Americans and food guidance system. Research supports the use of both incentives and the recognition of good work to promote positive behavior and performance. Therefore, the foundation of the HealthierUS School Challenge: Smarter Lunchrooms (HUSSC: SL) initiative is based on four levels of excellence in nutrition and physical activity. Team Nutrition schools that voluntarily submit applications for one of HUSSC: SL's four levels of excellence, and meet the HUSSC: SL criteria, receive an award plaque, banner, monetary incentive, and national and community recognition of their accomplishments.

This information collection will inform how the Food and Nutrition Service develops policy and technical assistance regarding the school nutrition environment. Collective feedback from the schools submitting application forms will inform FNS on what is actually being implemented at the local level. An assessment of the information

obtained from schools will help FNS to better target efforts to design sciencebased nutrient standards for school meals, develop training and nutrition education materials in support of Federal child nutrition programs, plan for program enhancements, and share descriptive information about best practices with other schools across the country; and will assist those schools in planning and implementing their own feasible, results-oriented practices. Ultimately, the information on the application forms will help FNS better meet the needs of its customers, strengthen the development of policy directed toward the administration's interest in eliminating childhood obesity and food insecurity, and enhance the health and nutritional status of the US population.

This application is currently approved under OMB Control No. 0584– 0524 Generic Clearance to Conduct Formative Research (which expires June 30, 2016). FNS is now seeking approval for this application in its own information collection.

*Affected Public:* State, Local, and Tribal Government. Respondent groups identified include school and school district representatives.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* FNS anticipates that 1,000 school or school district representatives will voluntarily submit HealthierUS School Challenge: Smarter Lunchrooms applications over a one year period (see chart).

*Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent:* The school or district representative will be asked to participate in completing one application form.

*Estimated Total Annual Responses:* 1,000.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 2 hours.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents:* 2,000 hours.

See the table below for estimated total annual burden for the respondents.

Respondent	Estimated Responses number of annually per respondents respondent		Total annual responses (Col. bxc)	Estimated average number of hours per response	Estimated total hours (Col. dxe)					
Reporting Burden										
School or School District Representative	1,000	1	1,000	2	2,000					
Total Reporting Burden	1,000		1,000		2,000					

Dated: March 8, 2016.

#### Audrey Rowe,

Administrator, Food and Nutrition Service. [FR Doc. 2016–05893 Filed 3–15–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–30–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

#### **Food and Nutrition Service**

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request—Successful Approaches To Reduce Sodium in School Meals

**AGENCY:** Food and Nutrition Service, United States Department of Agriculture (USDA).

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice invites the general public and other public agencies to comment on this proposed information collection. This collection is a new collection to study Successful Approaches to Reduce Sodium in School Meals.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before May 16, 2016.

ADDRESSES: Comments are invited on the following topics: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions that were used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques, and/or other forms of information technology.

Comments may be sent to Alice Ann Gola, Social Science Research Analyst, Special Nutrition Evaluation Branch, Office of Policy Support, USDA Food and Nutrition Service, 3101 Park Center Drive, Room 1014, Alexandria, VA 22302. Comments may also be submitted via email to *AliceAnn.Gola@ fns.usda.gov*. Comments will also be accepted through the Federal eRulemaking Portal. Go to *http:// www.regulations.gov* and follow the online instructions for submitting comments electronically.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval. All comments will be a matter of public record.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of this information collection should be directed to Alice Ann Gola at *AliceAnn.Gola@fns.usda.gov.* 

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* Successful Approaches to Reduce Sodium in School Meals. *Form Number:* N/A.

*OMB Number:* Not yet assigned. *Expiration Date:* Not yet determined. *Type of Request:* New collection.

*Abstract:* The National School Lunch Program (NSLP) and the School Breakfast Program (SBP) are federally assisted meal programs operating in almost 100,000 public schools, nonprofit private schools, and residential child-care institutions. Any child enrolled in a participating school may purchase a meal through the SBP and NSLP. Children from families with incomes at or below 130 percent of the poverty level are eligible for free meals. Children from families with incomes between 130 percent and 185 percent of poverty are eligible for reduced-price meals. School districts that participate in NSLP receive cash subsidies and commodities (USDA foods) from the USDA for each meal they serve. In return, they must serve meals that meet Federal requirements.

Federal regulations (7 CFR part 210.10) set nutritional and other meal requirements for school lunches, including targets for sodium levels. The purpose of this study is to identify, among schools that are successfully meeting the sodium targets, "best practices" that could be used to provide technical assistance to School Food Authorities (SFAs) for developing lower sodium menus. This study relies on qualitative data from four sources: SFA directors, school administrators, community-based stakeholders, and local food suppliers to SFAs. The study activities subject to this notice include online prescreening surveys, brief telephone interviews, in-depth telephone interviews, and in-depth onsite interviews. The online prescreening survey will verify which SFAs are currently meeting sodium targets. The brief site visit selection telephone interview will provide additional

information used to determine which of the eligible sites will experience indepth interviews, either on-site or by telephone.

*Affected Public:* This study includes four respondent groups: (1) State, Local, and Tribal Government (SFA directors and school administrators), (2) Business or Other For-Profit (local food suppliers), (3) Individuals or Households (community-based stakeholders), and (4) Not-For-Profit Institutions (community-based stakeholders).

Estimated Number of Respondents: The total estimated number of respondents is 753. This figure includes 608 respondents and 145 nonrespondents. The initial sample will consist of 625 SFA directors. Assuming that 80 percent respond to the prescreening survey, the resulting respondent sample will include approximately 500 SFA directors. Of the SFA directors identified as eligible from the pre-screening survey results, 45 will be contacted with an expected response rate of 80 percent (36 SFA director respondents and 9 non-respondents). Indepth interviews will be conducted with the 36 SFA directors (with an expected 100 percent response rate). The following respondent types will be recruited within each of the SFAs, resulting in 36 responses per respondent type: 40 school administrators (with an expected response rate of 90 percent); 46 local food suppliers (with an expected response rate of 78 percent); and 42 community-based stakeholders (32 individuals with an expected response rate of 87.5 percent and 10 notfor-profit institutions with an expected response rate of 80 percent). The 145 non-respondents include 125 SFA directors, 4 school administrators, 10 local food suppliers, 4 individual community-based stakeholders, and 2 not-for-profit community-based stakeholders.

Estimated Frequency of Responses per Respondent: FNS estimates that the frequency of responses per respondent will average 1.11 responses per respondent across the entire collection. SFA directors may provide responses on three occasions (prescreening survey, brief site visit selection telephone interview, and in-depth interview), although most will provide responses on the prescreening survey only. School administrators, community-based stakeholders, and local food suppliers to SFAs will be expected to provide a onetime response during the in-depth interview.

*Estimated Total Annual Responses:* The total number of responses expected across all respondent categories is 834.

*Estimated Time per Response:* The estimated time will vary depending on the respondent category and will range from three minutes (0.05 hours) to one hour. The table that follows outlines the estimated total annual burden for each type of respondent. Across all study respondents and non-respondents, the average estimated time per response is 0.47 hours.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours on Respondents:* 391.22 hours (see table below for estimated total annual burden hours by type of respondent).

Affected Public	Respondent type	Instrument	Total Sample Size	Estimated Number of Respondents <sup>b</sup>	Frequency of Response	Total Annual Responses	Average Time Per Response (Hours)⁰	Total Annual Burden Estimate (Hours)	Estimated Number of Non- Respondents®	Frequency of Non- Response	Total Annual Non- Responses	Average Time Per Non- Response (Hours) <sup>d</sup>	Total Annual Burden Estimate (Hours)	Grand Total Burden Estimate (Hours)
State, Local, and Tribal Government														
State, Local, and Tribal Government	SFA Director	Prescreening Reminder Follow- up and Survey Completion-Webª	625	500	1	500	0.39	195	125	1	125	0.26	32.5	227.50
		Brief Interview-Telephone	45	36	1	36	0.50	18	9	1	9	0.08	0.72	18.72
		In-depth Interview-Telephone or On Site	36	36	1	36	1	36	0	1	0	0.08	0	36.00
	School Administrator	In-depth Interview-Telephone or On Site	40	36	1	36	1	36	4	1	4	0.05	0.20	36.20
	Subto	otal of State, Local, and Tribal Gove	ernment	536	1	608	0.47	285	129	1	138	0.24	33.42	318.42
Business or Other For-Profit														
Business or Other For-Profit	Local Food Supplier	In-depth Interview- Telephone/On Site	46	36	1	36	1	36	10	1	10	0.05	0.50	36.50
		Subtotal of Business or Other Fe	or-Profit	36	1	36	1	36	10	1	10	0.05	0.50	36.50
Individuals or Households														
Individuals or Households	Community- based Stakeholder	In-depth Interview- Telephone/On Site	32	28	1	28	1	28	4	1	4	0.05	0.20	28.20
		Individuals or Hou	seholds	28	1	28	1	28	4	1	4	0.05	0.20	28.20
				Not	t-For-Profit I	nstitutions								
Not-for-Profit Institutions	Community- based Stakeholder	In-depth Interview- Telephone/On Site	10	8	1	8	1	8	2	1	2	0.05	0.10	8.10
	Non-For Profit Institutions				1	8	1	8	2	1	2	0.05	0.10	8.10
Grand Total					1	680	0.53	357	145	1	154	0.22	34.22	391.22

<sup>a</sup>A welcome email with the prescreening survey link will be sent the first week of recruitment. Two more reminder emails will be sent; one during the second and third weeks. Four reminder phone calls will be made; two each week during the second and third weeks.

•500 SFA directors are estimated to participate in the pre-screening survey; 45 of those 500 will be asked to participate in the brief telephone interview; 36 of those 45 are expected to respond and the same 36 are expected to participate in the in-depth interview

•The burden hours' reflect the data collection activity of an average 20 min. survey as well as an average correspondence burden of .08 (maximum of three welcome/reminder emails at 3 min. and four reminder phone calls at 1.2 min.)

<sup>a</sup>The burden hours reflect the burden associated with non-response to repeated correspondence of 26 hours (three welcome/reminder emails at 3 min. and four phone calls at 1.2 min.)

•These estimated 9 non-respondents are not unique non-respondents. Therefore, they are not included in the total count of non-respondents.

Dated: March 7, 2016. Audrey Rowe, Administrator, Food and Nutrition Service. [FR Doc. 2016–05895 Filed 3–15–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–30–C

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

#### **Rural Utilities Service**

#### Information Collection Activity; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Rural Utilities Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended), the Rural Utilities Service (RUS) invites comments on this information collection for which RUS intends to request approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). **DATES:** Comments on this notice must be

received by May 16, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas P. Dickson, Acting Director, Program Development & Regulatory Analysis, Rural Utilities Service, USDA, 1400 Independence Ave. SW., STOP 1522, Room 5164 South Building, Washington, DC 20250–1522. Telephone: (202) 690–4492. FAX: (202) 720–4120.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* Seismic Safety of New Building Construction.

OMB Control Number: 0572–0099. Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved information collection.

Abstract: The Earthquake Hazards Reduction Act of 1977 (42 U.S.C. 7701 et seq.) was enacted to reduce risks to life and property through the National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program (NEHRP). The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) is designated as the agency with the primary responsibility to plan and coordinate the NEHRP. This program includes the development and implementation of feasible design and construction methods to make structures earthquake resistant. Executive Order 12699 of January 5. 1990, Seismic Safety of Federal and Federally Assisted or Regulated New Building Construction, requires that measures to assure seismic safety be imposed on federally assisted new building construction.

Title 7 Part 1792, Subpart C, Seismic Safety of Federally assisted New Building Construction, identifies acceptable seismic standards which must be employed in new building construction funded by loans, grants, or guarantees made by RUS or the Rural Telephone Bank (RTB) or through lien accommodations or subordinations approved by RUS or RTB. This subpart implements and explains the provisions of the loan contract utilized by the RUS for both electric and telecommunications borrowers and by the RTB for its telecommunications borrowers requiring construction

borrowers requiring construction certifications affirming compliance with the standards.

*Estimate of Burden:* Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average .75 hours per response.

*Respondents:* Small business or organizations.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 192.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 1.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 144.

Comments are invited on (a) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumption used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques on other forms of information technology. Comments may be sent to: Thomas P. Dickson, Acting Director, Program Development and Regulatory Analysis, USDA Rural Utilities Service, STOP 1522, 1400 Independence Ave. SW., Washington, DC 20250–1522. FAX: (202) 720-8435. Email: Thomas.dickson@wdc.usda.gov.

Copies of this information collection can be obtained from MaryPat Daskal, Program Development and Regulatory Analysis, at (202) 720–7853. FAX: (202) 720–8435. Email: *marypat.daskal@ wdc.usda.gov.* All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: March 9, 2016.

#### Brandon McBride,

Administrator, Rural Utilities Service. [FR Doc. 2016–05925 Filed 3–15–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE P

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

#### **Rural Utilities Service**

# Notice of Solicitation of Applications (NOSA); Correction

**AGENCY:** Rural Utilities Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The Rural Utilities Service (RUS) published in the **Federal Register**, on March 9, 2016 a Notice of Solicitation of Applications (NOSA), announcing the Household Water Well System Grant Program application window for fiscal year (FY) 2016. Inadvertently, an incorrect web link was included in the NOSA that did not permit access to the intended Web site. This document removes the incorrect web reference and replaces it with the correct version.

DATES: Effective on March 16, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Derek Jones, Community Programs Specialist, Water and Environmental Programs, Rural Utilities Service, Rural Development, U.S. Department of Agriculture, STOP 1570, Room 2234–S, 1400 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20250–1570, Telephone: (202) 720–9640, fax: (202) 690–0649, email: *derek.jones@ wdc.usda.gov.* 

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Rural Utilities Service (RUS) published in the **Federal Register** on March 9, 2016, at 81 FR 12451, a Notice of Solicitation of Applications (NOSA), for its Household Water Well System Grant Program application window for fiscal year (FY) 2016. Inadvertently, an incorrect web link was included in the NOSA that did not permit access to the intended Web site. This document removes all references to the incorrect web link published on March 9, 2016 and replaces it with the correct web reference.

In the Notice of Solicitation of Applications (NOSA) FR Doc. 2016– 05170 published on March 9, 2016, at 81 FR 12451, make the following correction. Remove "*rurdev.usda.gov/ UWP-individualwellsystems*" and add in its place "*http://www.rd.usda.gov/ programs-services/household-waterwell-system-grants*" on the following pages:

Page 12451, second column, **ADDRESSES**: 1. Electronic copies: Page 12453, second column, IV. Application and Submission Information, A. Where To Get Application Information, 1. Internet for electronic copies; Page 12454, column one, (14) Assurances and certifications of compliance with other Federal Statutes; and, Page 12457,