Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., Room 3E– 405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: June 1, 2016.

#### Jerri Murray,

Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2016-13305 Filed 6-6-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-FY-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

# Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives

[OMB Number 1140-0055]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Identification of Explosive Materials

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** 60-day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF), will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until August 8, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If

you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Anita Scheddel, Program Analyst, Explosives Industry Programs Branch, 99 New York Ave. NE., Washington, DC 20226 at email: eipb-

information collection@atf.gov. Written comments and/or suggestions can also be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503 or sent to OIRA\_submissions@omb.eop.gov

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

• Évaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the

functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

# Overview of This Information Collection

- 1. Type of Information Collection (check justification or form 83–I): Revision of a currently approved collection.
- 2. The Title of the Form/Collection: Identification of Explosive Materials.
- 3. The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:

Form number (if applicable): None. Component: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, U.S. Department of Justice.

4. Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:

Primary: Business or other for-profit. Other (if applicable): None.

Abstract: Marking of explosives enables law enforcement entities to more effectively trace explosives from the manufacturer through the distribution chain to the end purchaser. This process is used as a tool in criminal enforcement activities.

- 5. An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: An estimated 2,205 respondents will take 3 seconds to respond.
- 6. An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: The estimated annual public burden associated with this collection is 956 hours.

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., Room 3E–405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: June 1, 2016.

#### Jerri Murray,

Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2016-13349 Filed 6-6-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-FY-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

### Notice of Lodging of Proposed Modification to Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act

On June 1, 2016, the United States
Department of Justice filed, on behalf of
the Environmental Protection Agency, a
proposed Modification to the 2002
Consent Decree in *United States and the*State of Maryland v. Mayor and the City
Council of Baltimore, Maryland, Civil
Action No. 1:02–CV–01524–JFM, with
the United States District Court for the
District of Maryland ("proposed
Modified Consent Decree.")

On September 30, 2002, the Court entered the 2002 Consent Decree between the parties resolving Plaintiffs' claims that the City of Baltimore violated the Clean Water Act (the "CWA"), 33 U.S.C. 1319(b) and (d), resulting from Baltimore's operation of its sewer system and wastewater control plant. Under the 2002 Consent Decree, Baltimore was required to eliminate any remaining combined sewers in the collections system, eliminate structures for sanitary sewer overflows (SSO), conduct thorough evaluations of the City's eight sewersheds, propose rehabilitation measures for each sewershed and implement such measures after approval. The 2002 Consent Decree provides for implementation completion by January 2016.

Baltimore did not meet the January 2016 deadline for all of the rehabilitation measures. This proposed Modified Consent Decree allows Baltimore more time to conduct the SSO work using a two-phased approach. Under the proposed Modified Consent Decree, the Phase I work is required to be completed by January 2021, and then Baltimore is required to submit a Phase II Plan for EPA approval by December 2022. The Phase II Plan must propose a schedule for work to be completed no later than December 2030. After the Phase II work Baltimore will conduct two years of post-implementation monitoring. If after the Phase II work is complete the Plaintiffs determine that additional work is necessary, they can require Baltimore to undertake additional remedial measures.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the

proposed Modified Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, *United States and State of Maryland v. Mayor and the City Council of Baltimore, Maryland,* Civil Action No. 1:02–CV–01524–JFM., D.J. Ref. No. DJ # 90–5–1–1–4402/1. All comments must be submitted no later than sixty (60) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments:	Send them to:
By email	pubcomment-ees.enrd@ usdoj.gov.
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the proposed Modified Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees. We will provide a paper copy of the proposed Modified Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$21.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

#### Robert Brook,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2016-13330 Filed 6-6-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

## Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act, Clean Water Act, and Emergency Planning and Community Right-To-Know Act

On June 1, 2016, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed consent decree with the United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island in the lawsuit entitled *United States* v. *Newport Biodiesel, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 1:16–cv–00242.

The United States filed this lawsuit under the Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act, and the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act. The United States' complaint seeks injunctive relief and civil penalties for

alleged violations at Newport Biodiesel, Inc.'s biodiesel manufacturing facility in Newport, Rhode Island. Alleged violations include Newport Biodiesel Inc.'s failure to comply with regulations that govern emissions of hazardous air pollutants (specifically methanol); failure to design and maintain a safe facility and take steps to prevent accidental releases; failure to prepare and implement a spill prevention control and countermeasure plan; and failure to file chemical inventory forms. The consent decree requires the defendant to perform injunctive relief and pay a \$396,000 civil penalty.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States* v. *Newport Biodiesel, Inc.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90–5–2–1–11301. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments:	Send them to:
By email	pubcomment-ees.enrd@ usdoj.gov.
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, D.C. 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the consent decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees. We will provide a paper copy of the consent decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$8.00 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

#### Robert E. Maher Jr.,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2016–13327 Filed 6–6–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

## **Employment and Training Administration**

## Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers by (TA–W) number issued during the period of *April 29, 2016 through May 20, 2016.* 

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for workers of a primary firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(a) of the Act must be met.

- I. Under Section 222(a)(2)(A), the following must be satisfied:
- (1) A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;
- (2) the sales or production, or both, of such firm have decreased absolutely; and
- (3) One of the following must be satisfied:
- (A) Imports of articles or services like or directly competitive with articles produced or services supplied by such firm have increased;
- (B) imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles into which one or more component parts produced by such firm are directly incorporated, have increased;
- (C) imports of articles directly incorporating one or more component parts produced outside the United States that are like or directly competitive with imports of articles incorporating one or more component parts produced by such firm have increased;
- (D) imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced directly using services supplied by such firm, have increased; and
- (4) the increase in imports contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation and to the decline in the sales or production of such firm; or
- II. Section 222(a)(2)(B) all of the following must be satisfied:
- (1) A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm have become totally or partially