

importation of certain vision-based driver assistance system cameras and components thereof by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 2, 3, and 8 of the '287 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: TRW Automotive U.S. LLC, 12001 Tech Center Drive, Livonia, MI 48150.

(b) The respondent is the following entity alleged to be in violation of section 337, and is the party upon which the complaint is to be served: Magna Electronics, Inc., 601 Abbot Road, East Lansing, MI 48823.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondent in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of the respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

Issued: November 7, 2013.

By order of the Commission.
William R. Bishop,
Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.
 [FR Doc. 2013-27208 Filed 11-13-13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-13-030]

Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: United States International Trade Commission
TIME AND DATE: November 15, 2013 at 9:30 a.m.

PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

STATUS: Open to the public

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agendas for future meetings: none
2. Minutes
3. Ratification List
4. Vote in Inv. Nos. 701-TA-503-504 and 731-TA-1229-1230 (Preliminary) (Monosodium Glutamate from China and Indonesia). The Commission is currently scheduled to complete and file its determinations on or before November 18, 2013; Commissioners' opinions will be issued on November 25, 2013.

5. Outstanding action jackets: none
 In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting. Earlier notification of this meeting was not possible.

By order of the Commission:
 Issued: November 7, 2013.
William R. Bishop,
Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.
 [FR Doc. 2013-27361 Filed 11-12-13; 11:15 am]
BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

On November 6, 2013, the United States Department of Justice lodged a proposed Operable Unit Three Consent Decree ("Decree") with the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. Air Products and Chemicals, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No. 13-6695 (CCC) (MF).

The proposed consent decree provides for the performance of a remedial action, pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. § 9601, *et seq.*, selected by the United States Environmental Protection Agency for Operable Unit Three ("OU 3") at the Scientific Chemical Processing ("SCP") Superfund Site in Carlstadt, Bergen County, New Jersey.

The OU 3 remedial action for the SCP Carlstadt site will be performed by a group of Settling Defendants, consisting of Air Products and Chemicals, Inc., Akzo Nobel Coatings, Inc., Alcatel-Lucent USA Inc., ARKEMA Inc., Ashland Inc., Avantor Performance Materials, Inc., Avery Dennison Corporation, BASF Corporation, Benjamin Moore & Co., Ber Mar Manufacturing Corp., Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Browning-Ferris Industries of New Jersey, Inc., CBS Corporation, Chemcoat Inc., CNA Holdings LLC, Continental Holdings Inc., Cycle Chem, Inc., Cytec Industries, Inc., Dri-Print Foils, Inc., E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Exxon Mobil Corporation/ExxonMobil Oil Corporation, General Electric Company, GlaxoSmithKline, LLC, Goodrich Corporation, HCR ManorCare, Inc., Hoffmann-La Roche, Inc., Honeywell International Inc., John L. Armitage & Co., Johnson & Johnson, Kirker Enterprises, Inc., L.E. Carpenter & Company, LANXESS Corporation, Mack Trucks, Inc., Merck & Co., Inc., Momentive Specialty Chemicals Inc., Nepera, Inc., New England Laminates Co., Inc., Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation, Occidental Chemical Corporation, Pan Technology, Inc., Permacel, Pfizer Inc, Pharmacia LLC, Revlon Consumer Products Corporation, Rohm and Haas Company, Seagrave Coatings Corp. (NJ), SI Group, Inc., Siegfried (USA), Inc., Simon Wrecking Company, Inc./Simon Resources, Inc./Mid State Trading Co., The Dow Chemical Company, The Warner Lambert Co., LLC, 3M Company, Trane U.S., Inc., Union Carbide Corporation, United Technologies Corporation, and Veolia ES Technical Solutions, L.L.C. The proposed consent decree also requires the defendants to pay \$50,000 for reimbursement of EPA past costs at the site.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. Air Products and Chemicals, Inc., et al.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-

11–2–495/2. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By e-mail	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the consent decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/ConsentDecrees.html>. We will provide a paper copy of the consent decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$48.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury. For a paper copy without the appendices and signature pages, the cost is \$12.25.

Robert E. Maher, Jr.,
Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2013–27197 Filed 11–13–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. OSHA–2010–0023]

Overhead and Gantry Cranes; Extension of the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) Approval of Information Collection (Paperwork) Requirements

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Request for public comments.

SUMMARY: OSHA solicits public comments concerning its proposal to extend the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) approval of the information collection requirements specified in the Standard on Overhead and Gantry Cranes (29 CFR 1910.179).

DATES: Comments must be submitted (postmarked, sent, or received) by January 13, 2014.

ADDRESSES: *Electronically:* You may submit comments and attachments electronically at <http://www.regulations.gov>, which is the Federal eRulemaking Portal. Follow the instructions online for submitting comments.

Facsimile: If your comments, including attachments, are not longer than 10 pages you may fax them to the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693–1648.

Mail, hand delivery, express mail, messenger, or courier service: When using this method, you must submit a copy of your comments and attachments to the OSHA Docket Office, Docket No. OSHA–2010–0023, U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Room N–2625, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210. Deliveries (hand, express mail, messenger, and courier service) are accepted during the Department of Labor's and Docket Office's normal business hours, 8:15 a.m. to 4:45 p.m., e.t.

Instructions: All submissions must include the Agency name and the OSHA docket number (OSHA–2010–0023) for the Information Collection Request (ICR). All comments, including any personal information you provide, are placed in the public docket without change, and may be made available online at <http://www.regulations.gov>. For further information on submitting comments see the "Public Participation" heading in the section of this notice titled **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**.

Docket: To read or download comments or other material in the docket, go to <http://www.regulations.gov> or the OSHA Docket Office at the address above. All documents in the docket (including this **Federal Register** notice) are listed in the <http://www.regulations.gov> index; however, some information (e.g., copyrighted material) is not publicly available to read or download from the Web site. All submissions, including copyrighted material, are available for inspection and copying at the OSHA Docket Office. You may also contact Theda Kenney at the address below to obtain a copy of the ICR.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Theda Kenney or Todd Owen, Directorate of Standards and Guidance, OSHA, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N–3609, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693–2222.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork

and respondent (*i.e.*, employer) burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the public with an opportunity to comment on proposed and continuing information collection requirements in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA–95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program ensures that information is in the desired format, reporting burden (time and costs) is minimal, collection instruments are clearly understood, and OSHA's estimate of the information collection burden is accurate. The Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (the OSH Act) (29 U.S.C. 651 *et seq.*) authorizes information collection by employers as necessary or appropriate for enforcement of the OSH Act or for developing information regarding the causes and prevention of occupational injuries, illnesses, and accidents (29 U.S.C. 657). The OSH Act also requires that OSHA obtain such information with minimum burden upon employers, especially those operating small businesses, and to reduce to the maximum extent feasible unnecessary duplication of efforts in obtaining information (29 U.S.C. 657).

The paperwork provisions of the Standard on Overhead and Gantry Cranes specify requirements for: Marking the rated load of cranes; and preparing certification records to verify the inspection of the crane hooks, hoist chains, and rope; preparing reports of rated load tests for repaired hooks or modified cranes. Records and reports must be maintained and disclosed upon request.

II. Special Issues for Comment

OSHA has a particular interest in comments on the following issues:

- Whether the proposed information collection requirements are necessary for the proper performance of the Agency's functions, including whether the information is useful;
- The accuracy of OSHA's estimate of the burden (time and costs) of the information collection requirements, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- The quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden on employers who must comply; for example, by using automated or other technological information collection and transmission techniques.

III. Proposed Actions

OSHA is requesting that it retain its previous estimate of 321,380 burden hours. This is a result of no new information on the number of overhead and gantry cranes in use.