

- Attachment B—a redacted copy of the contract;
- Attachment C—proposed changes to the Mail Classification Schedule competitive product list with the addition underlined;
- Attachment D—a Statement of Supporting Justification as required by 39 CFR 3020.32;
- Attachment E—a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a); and
- Attachment F—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain redacted portions of the contract and related financial information under seal.

In the Statement of Supporting Justification, Dennis R. Nicoski, Manager, Field Sales Strategy and Contracts, asserts that the contract will cover its attributable costs, make a positive contribution toward coverage of institutional costs, and increase contribution toward the requisite 5.5 percent of the Postal Service's total institutional costs. *Id.* Attachment D at 1. Mr. Nicoski contends that there will be no issue of market dominant products subsidizing competitive products as a result of this contract. *Id.*

**Related contract.** The Postal Service included a redacted version of the related contract with the Request. *Id.* Attachment B. The contract is scheduled to become effective the day following the date on which the Commission issues all regulatory approvals. *Id.* at 7. The contract will expire on September 30, 2016, unless, among other things, either party terminates the agreement upon three months' written notice to the other party. *Id.* The Postal Service represents that the contract is consistent with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a). *Id.* Attachment E.

The Postal Service filed much of the supporting materials, including the related contract, under seal. *Id.* Attachment F. It maintains that the redacted portions of the Governors' Decision, contract, customer-identifying information, and related financial information, should remain confidential. *Id.* at 3. This information includes the price structure, underlying costs and assumptions, pricing formulas, information relevant to the customer's mailing profile, and cost coverage projections. *Id.* The Postal Service asks the Commission to protect customer-identifying information from public disclosure indefinitely. *Id.* at 7.

## II. Notice of Filings

The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2013–59 and CP2013–80 to consider the Request pertaining to the proposed Parcel Select Contract 7

product and the related contract, respectively.

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service's filings in the captioned dockets are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642, 39 CFR 3015.5, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comments are due no later than September 10, 2013. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

The Commission appoints Lyudmila Y. Bzhilyanskaya to serve as Public Representative in these dockets.

## III. Ordering Paragraphs

*It is ordered:*

1. The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2013–59 and CP2013–80 to consider the matters raised in each docket.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Lyudmila Y. Bzhilyanskaya is appointed to serve as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings.

3. Comments by interested persons in these proceedings are due no later than September 10, 2013.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

**Shoshana M. Grove,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2013–21795 Filed 9–6–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P**

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. MC2013–58 and CP2013–79;  
Order No. 1825]

### New Postal Product

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing concerning the addition of Priority Mail Express & Priority Mail Contract 14 to the competitive product list. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

**DATES:** *Comments are due:* September 10, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by

telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Filings
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

#### I. Introduction

In accordance with 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*, the Postal Service filed a formal request and associated supporting information to add Priority Mail Express & Priority Mail Contract 14 to the competitive product list.<sup>1</sup> The Postal Service asserts that Priority Mail Express & Priority Mail Contract 14 is a competitive product “not of general applicability” within the meaning of 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3). Request at 1. The Request has been assigned Docket No. MC2013–58.

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a redacted contract related to the proposed new product under 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. *Id.* Attachment B. The instant contract has been assigned Docket No. CP2013–79.

**Request.** To support its Request, the Postal Service filed six attachments as follows:

- Attachment A—a redacted copy of Governors' Decision No. 11–6, authorizing the new product;
- Attachment B—a redacted copy of the contract;
- Attachment C—proposed changes to the Mail Classification Schedule competitive product list with the addition underlined;
- Attachment D—a Statement of Supporting Justification as required by 39 CFR 3020.32;
- Attachment E—a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a); and
- Attachment F—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain redacted portions of the contract and related financial information under seal.

In the Statement of Supporting Justification, Dennis R. Nicoski, Manager, Field Sales Strategy and Contracts, asserts that the contract will cover its attributable costs, make a positive contribution to coverage of institutional costs, and increase

<sup>1</sup> Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Priority Mail Express & Priority Mail Contract 14 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing (Under Seal) of Unredacted Governors' Decision, Contract, and Supporting Data, August 30, 2013 (Request).

contribution toward the requisite 5.5 percent of the Postal Service's total institutional costs. *Id.* Attachment D at 1. Mr. Nicoski contends that there will be no issue of market dominant products subsidizing competitive products as a result of this contract. *Id.* *Related contract.* The Postal Service included a redacted version of the related contract with the Request. *Id.* Attachment B. The contract is scheduled to become effective one business day following the date that the Commission issues all regulatory approval. *Id.* at 4. The contract will expire 3 years from the effective date. *Id.* The Postal Service represents that the contract is consistent with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a). *Id.* Attachment E.

The Postal Service filed much of the supporting materials, including the related contract, under seal. *Id.* Attachment F. It maintains that the redacted portions of the Governors' Decision, contract, customer-identifying information, and related financial information should remain confidential. *Id.* at 3. This information includes the price structure, underlying costs and assumptions, pricing formulas, information relevant to the customer's mailing profile, and cost coverage projections. *Id.* The Postal Service asks the Commission to protect customer-identifying information from public disclosure indefinitely. *Id.* at 7.

## II. Notice of Filings

The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2013–58 and CP2013–79 to consider the Request pertaining to the proposed Priority Mail Express & Priority Mail Contract 14 product and the related contract, respectively.

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service's filings in the captioned dockets are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642, 39 CFR 3015.5, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comments are due no later than September 10, 2013. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

The Commission appoints Pamela A. Thompson to serve as Public Representative in these dockets.

## III. Ordering Paragraphs

*It is ordered:*

1. The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2013–58 and CP2013–79 to consider the matters raised in each docket.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Pamela A. Thompson is appointed to serve as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the

interests of the general public in these proceedings.

3. Comments by interested persons in these proceedings are due no later than September 10, 2013.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

**Shoshana M. Grove,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2013–21794 Filed 9–6–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–70303]

### Order Exempting Broker-Dealers Participating in NYSE Arca, Inc.'s Lead Market Maker Incentive Program From Section 11(d)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 11d1–2 Thereunder

September 3, 2013.

On June 6, 2013, the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) approved a proposed rule change of NYSE Arca, Inc. (“Exchange” or “NYSE Arca”) to adopt new NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.800 (“Rule 8.800”). Rule 8.800 establishes an incentive program on a pilot basis (“Incentive Program”) for Lead Market Makers (“LMMs”) in certain exchange-traded products (“ETPs”).<sup>1</sup> The Incentive Program is designed to encourage market makers to take LMM assignments in certain lower volume ETPs by offering an alternative fee structure for those LMMs and “LMM Payments” that would be funded from the Exchange's general revenues if the LMM meets or exceeds certain performance standards set forth in Rule 8.800(c) that relate to the LMM's quoting activity in the ETP. The costs of the Incentive Program would be funded by charging participating issuers non-refundable “Optional Incentive Fees”

<sup>1</sup> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 69706 (June 6, 2013), 78 FR 35340 (June 12, 2013) (SR–NYSEArca–2013–34) (the “Approval Order”). The Approval Order contains a detailed description of the Incentive Program. On March 21, 2013, the Exchange filed with the Commission, pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (“Act” or “Exchange Act”) and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, a proposed rule change to establish the Program. The proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 1 thereto, was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on April 11, 2013. Securities Exchange Act Release No. 69335 (Apr. 5, 2013), 78 FR 21681 (Apr. 11, 2013). The Approval Order grants approval of the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendments No. 1 and 2.

which may be paid by sponsors on behalf of the issuer.

Section 11(d)(1) of the Exchange Act<sup>2</sup> generally prohibits a broker-dealer from extending or maintaining credit, or arranging for the extension or maintenance of credit, on shares of new issue securities, if the broker-dealer participated in the distribution of the new issue securities within the preceding 30 days. Shares of open-end investment companies and unit investment trusts registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, such as exchange traded fund (“ETF”) shares, are distributed in a continuous manner. Broker-dealers that sell such securities are therefore participating in the “distribution” of a new issue for purposes of Section 11(d)(1).<sup>3</sup>

The Division of Trading and Markets, acting under delegated authority, granted an exemption from Section 11(d)(1) and Rule 11d1–2 thereunder to broker-dealers that have entered into an agreement with an ETF's distributor to place orders with the distributor to purchase or redeem the ETF's shares (“Broker-Dealer APs”).<sup>4</sup> The SIA Exemption allows a Broker-Dealer AP to extend or maintain credit, or arrange for the extension or maintenance of credit, to or for customers on the shares of qualifying ETFs subject to the condition that neither the Broker-Dealer AP, nor any natural person associated with the Broker-Dealer AP, directly or indirectly (including through any affiliate of the Broker-Dealer AP), receives from the fund complex any payment, compensation, or other economic incentive to promote or sell the shares of the ETF to persons outside the fund complex, other than non-cash compensation permitted under NASD Rule 2830(l)(5)(A), (B), or (C). This condition is intended to eliminate special incentives that Broker-Dealer APs and their associated persons might otherwise have to “push” ETF shares.

The Incentive Program will permit certain ETPs, including ETFs and commodity-based exchange traded trusts, to voluntarily incur increased listing fees payable to the Exchange. In turn, the Exchange will use a portion of the fees to make LMM Payments to market makers that improve the market quality of participating issuers’

<sup>2</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78k(d)(1).

<sup>3</sup> See, e.g., Exchange Act Release Nos. 6726 (Feb. 8, 1962), 27 FR 1415 (Feb. 15, 1962) and 21577 (Dec. 18, 1984), 49 FR 50174 (Dec. 27, 1984).

<sup>4</sup> See Letter from Catherine McGuire, Chief Counsel, Division of Trading and Markets, Securities and Exchange Commission to Securities Industry Association (Nov. 21, 2005) (“SIA Exemption”).