

such a case, we will inform parties in the letter or memorandum setting forth the deadline (including a specified time) by which extension requests must be filed to be considered timely. An extension request must be made in a separate, stand-alone submission; under limited circumstances we will grant untimely-filed requests for the extension of time limits. Review *Extension of Time Limits; Final Rule*, 78 FR 57790 (September 20, 2013), available at <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2013-09-20/html/2013-22853.htm>, prior to submitting factual information in this investigation.

### Certification Requirements

Any party submitting factual information in an AD or CVD proceeding must certify to the accuracy and completeness of that information.<sup>29</sup> Parties are hereby reminded that revised certification requirements are in effect for company/government officials, as well as their representatives. Investigations initiated on the basis of petitions filed on or after August 16, 2013, and other segments of any AD or CVD proceedings initiated on or after August 16, 2013, should use the formats for the revised certifications provided at the end of the *Final Rule*.<sup>30</sup> The Department intends to reject factual submissions if the submitting party does not comply with the applicable revised certification requirements.

### Notification to Interested Parties

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. On January 22, 2008, the Department published *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Documents Submission Procedures; APO Procedures*, 73 FR 3634 (January 22, 2008). Parties wishing to participate in this investigation should ensure that they meet the requirements of these procedures (e.g., the filing of letters of appearance as discussed at 19 CFR 351.103(d)).

This notice is issued and published pursuant to sections 702 and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: April 20, 2016.

**Christian Marsh,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

### Appendix I—Scope of the Investigation

The merchandise covered by this investigation includes all grades of aqueous acidic (non-neutralized) concentrations of 1-hydroxyethylidene-1, 1-diphosphonic acid (HEDP), also referred to as hydroxyethylidenediphosphonic acid, hydroxyethanediphosphonic acid, acetodiphosphonic acid, and etidronic acid. The CAS (Chemical Abstract Service) registry number for HEDP is 2809–21–4.

The merchandise subject to this investigation is currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) at subheading 2931.90.9043. It may also enter under HTSUS subheadings 2811.19.6090 and 2931.90.9041. While HTSUS subheadings and the CAS registry number are provided for convenience and customs purposes only, the written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

[FR Doc. 2016–09882 Filed 4–27–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–570–896]

### Magnesium Metal From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014–2015

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On January 5, 2016, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on magnesium metal from the People's Republic of China (“PRC”) covering the period April 1, 2014, through March 31, 2015.<sup>1</sup> This review covers two PRC companies, Tianjin Magnesium International, Co., Ltd. (“TMI”) and Tianjin Magnesium Metal Co., Ltd. (“TMM”). The Department gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Results*, but we received no comments. Hence, these final results are unchanged from the *Preliminary Results*, and we continue to find that TMI and TMM did not have reviewable

entries during the period of review (“POR”).

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* April 28, 2016.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James Terpstra or Brendan Quinn, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3965 or (202) 482–5848, respectively.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Background

On January 5, 2016, the Department published the *Preliminary Results*.<sup>2</sup> We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*, but no comments were received. Also, as explained in the memorandum from the Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement & Compliance, the Department exercised its authority to toll all administrative deadlines due to the recent closure of the Federal Government.<sup>3</sup> As a consequence, all deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by four business days. The revised deadline for the final results is now May 10, 2016.

The Department conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”).

### Scope of the Order

The product covered by this antidumping duty order is magnesium metal from the PRC, which includes primary and secondary alloy magnesium metal, regardless of chemistry, raw material source, form, shape, or size. Magnesium is a metal or alloy containing by weight primarily the element magnesium. Primary magnesium is produced by decomposing raw materials into magnesium metal. Secondary magnesium is produced by recycling magnesium-based scrap into magnesium metal. The magnesium covered by this order includes blends of primary and secondary magnesium.

The subject merchandise includes the following alloy magnesium metal products made from primary and/or secondary magnesium including, without limitation, magnesium cast into ingots, slabs, rounds, billets, and other shapes; magnesium ground, chipped, crushed, or machined into rasping,

<sup>2</sup> *Id.*

<sup>3</sup> See Memorandum to the File from Ron Lorentzen, Acting A/S for Enforcement & Compliance, “Tolling of Administrative Deadlines As a Result of the Government Closure During Snowstorm Jonas,” dated January 27, 2016.

<sup>29</sup> See section 782(b) of the Act.

<sup>30</sup> See *Certification of Factual Information To Import Administration During Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 42678 (July 17, 2013) (“*Final Rule*”); see also frequently asked questions regarding the *Final Rule*, available at [http://enforcement.trade.gov/tlei/notices/factual\\_info\\_final\\_rule\\_FAQ\\_07172013.pdf](http://enforcement.trade.gov/tlei/notices/factual_info_final_rule_FAQ_07172013.pdf).

<sup>1</sup> See *Magnesium Metal From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014–2015*, 81 FR 220 (January 5, 2016) (“*Preliminary Results*”).

granules, turnings, chips, powder, briquettes, and other shapes; and products that contain 50 percent or greater, but less than 99.8 percent, magnesium, by weight, and that have been entered into the United States as conforming to an “ASTM Specification for Magnesium Alloy”<sup>4</sup> and are thus outside the scope of the existing antidumping orders on magnesium from the PRC (generally referred to as “alloy” magnesium).

The scope of this order excludes: (1) All forms of pure magnesium, including chemical combinations of magnesium and other material(s) in which the pure magnesium content is 50 percent or greater, but less than 99.8 percent, by weight, that do not conform to an “ASTM Specification for Magnesium Alloy”<sup>5</sup>; (2) magnesium that is in liquid or molten form; and (3) mixtures containing 90 percent or less magnesium in granular or powder form by weight and one or more of certain non-magnesium granular materials to make magnesium-based reagent mixtures, including lime, calcium metal, calcium silicon, calcium carbide, calcium carbonate, carbon, slag coagulants, fluorspar, nepheline syenite, feldspar, alumina (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), calcium aluminate, soda ash, hydrocarbons, graphite, coke, silicon, rare earth metals/mischmetal, cryolite, silica/fly ash, magnesium oxide, periclase, ferroalloys, dolomite lime, and colemanite.<sup>6</sup>

The merchandise subject to this order is classifiable under items 8104.19.00, and 8104.30.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”). Although the HTSUS items

are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.

### Final Determination of No Shipments

As explained, in the *Preliminary Results*, the Department found that TMI and TMM did not have reviewable entries during the POR.<sup>7</sup> Also in the *Preliminary Results*, the Department stated that consistent with its recently announced refinement to its assessment practice in non-market economy (“NME”) cases, it is appropriate not to rescind the review in part in this circumstance but, rather, to complete the review with respect to TMI and TMM and to issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of the review.<sup>8</sup>

After issuing the *Preliminary Results*, the Department received no comments from interested parties, nor has it received any information that would cause it to revisit its preliminary determination. Therefore, for these final results, the Department continues to find that TMI and TMM did not have any reviewable entries during the POR.

### Assessment Rates

The Department determined, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.<sup>9</sup> The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review.

Additionally, consistent with the Department’s refinement to its assessment practice in NME cases, because the Department determined that TMI and TMM had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR, any suspended entries that entered under TMI’s and TMM’s antidumping duty case number (i.e., at that exporter’s rate) will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.<sup>10</sup>

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of this notice of final

results of the administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For TMI and TMM, which claimed no shipments, the cash deposit rate will remain unchanged from the rate assigned to TMI and TMM in the most recently completed review of the companies; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters who are not under review in this segment of the proceeding but who have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate of 141.49 percent;<sup>11</sup> and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter(s) that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

### Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these final results and this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

<sup>4</sup> The meaning of this term is the same as that used by the American Society for Testing and Materials in its Annual Book for ASTM Standards: Volume 01.02 Aluminum and Magnesium Alloys.

<sup>5</sup> The material is already covered by existing antidumping orders. See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Pure Magnesium from the People’s Republic of China, the Russian Federation and Ukraine; Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Antidumping Duty Investigation of Pure Magnesium from the Russian Federation*, 60 FR 25691 (May 12, 1995); and *Antidumping Duty Order: Pure Magnesium in Granular Form from the People’s Republic of China*, 66 FR 57936 (November 19, 2001).

<sup>6</sup> This third exclusion for magnesium-based reagent mixtures is based on the exclusion for reagent mixtures in the 2000–2001 investigations of magnesium from China, Israel, and Russia. See *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Pure Magnesium From the People’s Republic of China*, 66 FR 49345 (September 27, 2001); *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Pure Magnesium From Israel*, 66 FR 49349 (September 27, 2001); *Final Determination of Sales at Not Less Than Fair Value: Pure Magnesium From the Russian Federation*, 66 FR 49347 (September 27, 2001). These mixtures are not magnesium alloys, because they are not combined in liquid form and cast into the same ingot.

<sup>7</sup> See *Preliminary Results*, 81 FR at 221.

<sup>8</sup> See *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011) (“*Assessment Practice Refinement*”) and the “Assessment Rates” section, below.

<sup>9</sup> See 19 CFR 351.212(b).

<sup>10</sup> See *Assessment Practice Refinement*, 76 FR 65694.

<sup>11</sup> See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Magnesium Metal From the People’s Republic of China*, 70 FR 19928 (April 15, 2005).

Dated: April 14, 2016.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2016-09884 Filed 4-27-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### **Opportunity for U.S. Companies To Submit Smart City Products, Services, and Capabilities for Showcasing as Export Listings in the Upcoming Smart Cities, Regions and Communities: Global Tools of Engagement**

**AGENCY:** U.S. Department of Commerce, International Trade Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice of Opportunity for Listing.

**SUMMARY:** Located within the U.S. Department of Commerce International Trade Administration, Global Markets (GM) promotes trade and investment. GM works to improve the global business environment and helps U.S. organizations compete abroad. In furtherance of GM's mission and the U.S. Department of Commerce's strategic goal of increasing trade and investment opportunities for U.S. companies globally, GM is offering a new for-fee service for U.S. exporters to be listed in an Export Listing Guide as part of a larger Smart Cities Resource Guide inventorying the various initiatives and programming related to Smart Cities within the U.S. Department of Commerce. The Export Listing Guide aims to showcase U.S. goods and services in the various sectors comprising Smart City urban development globally. For the purposes of the Export Listing Guide, 'Smart City' is a broad urban development term generally referring to urban planning and infrastructure development focused around the integration of multiple information and communications technology (ICT) solutions to better manage a city's municipal operations; and to provide real time citizen feedback for enhanced city governance. General domains of Smart City products and services can be categorized as: Energy & power; water & sanitation; information and communications technology; transportation; healthcare; design & planning; infrastructure financing; environmental protection/safety; and/or governance solutions. Please see **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for additional detail regarding submission requirements.

**DATES:** Submissions and payment must be received no later than 5:00 p.m. EDT on May 25, 2016 for publication in the 2016 edition. Please reference the 'Submissions Instructions' section for submission guidance.

**ADDRESSES:** Please submit showcase pages by email to Rachael Croft, International Trade Specialist, Global Markets, at [Rachael.Croft@trade.gov](mailto:Rachael.Croft@trade.gov) and Vinay Singh, Senior Advisor, Global Markets, at [Vinay.Singh@trade.gov](mailto:Vinay.Singh@trade.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rachael Croft, International Trade Specialist, Global Markets, U.S. Department of Commerce, Telephone: 202-482-3048 or Email: [Rachael.Croft@trade.gov](mailto:Rachael.Croft@trade.gov) or Vinay Vijay Singh, Senior Advisor, Global Markets, U.S. Department of Commerce, Telephone: 202-482-7948 or Email: [vinay.singh@trade.gov](mailto:vinay.singh@trade.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** U.S. industry is competitive across various infrastructure and technology sectors that contribute to global Smart City, Regional and Community development. The goal of the Export Listing Guide is to promote U.S. goods and services that can be exported to global cities as they urbanize within a broader U.S. Department of Commerce smart city resource guide.

The U.S. Department of Commerce will publish this smart city resource guide for distribution at relevant trade fairs and exhibitions globally. The U.S. Department of Commerce will also host a digital version of the Export Listing Guide.

#### **Criteria To Be Eligible for Listing**

(1) A U.S. Company must meet the eligibility requirements for Global Markets/U.S. & Foreign Commercial Service for-fee export assistance services, which requires that a company be a U.S. exporter that exports or seeks to export goods or services produced in the United States. To qualify as a U.S. exporter, the submitter must be: (a) A United States citizen; (b) a corporation, partnership or other association created under the laws of the United States or of any State; or (c) a foreign corporation, partnership, or other association, more than 95 percent of which is owned by persons described in (a) and (b) above. To qualify as a good or service produced in the United States, the good or service must be either of United States origin or have at least 51% U.S. content if not of United States origin.

(2) A U.S. Company submission should showcase currently available U.S. goods and services exportable and applicable to Smart City urban planning and infrastructure development with

export potential in the following sectors: Energy & power; water & sanitation; information and communications technology; transportation; healthcare; design & planning; infrastructure financing; environmental protection/safety; and/or governance solutions. Preference may be given to submissions focused on priority global market needs in the (1) energy & power; (2) water and sanitation; and (3) transportation smart sectors leveraging state of the art technologies.

(3) Provision of adequate information on the company's products and/or services.

In addition to the above criteria, in making selection decisions, GM will consider the diversity of the submissions to arrive at an Export Listing Guide that will (a) represent the diversity of business sectors applicable to smart cities, as well as a cross-section of small, medium, and large-sized firms; (b) represent multiple technologies, products, and services within each sector; and (c) include new exporters in addition to companies with technologies, products, and services already implemented in foreign markets.

**COST:** The cost of a showcase 8.5 x 11 inch page for a large firm, defined as a U.S. firm with more than 500 employees, is \$795 per single side page. The cost of a showcase 8.5 x 11 inch page for a small or medium-sized business, defined as a U.S. company with fewer than 500 employees, is \$395 per single side page. Large and small U.S. firms can submit a minimum of one single sided page and maximum two single sided pages of content priced respectively at \$795 and \$395 per page. These fees will cover the expenses of designing, printing and distributing the Export Listing Guide.

**SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS:** All interested firms should (1) first register using this link: <https://emenuapps.ita.doc.gov/ePublic/newRegistration.jsp?SmartCode=6S4B>; (2) After registering, a representative from Global Markets will contact you with a Participation Agreement that will need to be signed and returned to us by email. The Participation Agreement can be emailed to [Rachael.Croft@trade.gov](mailto:Rachael.Croft@trade.gov); and [Vinay.Singh@trade.gov](mailto:Vinay.Singh@trade.gov); (3) Please submit your showcase page(s) by email to [Rachael.Croft@trade.gov](mailto:Rachael.Croft@trade.gov); and [Vinay.Singh@trade.gov](mailto:Vinay.Singh@trade.gov); (4) Lastly, a representative from Global Markets will contact you to complete payment over the phone.

U.S. companies must follow the instructions outlined below to format their submissions.

The address and deadline for submissions are as stated above in this