Dated: December 17, 2013.

## Cliff Rader,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 2013–30349 Filed 12–19–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9904-34]

# Environmental Laboratory Advisory Board (ELAB) Meeting Dates and Agenda

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of Teleconference and Face-to-Face Meetings.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency's Environmental Laboratory Advisory Board (ELAB), as previously announced, holds teleconference meetings the third Wednesday of each month at 1:00 p.m. ET and two face-toface meetings each calendar year. For 2014, teleconference only meetings will be February 19, 2014; March 19, 2014; April 16, 2014; May 21, 2014; June 18, 2014; July 16, 2014; September 17, 2014; October 15, 2014; November 19, 2014; and December 17, 2014 at 1:00 p.m. ET to discuss the ideas and views presented at the previous ELAB meetings, as well as new business. Items to be discussed by ELAB over these coming meetings include: (1) Issues in continuing the expansion of national environmental accreditation; (2) ELAB support to the Agency on issues relating to measurement and monitoring for all programs; and (3) follow-up on some of ELAB's past recommendations and issues. In addition to these teleconferences, ELAB will be hosting their two face-to-face meetings with teleconference line also available on January 27, 2014 at the Hyatt Regency Louisville in Louisville, KY at 8:00 a.m. (CT) and on August 4, 2014 at the Hyatt Regency on Capitol Hill in Washington, DC at 8:00 a.m. (ET).

Written comments on laboratory accreditation issues and/or environmental monitoring or measurement issues are encouraged and should be sent to Ms. Lara P. Phelps, DFO, US EPA (E243–05), 109 T. W. Alexander Drive, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 or emailed to phelps.lara@epa.gov. Members of the public are invited to listen to the teleconference calls, and time permitting, will be allowed to comment on issues discussed during this and previous ELAB meetings. Those persons interested in attending should call Lara

P. Phelps at (919) 541–5544 to obtain teleconference information. For information on access or services for individuals with disabilities, please contact Lara P. Phelps at the number above. To request accommodation of a disability, please contact Lara P. Phelps, preferably at least 10 days prior to the meeting, to give EPA as much time as possible to process your request.

Dated: December 12, 2013.

### Glenn Paulson,

Science Advisor.

[FR Doc. 2013–30374 Filed 12–19–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9904-37-Region-10]

# Proposed Modification of a General NPDES Permit for Small Suction Dredging—Permit Number IDG-37-0000

**AGENCY:** Region 10, Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Proposed modification of a general permit.

**SUMMARY:** EPA proposes to modify a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit for placer mining operations in Idaho using small suction dredges (intake nozzle size of 5 inches in diameter or less and with equipment rated at 15 horsepower or less). When the 2013 GP was issued, the language of the GP contained a discrepancy with the total maximum daily load (TMDL) that was issued for Mores Creek. The GP did not include any reference to the tributaries of Mores, Elk or Grimes creeks that were included in the loading allocations of the TMDL. This modification proposes to rectify that discrepancy by including a reference to the tributaries within the GP requirements for Mores, Grimes and Elk creeks and listing the tributaries in Appendix F to the GP. Other parts of the GP are not open for public comment at this time.

**DATES:** Interested persons may submit comments on the proposed modification of the general permit to EPA, Region 10 at the address below. Comments must be postmarked by February 3, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Comments on the proposed modified General Permit should be sent to Director, Office of Water and Watersheds; USEPA Region 10; 1200 Sixth Avenue Suite 900, OWW–130; Seattle, Washington 98101. Comments may also be submitted by fax to (206)

553–0165 or electronically to godsey.cindi@epa.gov.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Copies of the proposed modified general permit and Statement of Basis are available upon request. Requests may be made to Audrey Washington at (206) 553–0523 or to Cindi Godsey at (907) 271–6561. Requests may also be electronically mailed to: washington.audrey@epa.gov or godsey.cindi@epa.gov. These documents may also be found on the EPA Region 10 Web site at http://yosemite.epa.gov/R10/water.nsf/NPDES+Permits/Permits+Homepage then click on "Draft Permits" then "Draft Permits for Idaho Dischargers."

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Executive Order 12866: The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this action from the review requirements of Executive Order 12866 pursuant to Section 6 of that order.

Regulatory Flexibility Act: Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601 et seq., a Federal agency must prepare an initial regulatory flexibility analysis "for any proposed rule" for which the agency "is required by section 553 of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), or any other law, to publish general notice of proposed rulemaking." The RFA exempts from this requirement any rule that the issuing agency certifies "will not, if promulgated, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities." EPA has concluded that NPDES general permits are permits, not rulemakings, under the APA and thus not subject to APA rulemaking requirements or the RFA. Notwithstanding that general permits are not subject to the RFA, EPA has determined that this GP, as issued, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Dated: December 12, 2013.

## Christine Psyk,

Associate Director, Office of Water & Watersheds, Region 10, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

[FR Doc. 2013-30425 Filed 12-19-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2013-0769; FRL-9903-60]

Registration Review; Pesticide Dockets Opened for Review and Comment

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** With this document, EPA is opening the public comment period for several registration reviews. Registration review is EPA's periodic review of pesticide registrations to ensure that each pesticide continues to satisfy the statutory standard for registration, that is, the pesticide can perform its intended function without unreasonable adverse effects on human health or the environment. Registration review dockets contain information that will assist the public in understanding the types of information and issues that the Agency may consider during the course of registration reviews. Through this program, EPA is ensuring that each pesticide's registration is based on current scientific and other knowledge, including its effects on human health and the environment. This document also announces the Agency's intent not to open registration review dockets for Pseudozyma flocculosa (case # 6049), Pseudomonas chlororaphis (case # 6011), and PXTS (case # 5108). These pesticides do not currently have any registered pesticide products and are not, therefore, scheduled for review under the registration review program. This document also announces the registration review case closure for the pesticide tralkoxydim (case # 7237), and the availability of the tralkoxydim registration review case closure document. The cancellation of the last remaining tralkoxydim registrations became effective October 30, 2013. The case closure for tralkoxydim is being announced herein with no comment period.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before February 18, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments identified by the docket identification (ID) number for the specific pesticide of interest provided in the table in Unit III.A., by one of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.
- Mail: OPP Docket, Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), (28221T), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001.
- Hand Delivery: To make special arrangements for hand delivery or delivery of boxed information, please follow the instructions at http://www.epa.gov/dockets/contacts.htm.

Additional instructions on commenting or visiting the docket,

along with more information about dockets generally, is available at http://www.epa.gov/dockets.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

For pesticide specific information contact: The Chemical Review Manager identified in the table in Unit III.A. for the pesticide of interest.

For general information contact:
Richard Dumas, Pesticide Re-Evaluation
Division (7508P), Office of Pesticide
Programs, Environmental Protection
Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW.,
Washington, DC 20460–0001; telephone
number: (703) 308–8015; fax number:
(703) 308–8005; email address:
dumas.richard@epa.gov.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. General Information

A. Does this action apply to me?

This action is directed to the public in general, and may be of interest to a wide range of stakeholders including environmental, human health, farmworker, and agricultural advocates; the chemical industry; pesticide users; and members of the public interested in the sale, distribution, or use of pesticides. Since others also may be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action.

- B. What should I consider as I prepare my comments for EPA?
- 1. Submitting CBI. Do not submit this information to EPA through regulations.gov or email. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD-ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD-ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD-ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.
- 2. Tips for preparing your comments. When submitting comments, remember to:
- i. Identify the document by docket ID number and other identifying information (subject heading, **Federal Register** date and page number).
- ii. Follow directions. The Agency may ask you to respond to specific questions or organize comments by referencing a

Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part or section number.

- iii. Explain why you agree or disagree; suggest alternatives and substitute language for your requested changes.
- iv. Describe any assumptions and provide any technical information and/ or data that you used.
- v. If you estimate potential costs or burdens, explain how you arrived at your estimate in sufficient detail to allow for it to be reproduced.
- vi. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns and suggest alternatives.
- vii. Explain your views as clearly as possible, avoiding the use of profanity or personal threats.
- viii. Make sure to submit your comments by the comment period deadline identified.
- 3. Environmental justice. EPA seeks to achieve environmental justice, the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of any group, including minority and/or low income populations, in the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies. To help address potential environmental justice issues, the Agency seeks information on any groups or segments of the population who, as a result of their location, cultural practices, or other factors, may have atypical or disproportionately high and adverse human health impacts or environmental effects from exposure to the pesticide(s) discussed in this document, compared to the general population.

# II. Authority

EPA is initiating its reviews of the pesticides identified in this document pursuant to section 3(g) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and the Procedural Regulations for Registration Review at 40 CFR part 155, subpart C. Section 3(g) of FIFRA provides, among other things, that the registrations of pesticides are to be reviewed every 15 years. Under FIFRA, a pesticide product may be registered or remain registered only if it meets the statutory standard for registration given in FIFRA section 3(c)(5). When used in accordance with widespread and commonly recognized practice, the pesticide product must perform its intended function without unreasonable adverse effects on the environment; that is, without any unreasonable risk to man or the environment, or a human dietary risk from residues that result from the use of a pesticide in or on food.

# III. Registration Reviews

A. What action is the Agency taking?

As directed by FIFRA section 3(g), EPA is reviewing the pesticide registrations identified in the table in this unit to assure that they continue to satisfy the FIFRA standard for registration—that is, they can still be used without unreasonable adverse effects on human health or the environment. A pesticide's registration review begins when the Agency establishes a docket for the pesticide's registration review case and opens the docket for public review and comment. At present, EPA is opening registration review dockets for the cases identified in the following table.

TABLE—REGISTRATION REVIEW DOCKETS OPENING

Registration review case name and number	Docket ID No.	Chemical review manager, telephone No., email address
2, 4–DB (case #0196)	EPA-HQ-OPP-2013-0661	Jill Bloom, (703) 308–8019, bloom.jill@epa.gov. Kaitlin Keller, (703) 308–8172, keller.kaitlin@epa.gov. Dana Friedman, (703) 347–8827, friedman.dana@epa.gov. Garland Waleko, (703) 308–8585, dutch.veronica@epa.gov. Veronica Dutch, (703) 308–8585, dutch.veronica@epa.gov. Jolene Trujillo, (703) 347–0103, trujillo.jolene@epa.gov. Ricardo Jones, (703) 347–0493, jones.ricardo@epa.gov. Margaret Hathaway, (703) 305–5076, hathaway.margaret@epa.gov. Sandra O'Neill, (703) 347–0141, oneill.sandra@epa.gov. Sandra O'Neill, (703) 347–0141, oneill.sandra@epa.gov. Eric Miederhoff, (703) 347–8028, miederhoff, cric@epa.gov. Sanyvette Williams, (703) 305–7702, williams.sanyvette@epa.gov. Katherine St. Clair, (703) 347–8778, stclair.katherine@epa.gov. Gina Burnett, (703) 605–0513, burnett.gina@epa.gov.

EPA is also announcing that it will not be opening dockets for Pseudozyma flocculosa, Pseudomonas chlororaphis, and PXTS because these pesticide active ingredients are not included in any products registered under FIFRA. For tralkoxydim, a Notice of Receipt of a Request to Voluntarily Cancel Certain Pesticide Registrations was issued on August 21, 2013 for a 30 day comment period (78 FR 51721) (FRL-9396-5). This notice announced voluntary cancellation requests that would terminate the last tralkoxydim products registered for use in the United States; the Agency did not receive any comments. On October 30, 2013, the Agency published the Cancellation Order for these tralkoxydim product registrations in the Federal Register (78 FR 64938) (FRL-9403-2). Due to the publication of this Cancellation Order, the Agency closed the registration review case for tralkoxydim, pursuant to 40 CFR 155.42(c). The case closure document for tralkoxydim's registration review, along with other documents relevant to the registration review of tralkoxydim, is available in the tralkoxydim registration review docket (EPA-HQ-OPP-2011-0706).

# B. Docket Content

1. Review dockets. The registration review dockets contain information that the Agency may consider in the course of the registration review. The Agency may include information from its files including, but not limited to, the following information:

- An overview of the registration review case status.
- A list of current product registrations and registrants.
- Federal Register notices regarding any pending registration actions.
- Federal Register notices regarding current or pending tolerances.
- Risk assessments.
- Bibliographies of data or information used in support of current registrations.
- Summaries of incident data.
- Any other pertinent data or information.

Each docket contains a document summarizing what the Agency currently knows about the pesticide case and a preliminary work plan for anticipated data and assessment needs. Additional documents provide more detailed information. During this public comment period, the Agency is asking that interested persons identify any additional information they believe the Agency should consider during the registration reviews of these pesticides. The Agency identifies in each docket the areas where public comment is specifically requested, though comment in any area is welcome.

2. Other related information. More information on these cases, including the active ingredients for each case, may be located in the registration review schedule on the Agency's Web site at <a href="http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/registration\_review/schedule.htm">http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/registration\_review/schedule.htm</a>. Information on the Agency's registration review program and its implementing regulation may be seen at <a href="http://">http://</a>

www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/registration\_review.

- 3. Information submission requirements. Anyone may submit data or information in response to this document. To be considered during a pesticide's registration review, the submitted data or information must meet the following requirements:
- To ensure that EPA will consider data or information submitted, interested persons must submit the data or information during the comment period. The Agency may, at its discretion, consider data or information submitted beyond the comment period.
- The data or information submitted must be presented in a legible and useable form. For example, an English translation must accompany any material that is not in English and a written transcript must accompany any information submitted as an audiographic or videographic record. Written material may be submitted in paper or electronic form.
- Submitters must clearly identify the source of any submitted data or information.
- Submitters may request the Agency to reconsider data or information that the Agency rejected in a previous review. However, submitters must explain why they believe the Agency should reconsider the data or information in the pesticide's registration review.

As provided in 40 CFR 155.58, the registration review docket for each pesticide case will remain publicly accessible through the duration of the

registration review process; that is, until all actions required in the final decision on the registration review case have been completed.

# List of Subjects

Environmental protection, pesticides and pests.

Dated: December 12, 2013.

#### Michael Goodis,

Acting Director, Pesticide Re-Evaluation Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 2013-30253 Filed 12-19-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9904-20-OARM]

# Senior Executive Service Performance Review Board; Membership

**AGENCY:** U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given of the membership of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Performance Review Board for 2013.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Karen D. Higginbotham, Director, Executive Resources Division, 3606A, Office of Human Resources, Office of Administration and Resources Management, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20460, (202) 564–7287.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 4314(c)(1) through (5) of Title 5, U.S.C., requires each agency to establish in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Office of Personnel Management, one or more SES performance review boards. This board shall review and evaluate the initial appraisal of a senior executive's performance by the supervisor, along with any recommendations to the appointment authority relative to the performance of the senior executive.

Members of the 2013 EPA Performance Review Board are:

Benita Best-Wong, Deputy Director, Office of Wetlands, Oceans and Watersheds, Office of Water

Jeanne Brisken, Research Program Manager, Office of Research and Development

David Bloom, Director, Office of Budget, Office of the Chief Financial Officer

David Lloyd, Director, Office of Brownfields and Land Revitalization, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response Diane Bazzle, Director, Office of the Executive Services, Office of the Administrator

Denise Benjamin-Sirmons, Director, Office of Diversity, Advisory Committee Management and Outreach, Office of Administration and Resources Management

Sarah Dunham, Director, Office of Atmospheric Programs, Office of Air and Radiation

James Giattina, Director, Water Management Division, Region 4

Robin Gonzalez, Director, Enterprise IT Systems, Office of Environmental Information

Sally Gutierrez, Chief, Environmental Technology Innovation Cluster Development and Support Program, Office of Research and Development

David Dix, Director, Office of Science Coordination and Policy, Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention

Karen D. Higginbotham (Ex-Officio), Director, Executive Resources Division, Office of Human Resources, Office of Administration and Resources Management

Peter Jutro, Senior Scientist, National Homeland Security Research Center, Office of Research and Development

Susan Kantrowitz (Ex-Officio), Director, Office of Human Resources, Office of Administration and Resources Management

Brenda Mallory, Principal Deputy General Counsel, Office of General Counsel

Suzanne Murray, Regional Counsel, Region 6, Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance

Cheryl Newton, Assistant Regional Administrator for Resources Management, Region 5

George Pavlou, Deputy Regional Administrator, Region 2

Cynthia Sonich-Mullin, Director, National Risk Management Research Laboratory, Cincinnati, Office of Research and Development

Katrina Cherry, Director, Office of Management and International Services, Office of International and Tribal Affairs

Alexis Strauss-Hacker, Deputy Regional Administrator, Region 9

Dated: December 9, 2013.

# Nanci E. Gelb,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Administration and Resources Management. [FR Doc. 2013–30330 Filed 12–19–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

# EXPORT-IMPORT BANK OF THE UNITED STATES

[Public Notice: 2013-3007]

# Agency Information Collection Activities: Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Export-Import Bank of the United States.

**ACTION:** Submission for OMB review and comments request.

Form Title: EIB 10–02 Application for Short-Term Express Credit Insurance Policy.

**SUMMARY:** The Export-Import Banks of the United States (Ex-Im Bank), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal Agencies to comment on the proposed information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

This collection of information is necessary, pursuant to 12 U.S.C. 635(a)(1), to determine eligibility of the applicant for Ex-Im Bank assistance.

The application tool can be reviewed at: http://exim.gov/pub/pending/eib10\_02.pdf.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before January 21, 2014 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted electronically on www.regulations.gov (EIB–2013–0048) or by mail to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, 725 17th Street NW. Washington, DC 20038 Attn: OMB 3048–EIB10–02.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title and Form Number: EIB 10–02 Application for Short-Term Express Credit Insurance Policy.

OMB Number: 3048–0031. Type of Review: Regular.

Need and Use: This form is used by an exporter (or broker acting on its behalf) in order to obtain approval for coverage of the repayment risk of export sales. The information received allows Ex-Im Bank staff to make a determination of the eligibility of the applicant and the creditworthiness of one of the applicant's foreign buyers for Ex-Im Bank assistance under its programs.

This is the application form for use by small U.S. businesses with limited export experience. Companies that are eligible to use the Express policy will need to answer approximately 20 questions and sign an acknowledgement of the certifications that appear on the reverse of the application form. This program does not provide discretionary credit authority to the U.S. exporter, and