

completion; demonstrated the ability to administer and revolve the capitalization funding in the RLF grant; demonstrated an ability to use the RLF grant to address funding gaps for cleanup; and demonstrated that they have provided a community benefit from past and potential loan(s) and/or subgrant(s). Special consideration may be given to those communities affected

by plant closures or other economic disruptions. Special consideration may also be given to those grantees that can demonstrate projects that have a clear prospect of aiding the in-sourcing of manufacturing capacity and keeping and/or adding jobs, or otherwise creating jobs, in the affected area. Applicants for supplemental funding must contact the appropriate Regional

Brownfields Coordinator below to obtain information on the format for supplemental funding applications for their region. When requesting supplemental funding, applicants must specify whether they are seeking funding for sites contaminated by hazardous substances or petroleum. Applicants may request both types of funding.

REGIONAL CONTACTS

Region	States	Address/phone no./email
EPA Region 1, Diane Kelley, Kelley.Diane@epa.gov .	CT, ME, MA, NH, RI, VT	5 Post Office Square, Boston, MA 02109–3912, Phone (617) 918–1424 Fax (617) 918–1291.
EPA Region 2, Benny Hom, Hom.Benny@epa.gov .	NJ, NY, PR, VI	290 Broadway, 18th Floor, New York, NY 10007, Phone (212) 637–3964 Fax (212) 637–3083.
EPA Region 3, Tom Stolle, Stolle.Tom@epa.gov .	DE, DC, MD, PA, VA, WV ..	1650 Arch Street, Mail Code 3HS51, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103, Phone (215) 814–3129 Fax (215) 814–5518.
EPA Region 4, Phil Vorsatz, Vorsatz.Philip@epa.gov .	AL, FL, GA, KY, MS, NC, SC, TN.	Atlanta Federal Center, 61 Forsyth Street SW., 10th FL, Atlanta, GA 30303–8960, Phone (404) 562–8789 Fax (404) 562–8439.
EPA Region 5, Deborah Orr, Orr.Deborah@epa.gov .	IL, IN, MI, MN, OH, WI	77 West Jackson Boulevard, Mail Code SE–4J, Chicago, Illinois 60604–3507, Phone (312) 886–7576 Fax (312) 886–7190.
EPA Region 6, Mary Kemp, Kemp.Mary@epa.gov .	AR, LA, NM, OK, TX	1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200 (6SF–PB), Dallas, Texas 75202–2733, Phone (214) 665–8358 Fax (214) 665–6660.
EPA Region 7, Susan Klein, Klein.Susan@epa.gov .	IA, KS, MO, NE	11201 Renner Blvd, Lenexa, Kansas 66219, Phone (913) 551–7786 Fax (913) 551–8688.
EPA Region 8, Dan Heffernan, Heffernan.Daniel@epa.gov .	CO, MT, ND, SD, UT, WY ...	1595 Wynkoop Street (EPR–B), Denver, CO 80202–1129, Phone (303) 312–7074 Fax (303) 312–6065.
EPA Region 9, Noemi Emeric-Ford, Emeric-Ford.Noemi@epa.gov .	AZ, CA, HI, NV, AS, GU	75 Hawthorne Street, WST–8, San Francisco, CA 94105, Phone (213) 244–1821 Fax (415) 972–3364.
EPA Region 10, Susan Morales, Morales.Susan@epa.gov .	AK, ID, OR, WA	1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, Mailstop: ECL–112 Seattle, WA 98101, Phone (206) 553–7299 Fax (206) 553–0124.

Dated: April 11, 2013.

David R. Lloyd,

Director, Office of Brownfields and Land Revitalization, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response.

[FR Doc. 2013–09050 Filed 4–17–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–9804–4]

Meeting of the Environmental Financial Advisory Board; Public Notice

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of a public meeting.

SUMMARY: The United States Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Environmental Financial Advisory Board (EFAB) will hold a public meeting on May 15–16, 2013. EFAB is an EPA advisory committee chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) to provide advice and recommendations to EPA on creative approaches to funding environmental programs, projects, and activities.

The purpose of this meeting is to hear from informed speakers on

environmental finance issues, proposed legislation, and EPA priorities; to discuss activities and progress with regard to current EFAB work projects; and to consider recent requests for assistance from EPA offices.

Environmental finance discussions are expected on the following topics: clean air technology; tribal environmental programs; transit-oriented development in sustainable communities, energy efficiency/green house gas emissions reduction; drinking water pricing and infrastructure investment; and green infrastructure.

The meeting is open to the public; however, seating is limited. All members of the public who wish to attend the meeting must register in advance, no later than Friday, May 3, 2013.

DATES: The full board meeting will be held on Wednesday, May 15, 2013 from 1:30 p.m. to 5 p.m., Eastern Time and Thursday, May 16, 2013 from 9–5 p.m., Eastern Time.

ADDRESSES: Potomac Yard Two, North Entrance, Room 4120, 2733 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202.

Registration and Information Contact: To register, get additional information and for information on access or services for individuals with

disabilities, please contact Sandra Williams, U.S. EPA, at (202) 564–4999 or williams.sandra@epa.gov. Request for accommodations for individuals with disabilities should be made 10 days prior to the meeting, to allow processing time of your request.

Dated: April 12, 2012.

Joseph L. Dillon,

Director, Center for Environmental Finance.

[FR Doc. 2013–09159 Filed 4–17–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–9804–8]

Underground Injection Control Program; Hazardous Waste Injection Restrictions; Petition for Exemption—Class I Hazardous Waste Injection; BASF Corporation Freeport, Texas

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of a final decision on a no migration petition reissuance.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a reissuance of an exemption to the land disposal Restrictions, under the 1984 Hazardous and Solid Waste

Amendments to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, has been granted to BASF Corporation for three Class I injection wells located at Freeport, Texas. The company has adequately demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Environmental Protection Agency by the petition reissuance application and supporting documentation that, to a reasonable degree of certainty, there will be no migration of hazardous constituents from the injection zone for as long as the waste remains hazardous. This final decision allows the underground injection by BASF, of the specific restricted hazardous wastes identified in this exemption, into Class I hazardous waste injection wells WDW-51 and WDW-99 and WDW-408 until December 31, 2028, unless EPA moves to terminate this exemption. Additional conditions included in this final decision may be reviewed by contacting the Region 6 Ground Water/UIC Section. A public notice was issued December 27, 2012. The public comment period closed on February 15, 2013. No comments were received. This decision constitutes final Agency action and there is no Administrative appeal. This decision may be reviewed/appealed in compliance with the Administrative Procedure Act.

DATES: This action is effective as of February 21, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the petition for reissuance and all pertinent information relating thereto are on file at the following location: Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Water Quality Protection Division, Source Water Protection Branch (6WQ-S), 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Philip Dellinger, Chief Ground Water/UIC Section, EPA—Region 6, telephone (214) 665-8324.

Dated: April 9, 2013.

William K. Honker,

Division Director, Water Quality Protection Division.

[FR Doc. 2013-09158 Filed 4-17-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FARM CREDIT SYSTEM INSURANCE CORPORATION

Policy Statement Concerning Assistance

AGENCY: Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation.

ACTION: Policy statement.

SUMMARY: The Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation (Corporation or

FCSIC) announces that it has given final approval to a new “Policy Statement Concerning Assistance,” which replaces the Corporation’s existing “Policy Statement Concerning Stand-Alone Assistance.” The new policy statement provides additional transparency concerning the Corporation’s authority to provide assistance and how the least-cost test might be performed. This policy statement also includes enhanced criteria of what is to be included in assistance proposals, and a new section discussing assistance agreements.

DATES: *Effective Date:* The policy statement is effective on April 11, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Wade Wynn, Senior Risk Analyst, and James M. Morris, General Counsel, Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation, 1501 Farm Credit Drive, McLean, Virginia 22102, (703) 883-4380, TDD (703) 883-4390.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Corporation, in its sole discretion, is authorized under section 5.61(a) of the Farm Credit Act of 1971, as amended (Act),¹ to provide assistance to a stand-alone Farm Credit System (System) institution or to facilitate a merger or consolidation of a System institution with another System institution,² provided it meets the statutory least-cost test.³ If the Corporation receives a request to assist a troubled System institution, it must compare the cost of liquidation to the cost of providing assistance to determine the least costly alternative to the Farm Credit Insurance Fund (Insurance Fund). In making this discretionary determination, the Corporation is authorized under section 5.59(b) of the Act⁴ to gather any information necessary from the troubled System institution or any other System

institution to perform the least-cost test. After gathering pertinent information, the Corporation must: (1) Evaluate alternatives on a present-value basis, using a reasonable discount rate, (2) document the evaluation and the assumptions on which the evaluation is based, and (3) retain the documentation for not less than 5 years.

The Corporation’s “Policy Statement Concerning Stand-Alone Assistance” is, for the most part, a summary of the powers of the Corporation under section 5.61(a) of the Act to provide assistance to a troubled System institution, including the timing and steps for making the least-cost test.⁵ For example, the policy specifies that the Corporation’s Board of Directors must determine that providing assistance is the least costly means of all possible alternatives available to the Corporation, including liquidation of the troubled System institution, and lists the steps for conducting the statutory least-cost test. The existing policy statement also provides a list of criteria of what the Corporation expects to receive in assistance proposals to help the Corporation conduct the least-cost test.

II. Comments on the Draft Policy Statement

On June 21, 2012, the Corporation published for comment a draft “Policy Statement Concerning Assistance to Troubled Farm Credit System Institutions” to replace the Corporation’s existing “Policy Statement Concerning Stand-Alone Assistance.”⁶ The Corporation received two comment letters on the draft policy statement. In brief, both commenters are concerned that the Corporation will not consider a request for assistance until after all other resolution alternatives are exhausted, including resolution alternatives available to the Farm Credit Administration (FCA). Both also commented on the least-cost test as it relates to the cost of liquidating a troubled System institution. Each of these areas is addressed below.

A. Resolution Alternatives

In the first sentence of the draft policy statement, the Corporation stated that, in general, it would consider a request for assistance after other resolution alternatives have been exhausted such as voluntary assistance provided from within the System, voluntary merger with one or more System institutions, or involuntary merger with one or

¹ 12 U.S.C. 2277a-10.

² Section 5.61(a) of the Act uses the terms “insured System bank” and “bank” but the Act also specifies under section 5.61(e), 12 U.S.C. 2277a-10(e), that such terms also include production credit associations and other associations making direct loans under the authority provided under section 7.6 of the Act, 12 U.S.C. 2279b. Consequently, the terms “troubled System institution,” “troubled System bank,” or “troubled System association” are used to refer to those institutions specified in sections 5.61(a) and 5.61(e) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. 2277a-10(a) and 2277a-10(e).

³ The least-cost test is the means of determining the least-cost resolution. Section 5.61(a)(3)(A) states, “Assistance may not be provided...unless the means of providing the assistance is the least costly means of providing the assistance by the Farm Credit Insurance Fund of all possible alternatives available to the Corporation, including liquidation of the bank (including paying the insured obligations issued on behalf of the bank).” See Act, section 5.61(a)(3), 12 U.S.C. 2277a-10(a)(3).

⁴ 12 U.S.C. 2277a-8(b).

⁵ 12 U.S.C. 2277a-10.

⁶ See 77 FR 37399 (June 21, 2012). On July 26, 2012, the Corporation extended the comment period 90 days in response to several commenter requests. See 77 FR 45606 (August 1, 2012).