricultural tires; and

The following tables in the section on Industrial/ATV/Special Trailer tires:

- Industrial, Mining, Counterbalanced Lift Truck (Smooth Floors Only);
- Industrial and Mining (Other than Smooth Floors);

- Construction Equipment;
- Off-the-Road and Counterbalanced Lift Truck (Smooth Floors Only);

- Aerial Lift and Mobile Crane; and
- Utility Vehicle and Lawn and Garden Tractor.

Certain off road tires, whether or not mounted on wheels or rims, are included in the scope. However, if a subject tire is imported mounted on a wheel or rim, only the tire is covered by the scope. Subject merchandise includes certain off road tires produced in the subject countries whether mounted on wheels or rims in a subject country or in a third country. Certain off road tires are covered whether or not they are accompanied by other parts, *e.g.*, a wheel, rim, axle parts, bolts, nuts, etc. Certain off road tires that enter attached to a vehicle are not covered by the scope.

Specifically excluded from the scope are passenger vehicle and light truck tires, racing tires, mobile home tires, motorcycle tires, all-terrain vehicle tires, bicycle tires, on-road or on-highway trailer tires, and truck and bus tires. Such tires generally have in common that the symbol “DOT” must appear on the sidewall, certifying that the tire conforms to applicable motor vehicle safety standards. Such excluded tires may also have the following prefixes and suffixes included as part of the size designation on their sidewalls:

Prefix letter designations:

AT—Identifies a tire intended for service on All-Terrain Vehicles;

P—Identifies a tire intended primarily for service on passenger cars;

LT—Identifies a tire intended primarily for service on light trucks;

T—Identifies a tire intended for one-position “temporary use” as a spare only; and

ST—Identifies a special tire for trailers in highway service.

Suffix letter designations:

TR—Identifies a tire for service on trucks, buses, and other vehicles with rims having specified rim diameter of nominal plus 0.156” or plus 0.250”;

MH—Identifies tires for Mobile Homes;

HC—Identifies a heavy duty tire designated for use on “HC” 15” tapered rims used on

trucks, buses, and other vehicles. This suffix is intended to differentiate among tires for light trucks, and other vehicles or other services, which use a similar designation.

Example: 8R17.5 LT, 8R17.5 HC;

LT—Identifies light truck tires for service on trucks, buses, trailers, and multipurpose passenger vehicles used in nominal highway service;

ST—Special tires for trailers in highway service; and

M/C—Identifies tires and rims for motorcycles.

The following types of tires are also excluded from the scope: Pneumatic tires that are not new, including recycled or retreaded tires and used tires; non-pneumatic tires, including solid rubber tires; aircraft tires; and turf, lawn and garden, and golf tires. Also excluded from the scope are mining and construction tires that have a rim diameter equal to or exceeding 39 inches. Such tires may be distinguished from other tires of similar size by the number of plies that the construction and mining tires contain (minimum of 16) and the weight of such tires (minimum 1500 pounds).

The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 4011.20.1025, 4011.20.1035, 4011.20.5030, 4011.20.5050, 4011.61.0000, 4011.62.0000, 4011.63.0000, 4011.69.0050, 4011.92.0000, 4011.93.4000, 4011.93.8000, 4011.94.4000, 4011.94.8000, 8431.49.9038, 8431.49.9090, 8709.90.0020, and 8716.90.1020. Tires meeting the scope description may also enter under the following HTSUS subheadings: 4011.99.4550, 4011.99.8550, 8424.90.9080, 8431.20.0000, 8431.39.0010, 8431.49.1090, 8431.49.9030, 8432.90.0005, 8432.90.0015, 8432.90.0030, 8432.90.0080, 8433.90.5010, 8503.00.9560, 8708.70.0500, 8708.70.2500, 8708.70.4530, 8716.90.5035 and 8716.90.5055. While HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the subject merchandise is dispositive.

Appendix II

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope Comments
- IV. Scope of the Investigation
- V. Critical Circumstances
- VI. Injury Test
- VII. Subsidies Valuation
- VIII. Loan Benchmark and Interest Rates
- IX. Analysis of Programs
- X. Calculation of All-Others Rate
- XI. ITC Notification
- XII. Disclosure and Public Comment
- XIII. Conclusion

[FR Doc. 2016–14537 Filed 6–17–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–979]

Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2013–2014

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On December 28, 2015, the Department of Commerce (the “Department”) published its preliminary results in the 2013–2014 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, whether or not assembled into modules (“solar cells”), from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”).¹ The period of review (“POR”) is December 1, 2013, through November 30, 2014. This administrative review covers two mandatory respondents: (1) The collapsed entity Yingli² and (2) the collapsed entity Trina.³ Based on our analysis of the

¹ See *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2013–2014*, 80 FR 80746 (December 28, 2015) (“*Preliminary Results*”), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (“PDM”).

² In these final results of review, the Department has continued to treat the mandatory respondent Yingli Energy (China) Company Limited and the following eight companies as a single entity: (1) Baoding Tianwei Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; (2) Tianjin Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; (3) Hengshui Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; (4) Lixian Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; (5) Baoding Jiaosheng Photovoltaic Technology Co., Ltd.; (6) Beijing Tianneng Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; (7) Hainan Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; (8) Shenzhen Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd. (collectively “Yingli”). See *Preliminary Results*, 80 FR at 80746, and PDM at 6–8; see also the December 18, 2015 memorandum from Jeff Pedersen International Trade Analyst, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV to Abdelali Elouaradia Director AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, concerning affiliation and single entity status.

³ In these final results of review, the Department has continued to treat the mandatory respondent Changzhou Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd. and Trina Solar (Changzhou) Science & Technology Co., Ltd. and the following four companies as a single entity: (1) Yancheng Trina Solar Energy Technology Co., Ltd.; (2) Changzhou Trina Solar Yabang Energy Co., Ltd.; (3) Turpan Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd.; (4) Hubei Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd. (collectively “Trina”). See *Preliminary Results*, 80 FR at 80746, and PDM; see also the December 18, 2015 memorandum from Thomas Martin International Trade Analyst, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV to Abdelali Elouaradia Director AD/CVD Operations, Office IV concerning affiliation and single entity status.

comments received, we made certain changes to our margin calculations for Yingli and Trina. The final dumping margins for this review are listed in the "Final Results" section below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeff Pedersen and Thomas Martin, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement & Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2769 or (202) 482-3936, respectively.

Background

On December 28, 2015, the Department published its *Preliminary Results* in this review. On January 27, 2016, SolarWorld Americas Inc. ("Petitioner"), Yingli, and Trina requested a hearing. On February 2, 2016, Petitioner, Yingli, and Trina submitted case briefs.⁴ On February 10, 2016, Petitioner, Yingli, and Trina submitted rebuttal briefs.⁵ On March 4, 2016, Yingli and Trina withdrew their requests for a hearing.⁶ On March 9, 2016, Petitioner withdrew its request for a hearing.⁷ Thus, there are no outstanding hearing requests. On January 27, 2016, the Department tolled all administrative deadlines as a result of the government closure due to Snowstorm "Jonas."⁸ Subsequently, the Department extended the deadline for the final results of this review until June 13, 2016.⁹

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order is crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, and modules, laminates, and panels, consisting of crystalline silicon

photovoltaic cells, whether or not partially or fully assembled into other products, including, but not limited to, modules, laminates, panels and building integrated materials.¹⁰ Merchandise covered by this review is classifiable under subheading 8501.61.0000, 8507.20.80, 8541.40.6020, 8541.40.6030, and 8501.31.8000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs filed by parties in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum,¹¹ which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues that parties raised, and to which we responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, follows as an appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("ACCESS"). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, and for the reasons explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, we made the following revisions to our preliminary calculations of the weighted-average dumping margins for Trina and Yingli:

Changes Specific to Trina

- Revised surrogate value choices for certain direct materials, material offsets, and movement expenses.
- Revised the imputed credit expense calculation.
- Revised the warranty expense calculation.
- Revised the calculation of domestic inland insurance and marine insurance expenses.

Changes Specific to Yingli

- Revised surrogate value choices for certain direct materials and movement expenses.
- Corrected a conversion error.

Final Determination of No Shipments

In the *Preliminary Results*, we found that Jiangsu Sunlink PV Technology Co., Ltd. and Shanghai JA Solar Technology Co., Ltd. each had no shipments during the POR.¹² We did not receive any comments concerning our finding of no shipments by these two companies. For these final results, the Department continues to find that Jiangsu Sunlink PV Technology Co., Ltd. and Shanghai JA Solar Technology Co., Ltd. did not have any reviewable transactions of subject merchandise during the POR.

Separate Rates

In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department determined that Trina, Yingli, and 15 other separate rate applicants ("separate rate respondents"),¹³ had demonstrated their eligibility for separate rates¹⁴ but determined to treat six other companies¹⁵ subject to this review as part of the PRC-wide entity because they did not establish their eligibility to receive a separate rate.¹⁶ Since the *Preliminary Results*, the Department has not received any comments that would warrant a review of our preliminary

⁴ See Letters to the Department from Petitioner, "Solar World Americas, Inc.'s Case Brief," Yingli "Yingli's Case Brief," and Trina "Trina's Case Brief," all dated February 2, 2016.

⁵ See Letters to the Department from Petitioner, "Solar World Americas, Inc.'s Rebuttal Brief," Yingli "Yingli's Rebuttal Brief," and Trina "Trina's Rebuttal Brief," all dated February 10, 2016.

⁶ See Letters to the Department from Yingli "Withdrawal of Yingli's Hearing Request," and Trina "Withdrawal of Hearing Request," both dated March 4, 2016.

⁷ See Letter to the Department from Petitioner, "Withdrawal of Request for Hearing," dated March 9, 2016.

⁸ See January 27, 2016, memorandum to the record from Ron Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, entitled "Tolling of Administrative Deadlines as a Result of the Government Closure during Snowstorm 'Jonas'."

⁹ See April 26, 2016 and May 26, 2016 memoranda from Jeff Pedersen, Senior International Trade Compliance Analyst, Office IV, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations to Christian Marsh Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations concerning extensions of the deadline for these final results of review.

¹⁰ For a complete description of the scope of the order, see Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2013-2014 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled into Modules, from the People's Republic of China," ("Issues and Decision Memorandum"), dated concurrently with this notice.

¹¹ See Issues and Decision Memorandum.

¹² See *Preliminary Results*, 80 FR at 80746, and PDM at 5-6.

¹³ These companies are: (1) Yingli; (2) Trina; (3) BYD (Shangluo) Industrial Co., Ltd.; (4) Dongguan Sunworth Solar Energy Co., Ltd.; (5) ERA Solar Co., Ltd.; (6) Jiangsu High Hope Int'l Group; (7) Ningbo Qixin Solar Electrical Appliance Co., Ltd.; (8) Shanghai BYD Co., Ltd.; (9) Shenzhen Glory Industries Co., Ltd. (10) Shenzhen Topray Solar Co., Ltd.; (11) Wuxi Suntech Power Co., Ltd./Luoyang Suntech Power Co., Ltd.; (12) Canadian Solar International Limited; (13) Canadian Solar Manufacturing (Changshu) Inc.; (14) Canadian Solar Manufacturing (Luoyang) Inc.; (15) ET Solar Energy Limited; (16) JA Solar Technology Yangzhou Co., Ltd.; and (17) JingAo Solar Co., Ltd.

¹⁴ See PDM at 10-12.

¹⁵ These companies are: (1) Canadian Solar Inc.; (2) ET Solar Industry Limited; (3) MS Solar Investments LLC; (4) Yingli Green Energy Americas, Inc.; (5) Yingli Green Energy Holding Co., Ltd.; and (6) Yingli Green Energy International Trading Company Limited.

¹⁶ See PDM at 10-15.

separate rate determinations regarding these companies. Therefore, we continue to find that these 15 separate rate respondents are eligible for a separate rate while the other six companies are not, and thus these six companies are part of the PRC-wide entity. The Department assigned a

weighted-average dumping margin to the separate rate companies that it did not individually examine, but which demonstrated their eligibility for a separate rate, based on the mandatory respondent's dumping margins as explained in the memorandum to the file regarding "Calculation of the Final

Dumping Margin for Separate Rate Recipients" dated concurrently with this notice.¹⁷

Final Results

We determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the POR:

Exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Yingli Energy (China) Company Limited/Baoding Tianwei Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd./Tianjin Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd./Hengshui Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd./Lixian Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd./Baoding Jiasheng Photovoltaic Technology Co., Ltd./Beijing Tianneng Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd./Hainan Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd./Shenzhen Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.	12.19
Changzhou Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd./Trina Solar (Changzhou) Science and Technology Co., Ltd./Yancheng Trina Solar Energy Technology Co., Ltd./Changzhou Trina Solar Yabang Energy Co., Ltd./Turpan Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd./Hubei Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd.	6.12
BYD (Shangluo) Industrial Co., Ltd.	8.52
Canadian Solar International Limited	8.52
Canadian Solar Manufacturing (Changshu) Inc.	8.52
Canadian Solar Manufacturing (Luoyang) Inc.	8.52
Dongguan Sunworth Solar Energy Co., Ltd.	8.52
ERA Solar Co., Ltd.	8.52
ET Solar Energy Limited	8.52
JA Solar Technology Yangzhou Co., Ltd.	8.52
Jiangsu High Hope Int'l Group	8.52
JingAo Solar Co., Ltd.	8.52
Ningbo Qixin Solar Electrical Appliance Co., Ltd.	8.52
Shanghai BYD Co., Ltd.	8.52
Shenzhen Glory Industries Co., Ltd.	8.52
Shenzhen Topray Solar Co., Ltd.	8.52
Wuxi Suntech Power Co., Ltd./Luoyang Suntech Power Co., Ltd.	8.52

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed for these final results of review within five days of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

The Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of these final results of this review. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we are calculating importer- (or customer-) specific assessment rates for the merchandise subject to this review. For any individually examined respondent whose weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis* (i.e., 0.50 percent), the Department will calculate importer- (or customer-) specific assessment rates for merchandise subject to this review.

Where the respondent reported reliable entered values, the Department calculated importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* rates by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to the importer- (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total entered value of the sales to the importer- (or customer).¹⁸ Where the Department calculated an importer- (or customer-) specific weighted-average dumping margin by dividing the total amount of dumping for reviewed sales to the importer- (or customer) by the total sales quantity associated with those transactions, the Department will direct CBP to assess importer- (or customer-) specific assessment rates based on the resulting per-unit rates.¹⁹ Where an importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* or per-unit rate is greater than *de minimis*, the Department will instruct CBP to collect the appropriate duties at the time of liquidation. Where either the respondent's weighted average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer (or customer-) specific *ad*

valorem or per-unit rate is zero or *de minimis*, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.²⁰

For merchandise that was not reported in the U.S. sales database submitted by an exporter individually examined during this review, but that entered under the case number of that exporter (i.e., at the individually-examined exporter's cash deposit rate), the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the PRC-wide rate. Additionally, if the Department determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case number will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.²¹

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse,

¹⁷ See Memorandum to the File, from Jeff Pedersen through Howard Smith, Program Manager, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, "Calculation of the Final Margin for Separate Rate Recipients," dated concurrently with this notice.

¹⁸ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

¹⁹ *Id.*

²⁰ See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 8103 (February 14, 2012).

²¹ See *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011), for a full discussion of this practice.

for consumption on or after the publication date of this notice in the **Federal Register**, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the exporters listed above, the cash deposit rate will be the rate listed for each exporter in the table in the “Final Results” section of this notice; (2) for previously investigated PRC and non-PRC exporters that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate previously established for the PRC-wide entity (*i.e.*, 238.95 percent);²² and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order (“APO”)

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing these final results of administrative review and publishing

this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: June 13, 2016.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—Issues and Decision Memorandum

Summary
Background
Scope of the Order

Discussion of the Issues

- Comment 1: Surrogate Country
- Comment 2: Conversion of the Market Economy Price for Wafers
- Comment 3: Valuation of “Unclassified Stores” of Polysilicon
- Comment 4: Valuation of Brokerage and Handling in Doing Business in Thailand
- Comment 5: Whether the Department should adjust the brokerage and handling SV used for Trina in the Preliminary Results
- Comment 6: Calculation of Surrogate Labor Value
- Comment 7: Surrogate Value for Aluminum Angle Keys
- Comment 8: Surrogate Value for Aluminum Frames
- Comment 9: Differential Pricing
- Comment 10: Valuing Tempered Glass
- Comment 11: Surrogate Value for Junction Boxes
- Comment 12: Financial Statements
- Comment 13: Surrogate Value for Semi-finished Polysilicon Ingots and Blocks
- Comment 14: Surrogate Value for Backsheets
- Comment 15: World Cup Sponsorship
- Comment 16: Data Source to use to Value Polysilicon and Wafers
- Comment 17: Calculation of Scrap for Waste Cells and Modules
- Comment 18: Whether the Department applied the correct surrogate value to Trina’s silver paste
- Comment 19: Whether the Department should apply partial AFA to Trina’s unreported factors of production for purchased solar cells
- Comment 20: Whether the Department erroneously valued certain overhead items as direct materials
- Comment 21: Whether the Department applied the correct surrogate value to nitrogen
- Comment 22: Whether the Department should not include import data with zero quantities in the average unit SV calculation
- Comment 23: Whether the Department should revise the SV for brokerage and handling
- Comment 24: Whether the Department should revise Trina’s credit expenses and inventory carrying costs
- Comment 25: Whether the Department should revise Trina’s warranty expenses when calculating CEP
- Comment 26: Whether the Department should revise Trina’s insurance expenses

[FR Doc. 2016–14532 Filed 6–17–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–580–809]

Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On December 8, 2015, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the *Preliminary Results* of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe (CWP) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) for the period November 1, 2013, through October 31, 2014.¹ The review covers three producers/exporters of the subject merchandise: Husteel Co., Ltd. (Husteel), Hyundai HYSCO (HYSCO), and SeAH Steel Corporation (SeAH). For these final results, we continue to find that Husteel and HYSCO sold subject merchandise at below normal value. We also determine that SeAH did not make sales of subject merchandise at below normal value.

DATES: *Effective Date:* June 20, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph Shuler, Jennifer Meek, or Lana Nigro, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–1293, (202) 482–2778, or (202) 482–1779, respectively.

Background

Following the *Preliminary Results*, the Department sent a supplemental questionnaire to SeAH and received a timely response.²

On January 4 and January 20, 2016, the Department extended the briefing schedule.³ On April 5, 2016, the

¹ See *Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014*, 80 FR 76267 (December 8, 2015) (*Preliminary Results*) and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² See Letter to SeAH, “Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Circular Welding Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from the Republic of Korea: Supplemental Questionnaire,” (December 18, 2015); see also Letter from SeAH, “Administrative Review of the Antidumping Order on Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Korea for the 2013–2014 Review Period—Response to December 18 Supplemental Questionnaire,” (December 28, 2015).

³ See Memorandum to the File, “Extension of the Briefing Schedule,” (January 4, 2016) and Memorandum to all interested parties, “Second Extension of the Briefing Schedule,” (January 20,

²² See *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2012–2013*, 80 FR 40998, 41002 (July 14, 2015).