

May 6, 2003.<sup>12</sup> This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by the respondent for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, *see the Automatic Assessment Clarification*.

We intend to issue assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication of these final results, as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for respondents noted above will be the rate established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this administrative review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of the subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 29.93 percent, the all-others rate established in the antidumping investigation.<sup>13</sup> These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries

during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

### Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h).

Dated: March 23, 2015.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

### Appendix

#### List of Topics Discussed in the Final Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. List of Issues
- III. Background
- IV. Discussion of Interested Party Comments
  - A. General Issues
    - Comment 1: Whether the Department Treats Installation Expenses as Further Manufacturing Costs
  - B. Hyosung-Specific Issues
    - Comment 2: Discrepancies Between Hyosung's Net U.S. Price (as Calculated by the Department) and Reported Entered Values
    - Comment 3: Hyosung Has Overstated Its Reported U.S. Prices and Understated/Omitted U.S. Expenses and Whether To Apply Adverse Facts Available (AFA)
    - Comment 4: U.S. Commission Expenses
    - Comment 5: U.S. Ocean Freight Expenses
    - Comment 6: Installation Expenses
    - Comment 7: The Department Erred in Conducting the Differential Pricing Analysis
    - Comment 8: Consideration of an Alternative Comparison Method in an Administrative Review
    - Comment 9: Denial of Offsets for Non-Dumped U.S. Sales When Using the A-To-T Comparison Method In Administrative Reviews
    - Comment 10: Harbor Maintenance Fees
    - Comment 11: Oil Expenses
    - Comment 12: Exclusion of Certain U.S. Freight Expenses for a Particular U.S. Sales Transaction

- Comment 13: Calculation of Importer-Specific Assessment Rate
- Comment 14: Incomplete Further Manufacturing Cost Data
- C. Hyundai-Specific Issues
  - Comment 15: Hyundai's U.S. Sales Data are Not Reliable or Verifiable Because of Certain Submissions and Should Not Be Used in the Final Results
  - Comment 16: AFA With Respect to Comment 15 (Above).
  - Comment 17: "Overlapping" Sales Between Investigation and This Review
  - Comment 18: Alleged Underreported U.S. Movement and Selling Expenses
  - Comment 19: Hyundai's Reporting of Home Market Sales
  - Comment 20: Indirect Selling Expenses
  - Comment 21: Section E Response Was Not Complete
  - Comment 22: Whether Total AFA is Warranted Based On the Totality of Hyundai's Responses
- V. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2015-07382 Filed 3-30-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

### Agency Information Collection Activities Under OMB Review

**AGENCY:** Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), this notice announces that the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and comment. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected costs and burden.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before April 30, 2015.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments regarding the burden estimated or any other aspect of the information collection, including suggestions for reducing the burden, may be submitted directly to OMB within 30 days of the notice's publication by email at [OIRAsubmissions@omb.eop.gov](mailto:OIRAsubmissions@omb.eop.gov). Please identify the comments by OMB Control No. 3038-0069. Please provide the Commission with a copy of all submitted comments at the address listed below. Please refer to OMB Reference No. 3038-0069, found on <http://reginfo.gov>. Comments may also be mailed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for the Commodity Futures Trading Commission, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503, and to

<sup>12</sup> See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003) (*Automatic Assessment Clarification*).

<sup>13</sup> See *Large Power Transformers From the Republic of Korea: Antidumping Duty Order*, 77 FR 53177 (August 31, 2012).

Eileen Chotiner, Senior Program Analyst, Division of Clearing and Risk, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street NW., Washington, DC 20581. Comments may also be submitted by any of the following methods:

- *Agency Web site, via its Comments Online process:* <http://comments.cftc.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments through the Web site.

- *Mail:* Send to Christopher Kirkpatrick, Secretary of the Commission, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street NW., Washington, DC 20581.

- *Hand Delivery/Courier:* Same as Mail, above.

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. Please submit your comments to the Commission using only one of these methods.

All comments must be submitted in English, or if not, accompanied by an English translation. Comments will be posted as received to <http://www.cftc.gov>. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. If you wish the Commission to consider information that is exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, a petition for confidential treatment of the exempt information may be submitted according to the procedures set forth in § 145.9 of the Commission's regulations.<sup>1</sup>

The Commission reserves the right, but shall have no obligation, to review, pre-screen, filter, redact, refuse or remove any or all of your submission from [www.cftc.gov](http://www.cftc.gov) that it may deem to be inappropriate for publication, such as obscene language. All submissions that have been redacted or removed that contain comments on the merits of the rulemaking will be retained in the public comment file and will be considered as required under the Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws, and may be accessible under the Freedom of Information Act.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Eileen Chotiner, Division of Clearing and Risk, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street NW., Washington, DC 20581; (202) 418-5467; email: [echotiner@cftc.gov](mailto:echotiner@cftc.gov), and refer to OMB Control No. 3038-0069.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a request for extension of a currently approved information collection.

*Title:* "Information Management Requirements for Derivatives Clearing Organization," OMB Control No. 3038-0069—Extension. This is a request for extension of a currently approved information collection.

*Abstract:* Part 39 of the Commission's regulations establishes information management requirements for derivatives clearing organizations ("DCOs"), which are required to be registered with the Commission. The Commission will use the information in this collection to assess compliance of DCOs with requirements for DCOs prescribed in the Commodity Exchange Act and Commission regulations.

*Burden Statement:* The respondent burden for this collection is estimated to average 11 hours per response.

*Respondents/Affected Entities:* Derivatives clearing organizations and applicants for registration as a derivatives clearing organization.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 14.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents:* 38,546 hours.

*Frequency of Collection:* Daily, annually, and on occasion.

*Authority:* 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*

Dated: March 25, 2015.

**Christopher J. Kirkpatrick,**  
*Secretary of the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2015-07283 Filed 3-30-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6351-01-P**

## CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

### Information Collection; Submission for OMB Review, Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Corporation for National and Community Service.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Corporation for National and Community Service (CNCS) has submitted a public information collection request (ICR) entitled Senior Corps Foster Grand Parent pilot case study for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13, (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). Copies of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Corporation for National and Community Service, Anthony Nerino, at (202) 606-3913 or email to [anerino@cns.gov](mailto:anerino@cns.gov). Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TTY-TDD) may call 1-800-833-3722

between 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m. Eastern Time, Monday through Friday.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted, identified by the title of the information collection activity, to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: Ms. Sharon Mar, OMB Desk Officer for the Corporation for National and Community Service, by any of the following two methods within 30 days from the date of publication in the **Federal Register**:

(1) By fax to: (202) 395-6974, Attention: Ms. Sharon Mar, OMB Desk Officer for the Corporation for National and Community Service; or

(2) By email to: [smar@omb.eop.gov](mailto:smar@omb.eop.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of CNCS, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- Propose ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

- Propose ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

#### Comments

A 60-day Notice requesting public comment was published in the **Federal Register** on December 30, 2014. This comment period ended March 2, 2015. No public comments were received from this Notice.

*Description:* CNCS seeks to implement case studies of selected FGP grantees that are implementing two similar national education models in various service sites. The information is designed to allow CNCS Senior Corps administrators to understand the process and experiences of grantees as they implement national education models including member and beneficiary recruitment, member training, program structure and processes, program modifications specific to FGP, scope and reach of the various projects, and observed outcomes for members and beneficiaries.

The case study instrument will involve interviews and focus groups

<sup>1</sup> Commission regulations referred to herein are found at 17 CFR Ch. 1 *et seq.* (2014).