waters of the United States or to secure the observance of the rights and obligations of the United States. See 33 CFR 165.30.

The Coast Guard is considering:

• Consolidating the existing four RNAs, (33 CFR 165.1405(a)(1), (a)(2), (a)(4) and 165.1402(a)), into one Regulated Navigational Area that addresses the waters of the Apra Harbor Channel entrance and all waters of the Apra Harbor outer harbor.

• Expanding the radius of the existing Kilo Wharf Safety Zone (33 CFR 165.1401(b)) into a series of two or three safety zone radius options based upon the volume and type of cargo transferred at Kilo Wharf, thereby optimizing harbor operations viability and personal safety.

• Removing Hotel Wharf Safety Zones A and B (33 CFR 165.1405.1(a) and (b)) due to obsolescence.

• Retaining Security Zone C, Buoy 702 (33 CFR 165.1404). This zone surrounds a permanent mooring buoy reserved for specific vessels.

#### **B. Information Requested**

Public participation is requested to assist in determining the optimal way forward. The Coast Guard is seeking to identify what problems mariners and the public are experiencing with the current regulatory scheme, including but not limited to: The impacts of existing safety and security zones; suggestions for regulatory amendment to improve navigation while assuring safety concerns are adequately addressed; and potential impacts if the Kilo Wharf Safety Zone is amended to include a flexible expansion capability during periods of increased munitions cargo volume operations. The Coast Guard also seeks to determine the viability of alternative vessel transit and cargo operations methodologies (e.g., night vs. daylight cargo transfers, or alteration of charter operator schedules or locations).

Again, the Coast Guard seeks input for aid in developing a proposed rule. Please submit any comments or concerns you may have in accordance with the "submitting comments" section above.

This document is issued under the authority of 5 U.S.C. 552(a); 33 CFR 1.05–1, and 1.05–30.

Dated: December 18, 2013.

## C.B. Thomas,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Fourteenth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 2014–00280 Filed 1–9–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

#### 36 CFR Part 242

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

**Fish and Wildlife Service** 

#### 50 CFR Part 100

[Docket No. FWS-R7-SM-2013-0065; FXFR13350700640-145-FF07J00000]

## RIN 1018-AZ67

## Subsistence Management Regulations for Public Lands in Alaska—2015–16 and 2016–17 Subsistence Taking of Fish and Shellfish Regulations

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, Agriculture; Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior. **ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This proposed rule would establish regulations for fish and shellfish seasons, harvest limits, methods and means related to taking of fish and shellfish for subsistence uses during the 2015-2016 and 2016-2017 regulatory years. The Federal Subsistence Board (Board) is on a schedule of completing the process of revising subsistence taking of fish and shellfish regulations in odd-numbered years and subsistence taking of wildlife regulations in even-numbered years; public proposal and review processes take place during the preceding year. The Board also addresses customary and traditional use determinations during the applicable cycle. When final, the resulting rulemaking will replace the existing subsistence fish and shellfish taking regulations. This proposed rule would also amend the general regulations on subsistence taking of fish and wildlife.

DATES: Public meetings: The Federal Subsistence Regional Advisory Councils will hold public meetings to receive comments and make proposals to change this proposed rule on several dates between February 11 and March 21, 2014, and then hold another round of public meetings to discuss and receive comments on the proposals, and make recommendations on the proposals to the Federal Subsistence Board, on several dates between August 19 and October 24, 2014. The Board will discuss and evaluate proposed regulatory changes during a public meeting in Anchorage, AK, in January 2015. See SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION for specific information on dates and locations of the public meetings.

*Public comments:* Comments and proposals to change this proposed rule

must be received or postmarked by March 28, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** *Public meetings:* The Federal Subsistence Board and the Federal Subsistence Regional Advisory Councils' public meetings will be held at various locations in Alaska. See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for specific information on dates and locations of the public meetings.

*Public comments:* You may submit comments by one of the following methods:

• *Electronically:* Go to the Federal eRulemaking Portal: *http://www.regulations.gov* and search for FWS–R7–SM–2013–0065, which is the docket number for this rulemaking.

• *By hard copy:* U.S. mail or handdelivery to: USFWS, Office of Subsistence Management, 1011 East Tudor Road, MS 121, Attn: Theo Matuskowitz, Anchorage, AK 99503– 6199, or hand delivery to the Designated Federal Official attending any of the Federal Subsistence Regional Advisory Council public meetings. See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for additional information on locations of the public meetings.

We will post all comments on *http://www.regulations.gov.* This generally means that we will post any personal information you provide us (see the Public Review Process section below for more information).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Chair, Federal Subsistence Board, c/o U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Attention: Gene Peltola, Office of Subsistence Management; (907) 786– 3888 or *subsistence@fws.gov*. For questions specific to National Forest System lands, contact Steve Kessler, Regional Subsistence Program Leader, USDA, Forest Service, Alaska Region; (907) 743–9461 or *skessler@fs.fed.us*. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

Under Title VIII of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (ANILCA) (16 U.S.C. 3111-3126), the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture (Secretaries) jointly implement the Federal Subsistence Management Program. This program provides a preference for take of fish and wildlife resources for subsistence uses on Federal public lands and waters in Alaska. The Secretaries published temporary regulations to carry out this program in the Federal Register on June 29, 1990 (55 FR 27114), and final regulations were published in the Federal Register on May 29, 1992 (57 FR 22940). The Program has subsequently amended

these regulations a number of times. Because this program is a joint effort between Interior and Agriculture, these regulations are located in two titles of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR): Title 36, "Parks, Forests, and Public Property," and Title 50, "Wildlife and Fisheries," at 36 CFR 242.1–28 and 50 CFR 100.1-28, respectively. The regulations contain subparts as follows: Subpart A, General Provisions; Subpart B, Program Structure; Subpart C, Board Determinations; and Subpart D, Subsistence Taking of Fish and Wildlife.

Consistent with subpart B of these regulations, the Secretaries established a Federal Subsistence Board to administer the Federal Subsistence Management Program. The Board comprises:

• A Chair appointed by the Secretary of the Interior with concurrence of the Secretary of Agriculture;

 The Alaska Regional Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service;

• The Alaska Regional Director, U.S. National Park Service;

• The Alaska State Director, U.S. Bureau of Land Management;

 The Alaska Regional Director, U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs;

• The Alaska Regional Forester, U.S. Forest Service; and

 Two public members appointed by the Secretary of the Interior with concurrence of the Secretary of Agriculture.

Through the Board, these agencies and public members participate in the development of regulations for subparts C and D, which, among other things, set forth program eligibility and specific harvest seasons and limits.

In administering the program, the Secretaries divided Alaska into 10 subsistence resource regions, each of which is represented by a Regional Advisory Council. The Regional Advisory Councils provide a forum for rural residents with personal knowledge of local conditions and resource requirements to have a meaningful role in the subsistence management of fish and wildlife on Federal public lands in Alaska. The Regional Advisory Council members represent varied geographical, cultural, and user interests within each region.

## **Public Review Process—Comments, Proposals, and Public Meetings**

The Federal Subsistence Regional Advisory Councils have a substantial role in reviewing this proposed rule and making recommendations for the final rule. The Federal Subsistence Board, through the Federal Subsistence Regional Advisory Councils, will hold public meetings on this proposed rule at the following locations in Alaska, on the following dates:

February 11, 2014.

February 25, 2014.

February 18, 2014.

February 12, 2014.

Region 1—Southeast Regional Council   Region 2—Southcentral Regional Council   Region 3—Kodiak/Aleutians Regional Council   Region 4—Bristol Bay Regional Council   Region 5—Yukon–Kuskokwim Delta Regional Council   Region 6—Western Interior Regional Council   Region 7—Seward Peninsula Regional Council   Region 8—Northwest Arctic Regional Council   Region 9—Eastern Interior Regional Council   Region 10—North Slope Regional Council	Anchorage TBD Naknek Bethel Aniak Nome Kotzebue Fairbanks	March 11, 2014. March 20, 2014. February 11, 207 March 5, 2014. February 25, 2017. March 18, 2014. February 18, 207 March 6, 2014.
--	--	---

During April 2014, the written proposals to change the subpart D, take of fish and shellfish, regulations and subpart C, customary and traditional use, determinations, will be compiled and distributed for public review.

Ī	Advisory Councils, will hold a second
Region 1—Southeast Regional Council	
Region 2—Southcentral Regional Council	
Region 3-Kodiak/Aleutians Regional Council	
Region 4-Bristol Bay Regional Council	
Region 5—Yukon–Kuskokwim Delta Regional	Council
Region 6—Western Interior Regional Council	
Region 7—Seward Peninsula Regional Council	1
Region 8-Northwest Arctic Regional Council	
Region 9-Eastern Interior Regional Council	

A notice will be published of specific dates, times, and meeting locations in local and statewide newspapers prior to both series of meetings. Locations and dates may change based on weather or local circumstances. The amount of work on each Regional Advisory Council's agenda determines the length of each Regional Advisory Council meeting.

The Board will discuss and evaluate proposed changes to the subsistence management regulations during a public meeting scheduled to be held in

period, which is presently scheduled to end on May 23, 2014, written public comments will be accepted on the distributed proposals. The Board, through the Regional

During the 30-day public comment

series of public meetings in August through October 2014, to receive comments on specific proposals and to develop recommendations to the Board at the following locations in Alaska, on the following dates:

Sitka	September 17, 2014
Kenai	October 14, 2014
Cold Bay	September 9, 2014
Dillingham	October 21, 2014
Bethel	October 14, 2014
McGrath	October 28, 2014
Nome	October 7, 2014
TBD	October 8, 2014
TBD	October 23, 2014
TBD	October 23, 2014
TBD	August 19, 2014

Anchorage, Alaska, in January 2015. The Federal Subsistence Regional Advisory Council Chairs, or their designated representatives, will present their respective Councils' recommendations at the Board meeting. Additional oral testimony may be provided on specific proposals before the Board at that time. At that public meeting, the Board will deliberate and take final action on proposals received that request changes to this proposed rule.

Proposals to the Board to modify the general fish and wildlife regulations, fish and shellfish harvest regulations, and customary and traditional use determinations must include the following information:

a. Name, address, and telephone number of the requestor;

b. Each section and/or paragraph designation in this proposed rule for which changes are suggested, if applicable;

c. A description of the regulatory change(s) desired;

d. A statement explaining why each change is necessary;

e. Proposed wording changes; and f. Any additional information that you believe will help the Board in evaluating the proposed change.

The Board immediately rejects proposals that fail to include the above information, or proposals that are beyond the scope of authorities in § \_\_\_\_

.24, subpart C (the regulations governing customary and traditional use determinations), and §§ .25. .27, and .28, subpart D (the general and specific regulations governing the subsistence take of fish and shellfish). If a proposal needs clarification, prior to being distributed for public review, the proponent may be contacted, and the proposal could be revised based on their input. Once distributed for public review, no additional changes may be made as part of the original submission. During the January 2015 meeting, the Board may defer review and action on some proposals to allow time for cooperative planning efforts, or to acquire additional needed information. The Board may elect to defer taking action on any given proposal if the workload of staff, Regional Advisory Councils, or the Board becomes excessive. These deferrals may be based on recommendations by the affected Regional Advisory Council(s) or staff members, or on the basis of the Board's intention to do least harm to the subsistence user and the resource involved. A proponent of a proposal may withdraw the proposal provided it has not been considered, and a recommendation has not been made, by a Regional Advisory Council. The Board may consider and act on alternatives that address the intent of a proposal while differing in approach.

## Tribal Consultation and Comment

As expressed in Executive Order 13175, "Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments," the Federal officials that have been delegated authority by the Secretaries are committed to honoring the unique government-to-government political relationship that exists between the Federal Government and Federally Recognized Indian Tribes (Tribes) as listed in 75 FR 60810 (October 1, 2010). Consultation with Alaska Native corporations is based on Public Law 108-199, div. H, Sec. 161, Jan. 23, 2004, 118 Stat. 452, as amended by Public Law 108-447, div. H, title V, Sec. 518, Dec. 8, 2004, 118 Stat. 3267, which provides that: "The Director of the Office of Management and Budget and all Federal agencies shall hereafter

consult with Alaska Native corporations on the same basis as Indian tribes under Executive Order No. 13175."

The Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act does not provide specific rights to Tribes for the subsistence taking of wildlife, fish, and shellfish. However, because tribal members are affected by subsistence fishing, hunting, and trapping regulations, the Secretaries, through the Board, will provide Federally recognized Tribes and Alaska Native corporations an opportunity to consult on this proposed rule.

The Board will engage in outreach efforts for this proposed rule, including a notification letter, to ensure that Tribes and Alaska Native corporations are advised of the mechanisms by which they can participate. The Board provides a variety of opportunities for consultation: Proposing changes to the existing rule; commenting on proposed changes to the existing rule; engaging in dialogue at the Regional Council meetings; engaging in dialogue at the Board's meetings; and providing input in person, by mail, email, or phone at any time during the rulemaking process. The Board will commit to efficiently and adequately providing an opportunity to Tribes and Alaska Native corporations for consultation in regard to subsistence rulemaking.

The Board will consider Tribes' and Alaska Native corporations' information, input, and recommendations, and address their concerns as much as practicable.

## Developing the 2015–16 and 2016–17 Fish and Shellfish Seasons and Harvest Limit Regulations

Subpart C and D regulations are subject to periodic review and revision. The Board currently completes the process of revising subsistence take of fish and shellfish regulations in oddnumbered years and wildlife regulations in even-numbered years; public proposal and review processes take place during the preceding year. The Board also addresses customary and traditional use determinations during the applicable cycle.

The text of three final rules form the text of this proposed rule for the 2013–15 subparts C and D regulations:

The text of the proposed amendments to 36 CFR 242.24 and 242.25 and 50 CFR 100.24 and 100.25 is the final rule for the 2012–2014 regulatory period for wildlife (77 FR 35482; June 13, 2012).

The text of the proposed amendments to 36 CFR 242.27 and 50 CFR 100.27 is the final rule for the 2013–15 regulatory period for fish and shellfish (78 FR 19107; March 29, 2013). The text of the proposed amendments to 36 CFR 242.28 and 50 CFR 100.28 is the final rule for the 2011–13 regulatory period for fish and shellfish (76 FR 12564; March 8, 2011).

These regulations will remain in effect until subsequent Board action changes elements as a result of the public review process outlined above in this document.

## **Compliance With Statutory and Regulatory Authorities**

#### National Environmental Policy Act

A Draft Environmental Impact Statement that described four alternatives for developing a Federal Subsistence Management Program was distributed for public comment on October 7, 1991. The Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) was published on February 28, 1992. The Record of Decision (ROD) on Subsistence Management for Federal Public Lands in Alaska was signed April 6, 1992. The selected alternative in the FEIS (Alternative IV) defined the administrative framework of an annual regulatory cycle for subsistence regulations.

A 1997 environmental assessment dealt with the expansion of Federal jurisdiction over fisheries and is available at the office listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. The Secretary of the Interior, with concurrence of the Secretary of Agriculture, determined that expansion of Federal jurisdiction does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the human environment and, therefore, signed a Finding of No Significant Impact.

## Section 810 of ANILCA

An ANILCA §810 analysis was completed as part of the FEIS process on the Federal Subsistence Management Program. The intent of all Federal subsistence regulations is to accord subsistence uses of fish and wildlife on public lands a priority over the taking of fish and wildlife on such lands for other purposes, unless restriction is necessary to conserve healthy fish and wildlife populations. The final §810 analysis determination appeared in the April 6, 1992, ROD and concluded that the Federal Subsistence Management Program, under Alternative IV with an annual process for setting subsistence regulations, may have some local impacts on subsistence uses, but will not likely restrict subsistence uses significantly.

During the subsequent environmental assessment process for extending fisheries jurisdiction, an evaluation of the effects of this rule was conducted in accordance with § 810. That evaluation also supported the Secretaries' determination that the rule will not reach the "may significantly restrict" threshold that would require notice and hearings under ANILCA § 810(a).

#### Paperwork Reduction Act

An agency may not conduct or sponsor and you are not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. This proposed rule does not contain any new collections of information that require OMB approval. OMB has reviewed and approved the collections of information associated with the subsistence regulations at 36 CFR 242 and 50 CFR 100, and assigned OMB Control Number 1018–0075, which expires February 29, 2016.

# Regulatory Planning and Review (Executive Order 12866)

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has determined that this proposed rule is not significant and has not reviewed this rule under Executive Order 12866. OMB bases its determination upon the following four criteria:

(a) Whether the rule will have an annual effect of \$100 million or more on the economy or adversely affect an economic sector, productivity, jobs, the environment, or other units of the government.

(b) Whether the rule will create inconsistencies with other agencies' actions.

(c) Whether the rule will materially affect entitlements, grants, user fees, loan programs, or the rights and obligations of their recipients.

(d) Whether the rule raises novel legal or policy issues.

#### Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.) requires preparation of flexibility analyses for rules that will have a significant effect on a substantial number of small entities, which include small businesses, organizations, or governmental jurisdictions. In general, the resources to be harvested under this rule are already being harvested and consumed by the local harvester and do not result in an additional dollar benefit to the economy. However, we estimate that two million pounds of meat are harvested by subsistence users annually and, if given an estimated dollar value of \$3.00 per pound, this amount would equate to about \$6 million in food value

statewide. Based upon the amounts and values cited above, the Departments certify that this rulemaking will not have a significant economic effect on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

## Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act

Under the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act (5 U.S.C. 801 et seq.), this rule is not a major rule. It does not have an effect on the economy of \$100 million or more, will not cause a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, and does not have significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or the ability of U.S.-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises.

#### Executive Order 12630

Title VIII of ANILCA requires the Secretaries to administer a subsistence priority on public lands. The scope of this program is limited by definition to certain public lands. Likewise, these regulations have no potential takings of private property implications as defined by Executive Order 12630.

#### Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Secretaries have determined and certify pursuant to the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act, 2 U.S.C. 1502 et seq., that this rulemaking will not impose a cost of \$100 million or more in any given year on local or State governments or private entities. The implementation of this rule is by Federal agencies and there is no cost imposed on any State or local entities or tribal governments.

#### Executive Order 12988

The Secretaries have determined that these regulations meet the applicable standards provided in §§ 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, regarding civil justice reform.

## Executive Order 13132

In accordance with Executive Order 13132, the proposed rule does not have sufficient Federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment. Title VIII of ANILCA precludes the State from exercising subsistence management authority over fish and wildlife resources on Federal lands unless it meets certain requirements.

#### Executive Order 13175

The Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act, Title VIII, does not provide specific rights to tribes for the subsistence taking of wildlife, fish, and shellfish. However, the Secretaries, through the Board, will provide Federally recognized Tribes and Alaska Native corporations an opportunity to consult on this proposed rule. Consultation with Alaska Native corporations are based on Public Law 108-199, div. H, Sec. 161, Jan. 23, 2004, 118 Stat. 452, as amended by Public Law 108-447, div. H, title V, Sec. 518, Dec. 8, 2004, 118 Stat. 3267, which provides that: "The Director of the Office of Management and Budget and all Federal agencies shall hereafter consult with Alaska Native corporations on the same basis as Indian tribes under Executive Order No. 13175.'

The Secretaries, through the Board, will provide a variety of opportunities for consultation: commenting on proposed changes to the existing rule; engaging in dialogue at the Regional Council meetings; engaging in dialogue at the Board's meetings; and providing input in person, by mail, email, or phone at any time during the rulemaking process.

#### Executive Order 13211

This Executive Order requires agencies to prepare Statements of Energy Effects when undertaking certain actions. However, this proposed rule is not a significant regulatory action under E.O. 13211, affecting energy supply, distribution, or use, and no Statement of Energy Effects is required.

#### **Drafting Information**

Theo Matuskowitz drafted these regulations under the guidance of Gene Peltola of the Office of Subsistence Management, Alaska Regional Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Anchorage, Alaska. Additional assistance was provided by:

• Daniel Sharp, Alaska State Office, Bureau of Land Management;

• Clarence Summers, Alaska Regional Office, National Park Service;

• Dr. Glenn Chen, Alaska Regional Office, Bureau of Indian Affairs;

• Jerry Berg and Jack Lorrigan, Alaska Regional Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service: and

• Steve Kessler, Alaska Regional Office, U.S. Forest Service.

#### List of Subjects

#### 36 CFR Part 242

Administrative practice and procedure, Alaska, Fish, National forests, Public lands, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Wildlife.

## 50 CFR Part 100

Administrative practice and procedure, Alaska, Fish, National

forests, Public lands, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Wildlife.

## **Proposed Regulation Promulgation**

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Federal Subsistence Board proposes to amend 36 CFR part 242 and 50 CFR part 100 for the 2015–16 and 2016–17 regulatory years.

The text of the proposed amendments to 36 CFR 242.24 and 242.25 and 50 CFR 100.24 and 100.25 is the final rule for the 2012–2014 regulatory period for wildlife (77 FR 35482; June 13, 2012).

The text of the proposed amendments to 36 CFR 242.27 and 50 CFR 100.27 is the final rule for the 2013–15 regulatory period for fish and shellfish (78 FR 19107; March 29, 2013).

The text of the proposed amendments to 36 CFR 242.28 and 50 CFR 100.28 is the final rule for the 2011–13 regulatory period for fish and shellfish (76 FR 12564; March 8, 2011).

Dated: December 13, 2013.

#### Gene Peltola,

Assistant Regional Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Acting Chair, Federal Subsistence Board.

Dated: December 13, 2013.

## Steve Kessler,

Subsistence Program Leader, USDA—Forest Service.

[FR Doc. 2014–00239 Filed 1–9–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–55–P; 3410–11–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R10-OAR-2013-0418, FRL-9905-30-Region 10]

## Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Idaho

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to partially approve the May 9, 2013, State Implementation Plan (SIP) submittal from Idaho to revise the SIP to update the incorporation by reference of Federal air quality regulations into the SIP and make minor edits and clarifications. The EPA is proposing to grant limited approval, as SIP strengthening, to a portion of the submittal that incorporates by reference updates to the Federal nonattainment new source review (nonattainment NSR) requirements that have been recently remanded to the EPA by a court. In addition, the EPA is proposing to

partially disapprove Idaho's incorporation by reference of two provisions of the Federal prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) permitting rules that have been recently vacated in a separate decision by a court. Finally, we are proposing to take no action on Idaho's incorporation by reference of another provision of the Federal PSD permitting rules that has been the subject of a court action. Upon final action, the Idaho SIP would incorporate by reference certain Federal regulations as of July 1, 2012.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before February 10, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R10–OAR–2013–0418, by any of the following methods:

• *www.regulations.gov:* Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

• Email: R10-Public\_Comments@ epa.gov.

• *Mail:* Kristin Hall, EPA Region 10, Office of Air, Waste and Toxics (AWT– 107), 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, Seattle WA, 98101.

• *Hand Delivery:* EPA Region 10 Mailroom, 9th floor, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, Seattle WA, 98101. Attention: Kristin Hall, Office of Air, Waste and Toxics, AWT—107. Such deliveries are only accepted during normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-R10-OAR-2013-0418. The EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information the disclosure of which is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through www.regulations.gov or email. The www.regulations.gov Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means the EPA will not know vour identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an email comment directly to the EPA without going through www.regulations.gov your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, the EPA recommends that you include your name and other

contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD–ROM you submit. If the EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, the EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

*Docket:* All documents in the docket are listed in the www.regulations.gov index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information the disclosure of which is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in www.regulations.gov or in hard copy during normal business hours at the Office of Air, Waste and Toxics, EPA Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle WĂ, 98101.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kristin Hall at: (206) 553–6357, hall.kristin@epa.gov, or the above EPA, Region 10 address.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document wherever "we", "us" or "our" is used, it is intended to refer to the EPA. Information is organized as follows:

## **Table of Contents**

## I. Background

- II. Analysis of State Submittal
  - A. Summary of Submittal
  - 1. PM<sub>2.5</sub> PSD IBR Update
  - 2. 2011 Federal Rule IBR Update
  - 3. Housekeeping Revisions
  - 4. 2012 Federal Rule IBR Update
  - B. Effect of Court Decisions Vacating and Remanding Certain Federal Rules
  - 1. PM<sub>2.5</sub> Nonattainment NSR Provisions
  - 2. PM<sub>2.5</sub> PSD Provisions
- 3. PSD Deferral of Certain Emissions From Biogenic Sources III. Proposed Action
- IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

#### I. Background

Section 110 of the Clean Air Act (CAA) specifies the general requirements for states to submit SIPs to attain and maintain the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) and the EPA's actions regarding approval of those SIPs. On May 9, 2013, the State of Idaho submitted a SIP revision to the EPA to account for regulatory changes adopted by Idaho on several different dates. Idaho incorporates by reference (IBR) various portions of Federal regulations codified in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) into the Rules for the