

at: <http://www.regulations.gov/>. Then follow the online search instructions to locate docket number “NHTSA–2012–0008.”

For further information on this decision contact Mr. Michael Cole, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), telephone (202) 366–2334, facsimile (202) 366–7002.

**Equipment Involved:** Affected are approximately 40,544 Type HB2 replaceable light sources that were manufactured by Osram Sylvania Products, Inc., between September 25, 2011, and October 8, 2011.

**Summary of Osram’s Analysis and Arguments:** Osram explains that the noncompliance is due to an error in the production facility. Certain Type HB2 replaceable light sources were produced with an incorrect upper beam filament wire which results in an upper beam luminous flux outside (below) the specifications as required in paragraph S7.7 of FMVSS No. 108.

Osram stated that although the subject Type HB2 replaceable light source may not meet the required luminous flux specifications, the noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety. Osram came to this conclusion based on the following results of testing that it conducted on a large sample of lamps using the subject noncompliant Type HB2 replaceable light sources:

(1) In half of the vehicle/lamp applications, the upper beam photometry specified for HB2 lamps will continue to be met;

(2) In the remaining applications, the photometry performance falls just below the specified minimums for HB2 lamps (and in no more than three, but typically just one or two, test points on a per-measured headlamp basis); and

(3) All lamps using the noncompliant bulbs perform at or above the upper beam photometry requirements of other lamp types, such as HB1 and HB5, that are currently permitted by FMVSS 108 and in prevalent use on U.S. roads.

Osram also stated that the issue that caused the subject noncompliance has been corrected at the production facility and all products currently being shipped meet the applicable requirements.

In summation, Osram believes that the described noncompliance of its Type HB2 replaceable light sources to meet the requirements of FMVSS No. 108 is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety, and that its petition, to exempt from providing recall notification of noncompliance as required by 49 U.S.C. 30118 and remedying the recall

noncompliance as required by 49 U.S.C. 30120 should be granted.

#### *NHTSA Analysis and Decision:*

#### **Requirement Background**

Section S7.7 of FMVSS No. 108 specifically states:

S7.7 Each replaceable light source shall be designed to conform to the dimensions and electrical specifications furnished with respect to it pursuant to part 564 of this chapter, and shall conform to the following requirements: . . .

NHTSA has reviewed and accepts Osram’s analyses that this noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety. While the replaceable light source marginally fails to comply with the luminous flux requirements of Docket No. NHTSA–1998–3397–0011, when it is placed into a headlamp, it does meet the FMVSS photometry requirements.

The subject replaceable light source fell 4% below the lower limit for the upper beam of HB2 bulbs, rendering it noncompliant. According to Osram, this was due to an incorrect filament wire being used during production. When this noncompliance was determined, the entire inventory of suspect light sources of Osram’s sole customer of original equipment was returned to Osram. Therefore, this petition only applies to aftermarket products. Headlamp performance is primarily affected by luminous flux output and filament geometry. Osram found that while bulbs produced with the incorrect filament wire did not meet the upper beam luminous flux requirements, they did comply with upper beam filament geometry requirements. This allowed headlamps using the subject replaceable light sources to pass the upper beam photometry requirements specified in section UB3 of Table XVIII in FMVSS No. 108. Furthermore, in a 2006 University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute report,<sup>3</sup> researchers observed that upper beams were only used for 3.1% of the distance driven at night. This indicates that the potential safety risk with slightly less intensity lighting would be further diminished because the noncompliance only applies to upper beam performance.

As such, NHTSA agrees that due to a combination of the following factors: The subject replaceable light source only fell 4% below the lower limit, headlamps with the subject light sources pass FMVSS 108 photometry requirements, only aftermarket products are affected, and only the upper beam is

affected; an occupant using the noncompliant subject light source would not be exposed to a significantly greater risk than an occupant using a similar compliant light source. Therefore the noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety.

In consideration of the foregoing, NHTSA has decided that Osram has met its burden of persuasion that the FMVSS No. 108 noncompliance in the Type HB2 replaceable light sources identified in Osram’s Noncompliance Information Report is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety. Accordingly, Osram’s petition is granted and the Osram is exempted from the obligation of providing notification of, and a remedy for, that noncompliance under 49 U.S.C. 30118 and 30120.

NHTSA notes that the statutory provisions (49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h)) that permit manufacturers to file petitions for a determination of inconsequentiality allow NHTSA to exempt manufacturers only from the duties found in sections 30118 and 30120, respectively, to notify owners, purchasers, and dealers of a defect or noncompliance and to remedy the defect or noncompliance. Therefore, this decision only applies to the subject Type HB2 replaceable light sources that Osram no longer controlled at the time it determined that a noncompliance existed.

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 30118, 30120; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.95 and 501.8.

Issued on July 25, 2013.

**Claude H. Harris,**

*Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2013–18243 Filed 7–29–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–59–P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**

### **Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request**

July 25, 2013.

The Department of the Treasury will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13, on or after the date of publication of this notice.

**DATES:** Comments should be received on or before August 29, 2013 to be assured of consideration.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments regarding the burden estimate, or any other aspect of the information collection, including suggestion for reducing the burden, to (1) Office of Information and Regulatory

<sup>3</sup> “Real-World Use of High-Beam Headlamps”. Report No: UMTRI–2006–11, Mefford, Flannagan, and Bogard, April 2006.



Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for Treasury, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, or email at

[OIRA\\_Submission@OMB.EOP.GOV](mailto:OIRA_Submission@OMB.EOP.GOV) and (2) Treasury PRA Clearance Officer, 1750 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Suite 8140, Washington, DC 20220, or email at [PRA@treasury.gov](mailto:PRA@treasury.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling (202) 927-5331, email at [PRA@treasury.gov](mailto:PRA@treasury.gov), or the entire information collection request may be found at [www.reginfo.gov](http://www.reginfo.gov).

**Bureau of the Fiscal Service**

*OMB Number:* 1510-0007.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Title:* Direct Deposit Sign-Up Form and Go Direct Sign Up Form.

*Form:* SF-1199A, FMS 1200, FMS 1200VADE, FMS 1201L, FMS form 1201S.

*Abstract:* The Direct Deposit Sign-Up Forms are used by recipients to authorize the deposit of Federal payments into their accounts at financial institutions. The information is used to route the Direct Deposit payment to the correct account at the correct financial institution. It identifies persons who have executed the form.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or Households.

*Estimated Total Burden Hours:* 69,142.

*OMB Number:* 1510-0035.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Title:* Assignment Form.

*Form:* FMS Form 6314.

*Abstract:* This form is used when an award holder wants to assign or transfer all or part of his/her award to another person. When this occurs, the award holder forfeits all future rights to the portion assigned.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or Households.

*Estimated Annual Burden Hours:* 75.

*OMB Number:* 1510-0066.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Title:* 31 CFR Part 208—Management; Final Rule.

*Abstract:* This regulation requires that most Federal payments be made by Electronic Funds Transfer (EFT); sets forth waiver requirements; and provides for a low-cost Treasury-designated account to individuals at a financial institution that offers such accounts.

*Affected Public:* Private Sector: Businesses or other for-profit.

*Estimated Annual Burden Hours:* 325.

**Dawn D. Wolfgang,**

*Treasury PRA Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2013-18228 Filed 7-29-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4810-35-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**

**Community Development Financial Institutions Fund**

**Proposed Collection; Comment Request**

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of the Treasury, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). Currently, the Community Development Financial Institutions Fund (the CDFI Fund), an office within the Department of the Treasury, is soliciting comments concerning the Bank Enterprise Award (BEA) Program Awardee Reporting Form.

**DATES:** Written comments should be received on or before September 30, 2013 to be assured of consideration.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all comments to Mia Sowell, Senior Policy and Program Officer, Community Development Financial Institutions Fund, U.S. Department of the Treasury, 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20220, by email to [cdfihelp@cdfi.treas.gov](mailto:cdfihelp@cdfi.treas.gov), by phone to (202) 653-0421, or by facsimile to (202) 508-0083. Please note that these are not toll free numbers.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The BEA Program Awardee Reporting Form may be obtained from the BEA Program page of the CDFI Fund's Web site at <http://www.cdfifund.gov/bea>. Requests for additional information should be directed to Mia Sowell, Senior Policy and Program Officer, Community Development Financial Institutions Fund, U.S. Department of the Treasury, 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20220, by email to [cdfi@cdfi.treas.gov](mailto:cdfi@cdfi.treas.gov), by phone to (202) 653-0421, or by facsimile to (202) 508-0083. Please note that these are not toll free numbers.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*OMB Number:* 1559-0032.

*Title:* Bank Enterprise Award (BEA) Program Awardee Reporting Form.

*Abstract:* The purpose of the BEA Program is to provide an incentive to insured depository institutions to increase their activities in the form of loans, investments, services, and technical assistance within distressed communities and provide financial assistance to certified Community Development Financial Institutions through grants, stock purchases, loans, deposits, and other forms of financial and technical assistance. Applicants submit applications and are evaluated in accordance with statutory and regulatory requirements (12 CFR 1806). Beginning in the FY 2009 funding round, the CDFI Fund required BEA awardees to use an amount equivalent to the BEA Award amount for BEA Qualified Activities, as defined in the BEA Program regulations. Awardees with awards over \$50,000 and/or Persistent Poverty County (PPC) commitments are required to report to the CDFI Fund on these Qualified Activities.

*Current Actions:* There are no changes being made to this collection.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Affected Public:* Insured depository institutions that receive a BEA Program award.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 40.

*Estimated Annual Time per Respondent:* 1 hour.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 40 hours.

*Requests for Comments:* Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval. All comments will become a matter of public record and will be published on the CDFI Fund Web site at <http://www.cdfifund.gov>. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collections of