

Ercros S.A., but exported by other parties at the rate for the intermediate reseller, if available, or at the all-others rate.¹¹

We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Ercros S.A. will remain unchanged from the rate assigned to the company in the most recently completed review of that company; (2) for other manufacturers and exporters covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which that manufacturer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 24.83 percent, the all-others rate established in the investigation.¹² These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing this administrative review and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: March 19, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2014-07002 Filed 3-27-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-816]

Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011-2012

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to requests from interested parties, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products (CORE) from the Republic of Korea (Korea). The period of review (POR) is August 1, 2011, through February 14, 2012,¹ and covers Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd., (Dongbu), and Hyundai HYSCO (HYSCO), and five non-examined companies.² We determine that Dongbu sold subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV) during the POR. We determine that HYSCO did not sell subject merchandise at less than NV during the POR.

DATES: *Effective Date:* March 28, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephanie Moore (Dongbu) or Christopher Hargett (HYSCO), Enforcement and Compliance, Office III, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202)

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 77 FR 59168 (September 26, 2012).

² The period of review ends on February 14, 2012 because the antidumping duty order on CORE from Korea was revoked effective on this date. See *Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Germany and the Republic of Korea: Revocation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 78 FR 16832 (March 19, 2013) (*CORE Revocation*).

³ The non-examined companies are: Dongkuk Industries Co., Ltd. (Dongkuk), Haewon MSC Co. Ltd. (Haewon), LG Chem., Ltd. (LG Chem), LG Hausys, Ltd. (Hausys), and Union Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd. (Union); see Memorandum to Melissa G. Skinner, Director, Office 3, AD/CVD Operations through Eric Greynolds, Program Manager, Office 3, AD/CVD Operations from Christopher Hargett, Senior International Trade Compliance Analyst, Office 3, AD/CVD Operations, titled "Selection of Respondents for Individual Review," dated November 19, 2012.

482-3692 or (202) 482-4161, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 9, 2013, the Department published the *Preliminary Results*,⁴ and invited interested parties to comment. On November 8, 2013, Hysco, Dongbu, Union, and LG Hausys filed case briefs. On November 13, 2013, Nucor Corporation filed a rebuttal brief. On November 14, 2013, U.S. Steel Corporation filed a rebuttal brief, which was rejected by the Department as past the deadline for the submission of rebuttal briefs.⁵

As explained in the memorandum from the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, the Department has exercised its discretion to toll deadlines for the duration of the closure of the Federal Government from October 1, through October 16, 2013. Therefore, all deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by 16 days.⁶ Pursuant to the Tolling Memo, the deadline for the final results of this review was revised with a due date of January 23, 2014.

On January 2, 2014, the Department issued a memorandum extending the time period for issuing the final results of this administrative review from January 23, 2014 to March 24, 2014.⁷

Period of Review

The POR covered by this review is August 1, 2011, through February 14, 2012.⁸

Scope of the Order

Imports covered by the order are shipments of flat-rolled carbon steel products. The merchandise subject to

⁴ See *Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011-2012*, 78 FR 55057 (September 9, 2013) (*Preliminary Results*).

⁵ See Memorandum to the File through Eric Greynolds, Program Manager, Office 3, AD/CVD Operations from Christopher Hargett, International Trade Compliance Analyst, Office 3, AD/CVD Operations, titled "Rejection of Rebuttal Brief," dated November 20, 2013.

⁶ See Memorandum for the Record from Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Deadlines Affected by the Shutdown of the Federal Government" (October 18, 2013) (*Tolling Memo*).

⁷ See Memorandum to Gary Taverman, Senior Advisor for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations through Melissa Skinner, Director, Office III, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations from Christopher Hargett, Sr. International Trade Compliance Analyst, Office III, AD/CVD Operations, titled "Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated January 2, 2014.

⁸ See *Preliminary Results*, 78 FR at 55057 n.2.

¹¹ See, e.g., *Magnesium Metal From the Russian Federation*.

¹² See *Chlorinated Isocyanurates From Spain: Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 70 FR 24506 (May 10, 2005).

review is currently classifiable under items 7210.30.0030, 7210.30.0060, 7210.41.0000, 7210.49.0030, 7210.49.0090, 7210.49.0091, 7210.49.0095, 7210.61.0000, 7210.69.0000, 7210.70.6030, 7210.70.6060, 7210.70.6090, 7210.90.1000, 7210.90.6000, 7210.90.9000, 7212.20.0000, 7212.30.1030, 7212.30.1090, 7212.30.3000, 7212.30.5000, 7212.40.1000, 7212.40.5000, 7212.50.0000, 7212.60.0000, 7215.90.1000, 7215.90.3000, 7215.90.5000, 7217.20.1500, 7217.30.1530, 7217.30.1560, 7217.90.1000, 7217.90.5030, 7217.90.5060, and 7217.90.5090 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the

HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to the order is dispositive.⁹

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case briefs by parties to this proceeding are listed in the Appendix to this notice. Parties' rebuttal comments and the Department's response to these issues are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, dated concurrently with this notice.¹⁰ The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU), Room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce Building, as well as electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and

Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the CRU. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of the Review

As a result of this review, we determine the following weighted-average dumping margins¹¹ exist for the period August 1, 2011, through February 14, 2012:

Producer or exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd.	7.64
Hyundai HYSCO	0.00
Dongkuk Industries Co., Ltd.	7.64
Haewon MSC Co. Ltd.	7.64
LG Chem., Ltd.	7.64
LG Hausys, Ltd.	7.64
Union Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd.	7.64

Disclosure

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b), we will disclose the calculation memorandums used in our analysis to parties to this review within five days of the date of publication of this notice.

Assessment Rates

The Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.¹² Since the weighted-average dumping margin is not zero or *de minimis* for Dongbu, we calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* antidumping duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for an importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Where an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to

liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.¹³

For HYSCO, we will instruct CBP to liquidate its appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties because HYSCO's weighted-average dumping margin is zero percent. For the five non-examined respondents in this review, we will instruct CBP to liquidate their entries at a rate of 7.64%.

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003.¹⁴ This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by each respondent for which they did not know that their merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.¹⁵

We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The Department notified CBP to discontinue the collection of cash deposits on entries of the subject merchandise, entered or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after February 14, 2012.¹⁶ Therefore, no cash deposit requirements will be imposed in response to these final results.

Reimbursement of Duties

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties pursuant to 19 CFR 351.402(f)(3).

⁹ For a full description of the scope of the order, see the "Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea" from Gary Taverman, Senior Advisor for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, (Issues and Decision Memorandum)

dated concurrently with these results and hereby adopted by this notice.

¹⁰ See the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

¹¹ Because there was only one rate that was not zero, *de minimis*, or based on total facts available, we are using this weighted-average dumping margin (Dongbu's) as the rate for the non-examined companies.

¹² See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

¹³ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

¹⁴ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

¹⁵ For a full discussion of this clarification, see *id.*

¹⁶ See *CORE Revocation*, 78 FR at 16833.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These final results of administrative review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h).

Dated: March 24, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

Issues in Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Period of Review
- IV. Scope of the Order
- V. List of Comments
- Comment 1: Use of Dongbu's Costs for the Period August 1, 2011, to July 31, 2012
- Comment 2: Calculation of General and Administrative and Interest Expenses
- Comment 3: Application of Differential Pricing and Zeroing in Administrative Reviews
- Comment 4: Denial of Offsets with the Average-to-Transaction Method
- VI. Analysis of Comments
- VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2014-06995 Filed 3-27-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-851]

Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review; 2013-2014

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* March 28, 2014.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) received a timely request for a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the People's

Republic of China (PRC) from Dezhou Kaihang Agricultural Science Technology Co., Ltd. (Dezhou Kaihang). The Department determined that the request meets the statutory and regulatory requirements for initiation. As a consequence, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.214(d)(1), the Department is initiating an antidumping duty new shipper review of Dezhou Kaihang. The period of review (POR) of this new shipper review is February 1, 2013, through February 28, 2014, as explained further in the "Period of Review" section below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Drury or Ilissa Shefferman, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-0195 or (202) 482-4684, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 19, 1999, the Department published the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the PRC.¹ The antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the PRC therefore has a February anniversary month. On February 27, 2014, Dezhou Kaihang timely filed a request for a new shipper review.² In its request for review, Dezhou Kaihang identified itself as the exporter of the subject merchandise, while listing the producer as Shandong Fengyu Edible Fungus Co., Ltd. (Fengyu).

Pursuant to the requirements set forth in section 751(a)(2)(B)(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2), Dezhou Kaihang certified that: (1) it did not export subject merchandise to the United States during the period of investigation (POI) (*see* section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(I) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(ii)(A)); (2) since the initiation of the investigation it has never been affiliated with any company that exported subject merchandise to the United States during the POI, including those companies not individually examined during the investigation (*see* section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(II) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(A)); and (3) its export activities were not controlled by the

central government of the PRC (*see* 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(B)). Dezhou Kaihang and Fengyu also certified that Fengyu did not export the subject merchandise to the United States during the POI (*see* 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(ii)(B)).³

With respect to the certifications by and on behalf of Fengyu, the Department notes that Fengyu was subject to a prior new shipper review. In that review, the Department rescinded the review because the Department was "unable to make an affirmative determination that subject merchandise produced and exported by Fengyu actually entered the United States for consumption during the POR."⁴ The Department intends to explore the circumstances behind Fengyu's certifications and documentation during the course of the instant review.

Moreover, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iv), Dezhou Kaihang submitted documentation establishing the following: (1) the date on which it first shipped subject merchandise to the United States; (2) the volume of its first shipment; and (3) the date of its first sale to an unaffiliated customers in the United States.⁵

Finally, the Department conducted a U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) database query and, except as explained below, confirmed the price, quantity, date of sale, and date of entry of the sale at issue.⁶

Period of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(c), an exporter or producer may request a new shipper review within one year of the date on which its subject merchandise was first entered. In terms of timing, 19 CFR 351.214(d) explains that where the new shipper review was requested in the six-month period ending with the end of the anniversary month the Department initiates the review in the calendar month immediately following the anniversary month. Moreover, 19 CFR 351.214(g)(1)(i)(A) states that if the new shipper review was initiated in the month immediately following the anniversary month, the review will normally cover, as appropriate, entries,

³ *Id.* at 2 and Attachment 1.

⁴ *See Certain Preserved Mushrooms From the People's Republic of China; Final Results and Final Rescission in Part, of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews*, 76 FR 16604, 16606 (March 24, 2011).

⁵ *See* Dezhou Kaihang Request at Attachment 2.

⁶ *Id.*; *see also* Memorandum to the File from the Case Analyst, "Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People's Republic of China: U.S. Customs and Border Protection Information for New Shipper Review Request," dated March 21, 2014 (Customs Data File), and herein incorporated by reference.

¹ *See Notice of Amendment of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Preserved Mushrooms From the People's Republic of China*, 64 FR 8308 (February 19, 1999).

² *See* Letter from Dezhou Kaihang to Secretary of Commerce, dated February 27, 2014 (Dezhou Kaihang Request).