

ANNUAL BURDEN ESTIMATES—Continued

Instrument	Total number of respondents	Annual number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Total annual burden hours
Data file submission	15	5	4	0.6	12
Administrative Data Study annual burden hours					12
OVERALL ANNUAL BURDEN HOURS					4,418

In compliance with the requirements of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Administration for Children and Families is soliciting public comment on the specific aspects of the information collection described above. Copies of the proposed collection of information can be obtained and comments may be forwarded by writing to the Administration for Children and Families, Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation, 370 L'Enfant Promenade, SW., Washington, DC 20447, Attn: OPRE Reports Clearance Officer. Email address: OPREinfocollection@acf.hhs.gov. All requests should be identified by the title of the information collection.

The Department specifically requests comments on (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the

proposed collection of information; (c) the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted within 60 days of this publication.

Steven M. Hanmer,

Reports Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2013–22310 Filed 9–12–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4184–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Administration for Children and Families

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Title: Guidance for Tribal TANF.

ANNUAL BURDEN ESTIMATES

Instrument	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Total burden hours
Request for State Data Needed to Determine the Amount of a Tribal Family Assistance Grant	23	1	68	1564

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1,564.

Additional Information: Copies of the proposed collection may be obtained by writing to the Administration for Children and Families, Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation, 370 L'Enfant Promenade SW., Washington, DC 20447, Attn: ACF Reports Clearance Officer. All requests should be identified by the title of the information collection. Email address: infocollection@acf.hhs.gov.

OMB Comment: OMB is required to make a decision concerning the collection of information between 30 and 60 days after publication of this document in the **Federal Register**.

Therefore, a comment is best assured of having its full effect if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent directly to the following: Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project, Fax: 202–395–7285, Email: OIRA_SUBMISSION@OMB.EOP.GOV, Attn: Desk Officer for the Administration for Children and Families.

Robert Sargis,

Reports Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2013–22252 Filed 9–12–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4184–01–P

OMB No.: 0970–0157.

Description: 42 U.S.C. 612 (Section 412 of the Social Security Act) requires each Indian Tribe that elects to administer and operate a Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program to submit a TANF Tribal Plan. The TANF Tribal Plan is a mandatory statement submitted to the Secretary by the Indian Tribe, which consists of an outline of how the Indian Tribes TANF program will be administered and operated. It is used by the Secretary to determine whether the plan is approvable and to determine that the Indian Tribe is eligible to receive a TANF assistance grant. It is also made available to the public.

Respondents: Indian Tribes applying to operate a TANF program.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDA–2013–N–0577]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for Office of Management and Budget Review; Comment Request; Requirements for Submission of Labeling for Human Prescription Drugs and Biologics in Electronic Format

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that a proposed collection of information has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Fax written comments on the collection of information by October 15, 2013.

ADDRESSES: To ensure that comments on the information collection are received, OMB recommends that written comments be faxed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, Attn: FDA Desk Officer, FAX: 202-395-7285, or emailed to oir_submission@omb.eop.gov. All comments should be identified with the OMB control number 0910-0530. Also include the FDA docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: FDA PRA Staff, Office of Operations, Food and Drug Administration, 1350 Piccard Dr., PI50-400B, Rockville, MD 20850, PRASStaff@fda.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In compliance with 44 U.S.C. 3507, FDA has submitted the following proposed collection of information to OMB for review and clearance.

Requirements for Submission of Labeling for Human Prescription Drugs and Biologics in Electronic Format—(OMB Control Number 0910-0530)—Extension

FDA is requesting that OMB extend approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520) for the information collection resulting from the requirement that the content of labeling for prescription drug products be submitted to FDA electronically in a form that FDA can process, review, and archive. This requirement was set forth in the final rule entitled "Requirements for Submission of Labeling for Human Prescription Drugs and Biologics in Electronic Format" (December 11, 2003; 68 FR 69009), which amended FDA regulations governing the format in which certain labeling is required to be submitted for FDA review with new drug applications (NDAs) (21 CFR 314.50(l)(1)(i)), including supplemental NDAs, abbreviated new drug applications (ANDAs) (21 CFR 314.94(d)(1)(ii)), including supplemental ANDAs, and annual reports (21 CFR 314.81(b)(2)(iii)(b)) (the final rule also applied to certain BLAs, but the information collection for these requirements is not part of this OMB approval request).

This OMB approval request is only for the burden associated with the electronic submission of the content of labeling. The burden for submitting labeling as part of NDAs, ANDAs, supplemental NDAs and ANDAs, and annual reports, has been approved by OMB under control number 0910-0001.

We estimate that it should take applicants approximately 1.25 hours to convert the content of labeling from Word or PDF to structured labeling format (SPL) format. The main task involved in this conversion is copying the content from one document (Word or PDF) to another (SPL). Over the past few years, several enhancements have been made to SPL authoring software which significantly reduces the burden and time needed to generate well-formed SPL documents. SPL authors may now copy a paragraph from a Word or PDF document and paste the text into the appropriate section of an SPL document. In those cases where an SPL author needs to create a table, the table text may be copied from the Word or PDF document and pasted into each table cell in the SPL document, eliminating the need to retype any information. Enhancements have also been made to the software for conversion vendors. Conversion software vendors have designed tools which will import the Word version of the content of labeling and, within minutes, automatically generate the SPL document (a few formatting edits may have to be made).

Based on the number of content of labeling submissions received during the past few years, we estimate that approximately 5,750 content of labeling submissions are made annually with original NDAs, ANDAs, supplemental NDAs and ANDAs, and annual reports by approximately 500 applicants. Therefore, the total annual hours to convert the content of labeling from Word or PDF to SPL format would be approximately 7,187.50 hours.

Concerning costs, we conclude that there are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information. In May 2009, FDA issued a guidance for industry entitled "Providing Regulatory Submissions in Electronic Format—Drug Establishment Registration and Listing." The guidance describes how to electronically create and submit SPL files using defined code sets and codes for establishment registration and drug listing information, including labeling. The information collection resulting from this guidance, discussed in the **Federal Register** of January 8, 2009 (74 FR 816), has been approved by OMB under control number 0910-0045. As

discussed in the January 8, 2009, **Federal Register** notice, to create an SPL file and submit it to FDA, a registrant would need the following tools: A computer, appropriate software, access to the Internet, knowledge of terminology and standards, and access to FDA's electronic submission gateway (ESG). Registrants (and most individuals) have computers and Internet access available for their use. If a business does not have an available computer or access to the Internet, free use of computers and the Internet are usually available at public facilities, e.g., a community library. In addition, there should be no additional costs associated with obtaining the appropriate software. In 2008, FDA collaborated with GlobalSubmit to make available free SPL authoring software that SPL authors may utilize to create new SPL documents or edit previous versions. (Information on obtaining this software is explained in section IV.A of the guidance "Providing Regulatory Submissions in Electronic Format—Drug Establishment Registration and Listing.") In addition to the software, FDA also provides technical assistance and other resources, code sets and codes, and data standards regarding SPL files.

After the SPL file is created, the registrant would upload the file through the ESG, as explained in the January 8, 2009, **Federal Register** notice. A digital certificate is needed to use the ESG. The digital certificate binds together the owner's name and a pair of electronic keys (a public key and a private key) that can be used to encrypt and sign documents. A fee of up to \$20 is charged for the digital certificate and the registrant may need to renew the certificate not less than annually. We are not calculating this fee as a cost for this extension because all applicants who submit content of labeling are also subject to the drug establishment registration and listing requirements and would have already acquired the digital certificate as a result of the May 2009 guidance on drug establishment registration and listing.

In the **Federal Register** of May 30, 2013 (78 FR 32392), FDA published a 60-day notice requesting public comment on the proposed collection of information. There were two comments received. Both comments disagreed with FDA's estimate of 1.25 hours for converting the content of labeling to SPL format. One comment said it would take 4 to 12 hours for experienced users to develop the initial well-formed SPL and 4-5 hours for an SPL update, and that these estimates vary depending on the complexity of the labeling metadata

and whether changes are necessary. The comment said that FDA also needs to account for the time required for validating the SPL extensible markup language (XML) file, including the need for using at least one XML validation tool to ensure that the SPL file set is well-formed and error-free. The comment said that copying table cells to create an SPL file is time consuming and prone to errors, and that software tools do not allow users to copy and paste the text and render it as intended in SPL. The comment said that most users need to apply applicable formatting to tables, which leads to longer conversion timelines, and that manually creating the SPL file and proofing the document is time-consuming.

One comment said that updating the SPL file for submission to FDA when a non-annotated or partially annotated MS Word document is received from FDA requires a significant amount of time to identify the changes to the labeling. The comment said that although SPL is a useful and necessary format it involves extra time and costs for staying current with changes in terminology and software versions, and for conversion from another format when FDA requests documents in both MS Word and SPL. The comment said that maintaining multiple formats of labeling, negotiating FDA comments, and documenting agreements to final labeling is time-consuming.

A comment requested that FDA use a single, electronic file format for receipt, review, and revision of labeling. The comment said that companies currently receive information from FDA during its review of labeling in many formats, including MS Word (both editable and hard-formatted), faxes, texts, in emails, or other scanned documents with handwritten comments. The comment noted that each iteration of the MS Word document may need to be converted to SPL for submission, and that managing the same activities for two different formats doubles the workload and causes incremental costs to be incurred by companies. The comment also said that there should be better document management (e.g., version control, tracking changes, and validation) of the MS Word documents. A comment said that the staffing, expertise, and technical support necessary to independently determine the need for a labeling change is costly.

One comment preferred the use of MS Word for labeling revisions and negotiations with FDA, and said that until FDA is able to revise labeling using only SPL it should not require an SPL submission until 14 days post-approval.

Concerning the need for a digital certificate to use the ESG, one comment said that companies may need to renew the certificate "not less than annually." The comment said that FDA should maintain an accurate list of acceptable

digital certificate vendors and communicate the list to stakeholders via a formal process, as well as issue appropriate notice of changes. The comment noted that some companies received messages from FDA that one of the vendors listed as an approved certificate vendor was not acceptable for use for submissions to FDA, and, as a result, the companies purchased multiple certificates from different vendors in order to use the ESG.

A comment requested clarification concerning the type of filing needed or anticipated by FDA to make an appropriate labeling decision. The comment said that the **Federal Register** notice is not clear about what type of filing is needed "other than a CBE [change being effected], which will not allow the Agency adequate time to review if the label change is solely linked to one manufacturer or if it is indeed a product related safety concern applicable to an entire class of pharmaceuticals."

FDA appreciates the comments. We will respond to the issues raised in the comments and amend this collection if necessary as soon as we have gathered sufficient information to address the cost issues specified in the comments. The public will have an opportunity to comment on our response at that time.

FDA estimates the burden of this collection of information as follows:

TABLE 1—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN ¹

Content of labeling submissions in NDAs, ANDAs, supplemental NDAs and ANDAs, and annual reports	Number of respondents	Number of responses per response	Total annual responses	Average burden per response	Total hours
	500	11.50	5750	1.25	7,187.50

¹ There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

Dated: September 6, 2013.

Leslie Kux,

Assistant Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2013-22312 Filed 9-12-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-P