guaranty fund, has been revised to conform to the new termination provisions in Rule 918. Former Rule 1104, which addresses the use of guaranty fund contributions, has been redesignated as Rule 1103. Other conforming changes have been made in parts 12 and 15 of the Rules, as well.

ii. Statutory Basis

ICE Clear Europe believes that the proposed rule changes are consistent with the requirements of Section 17A⁴ of the Act and the regulations thereunder applicable to it, in particular, that the rules of a clearing agency be designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions and, to the extent applicable, derivatives agreements, contracts, and transactions, as well as to assure the safeguarding of securities and funds which are in the custody or control of the clearing agency or for which it is responsible.⁵ ICE Clear Europe has developed the new resolution and recovery procedures in response to issues raised by the Bank of England as overseer of its payment arrangements, and following extensive consultation with the Bank of England, the Financial Services Authority, and Clearing Members. Specifically, ICE Clear Europe believes that the proposed rule changes will enhance its stability following the default of one or more Clearing Members, and will reduce the risk of its failure or insolvency. The revisions will, in particular, facilitate the orderly wind-down or termination of contracts affected by a default, and will minimize the effect on other categories of contracts, for which clearing should be able to continue. Further, ICE Clear Europe, as a clearing house for multiple products, also believes that the changes will reduce the risk of a systemic problem in one cleared market causing contagion or creating risks for other cleared markets. The amendments also provide clearer limitations on the liability of Clearing Members for assessments following defaults, and a clearer procedure for termination of Clearing Membership.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

ICE Clear Europe does not believe the proposed rule changes would have any impact, or impose any burden, on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

ICE Clear Europe has solicited written comments relating to the proposed rule change, but has not received any written comments to date. ICE Clear Europe will notify the Commission of any written comments received by ICE Clear Europe.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period up to 90 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding, or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) by order approve or disapprove such proposed rule change, or

(B) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule changes are consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission's Internet comment form (*http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml*) or

• Send an email to *rulecomments@sec.gov*. Please include File Number SR–ICEEU–2013–05 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–ICEEU–2013–05. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method of submission. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (*http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml*). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written

communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filings will also be available for inspection and copying at ICE Clear Europe's principal office and on ICE Clear Europe's Web site at https:// www.theice.com/publicdocs/ regulatory filings/ ICEU SEC 030613.pdf.

All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–ICEEU–2013–05 and should be submitted on or before April 18, 2013.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. $^{\rm 6}$

Kevin M. O'Neill,

Deputy Secretary. [FR Doc. 2013–07177 Filed 3–27–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–69220; File No. SR–CBOE– 2013–040]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to Complex Orders and Mini-Options

March 22, 2013.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on March 22, 2013, Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (the "Exchange" or "CBOE") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit

⁴15 U.S.C. 78q-1.

^{5 15} U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

^{6 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of the Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend its rules related to complex orders. The text of the proposed rule change is also available on the Exchange's Web site (*http://www.cboe.org/legal*) at the Exchange's Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

CBOE recently amended its rules to allow for the listing of mini-options on SPDR S&P 500 ("SPY"), Apple, Inc. ("AAPL"), SPDR Gold Trust ("GLD"), Google Inc. ("GOOG") and Amazon.com Inc. ("AMZN").³ Mini-option trading commenced on March 18, 2013. Whereas standard option contracts represent a deliverable of 100 shares of an underlying security, mini-options contracts represent a deliverable of 10 shares. Except for the difference in the number of deliverable shares, minioptions have the same terms and contract characteristics as regular-sized equity and ETF options, including exercise style. Accordingly, the Exchange noted in its original minioption filing that Exchange rules that apply to the trading of standard option contracts would apply to mini-option contracts as well.⁴ The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 6.53C (Complex Orders on the Hybrid System) and Rule 6.80 (Definitions) to provide that for the

```
4 Id.
```

purpose of applying the permissible ratios to complex orders comprised of both mini-option contracts and standard option contracts, ten (10) mini option contracts will represent one (1) standard option contract.

By way of background, CBOE Rule 6.53C governs Complex Orders on the Hybrid System and CBOE Rule 6.80 lists definitions applicable to intermarket linkage.

Particularly, "complex order" in Rule 6.53C(a)(1) and "complex trade" in Rule 6.80(4)(i) (collectively referred to as "complex orders")⁵ is defined as any order involving the execution of two or more different options series in the same underlying security occurring at or near the same time in a ratio that is equal to or greater than one-to-three (.333) and less than or equal to three-to-one (3.00).

The Exchange notes that the abovementioned permissible ratios were established to ensure that only complex orders that seek to achieve legitimate investment strategies are afforded certain benefits. Particularly, since compliance with trade-through rules may impede a market participant's ability to achieve the legitimate investment strategies that complex orders facilitate, an exception from the prohibition on trade-throughs is provided for any transaction that was effected as a portion of a legitimate complex order. Requiring a meaningful relationship between the different legs of a complex order prevents market participants from taking advantage of these orders to circumvent the otherwise applicable trade-through rules (e.g., preventing the execution of a complex order where one leg consists of 100 standard options (i.e., 10,000 shares) and another leg consists of only 1 standard option (i.e., 100 shares).

The Exchange acknowledges that in accordance with the provisions of Rule 6.53C(a)(1) and Rule 6.80(4)(i), one leg of a complex order may consist of minioption contract(s) and the other leg of the order may consist of standard option contract(s), so long as the underlying security is the same and the transaction does not violate the permissible ratios set forth in the rules (i.e., ratio greater or equal to one-to-three or less or equal to three-to-one). The Exchange notes the definition of a complex order in Rule 6.53C and Rule 6.80 was drafted at a time in which only option contracts with a deliverable of 100 shares was contemplated. Therefore, the rules do not address how the permissible ratios

would be scaled in the event an option with a non-standard deliverable becomes available for trading. Accordingly, the Exchange proposes to amend the definition of "complex orders" in Rule 6.53C(a)(1) and Rule 6.80(4)(i) to specify that for the purpose of applying the aforementioned ratios to complex orders comprised of minioption contracts and standard option contracts, ten (10) mini option contracts will represent one (1) standard option contract. Moreover, the Exchange seeks to clarify that these permissible ratios represent the total number of shares of the underlying stock in the mini-option leg to the total number of shares of the underlying stock in the standard option leg. An example of a permissible complex order involving mini-options and standard options would be an order in which leg one consists of thirty (30) mini-options (i.e., 300 shares) and leg two consists of one (1) standard option (i.e., 100 shares) in the same underlying security (i.e., a ratio equal to 3.0). Another example of a permissible complex order would be an order in which leg one consists of ten (10) minioptions (i.e., 100 shares) and leg two consists of one (1) standard option (i.e., 100 shares) in the same underlying security (i.e., a ratio equal to one-toone). The proposed clarification will reduce potential confusion for investors when trading mini-options. The proposed change also ensures that the principle behind the permissible ratios (i.e., to provide a meaningful relationship between the legs of complex orders) is maintained for minioptions.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder, including the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.⁶ In particular, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section $6(b)(5)^7$ requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and to perfect the mechanism for a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

Specifically, the Exchange believes that investors and market participants benefit from being permitted to execute

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 68656 (January 15, 2013), 78 FR 4526 (January 22, 2013) (Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change to List and Trade Option Contracts Overlying 10 Shares of Certain Securities) (SR-CBOE-2013-001).

⁵ The definitions of "complex order" in Rule 6.53C(a)(1) and "complex trade" in Rule 6.80(4)(i) are substantially identical.

⁶¹⁵ U.S.C. 78f(b).

^{7 15} U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

complex orders in mini-options because it allows them to take advantage of legitimate investment strategies. Also, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change will avoid investor confusion if both standard options and mini-options on the same underlying security are permitted to trade as complex orders. The Exchange further believes that specifying that for the purpose of applying the permissible ratios to complex orders comprised of minioption contracts and standard option contracts, ten (10) mini option contracts will represent one (1) standard option contract would lessen investor and marketplace confusion. Particularly, the Exchange believes that the absence of such an amendment could lead to investor confusion about how complex orders involving mini-option contracts trade. Also, maintaining the permissible ratios that are applicable to standard options in proportion for mini-options ensures that the principle behind the permissible ratios (i.e., to provide a meaningful relationship between the legs of complex orders) is maintained for mini-options, which promotes just and equitable principles of trade.

Finally, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is designed to not permit unfair discrimination among market participants as all market participants may participate in complex orders involving mini-options.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

This proposed rule change does not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Specifically, since mini-options are permitted on multiply-listed classes, other exchanges that have received approval to trade mini-options will have the opportunity to similarly amend their complex order rules to clarify and accommodate complex orders in minioption classes. Moreover, because all Trading Permit Holders may participate in complex orders involving minioptions, the rule change does not permit unfair discrimination and does not impose a burden on Trading Permit Holders.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not:

(i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest;

(ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and

(iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section $19(b)(3)(A)^8$ of the Act and Rule $19b-4(f)(6)^9$ thereunder.

A proposed rule change filed under Rule 19b–4(f)(6) of the Act¹⁰ normally does not become operative prior to 30 days after the date of the filing. However, pursuant to Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) of the Act,¹¹ the Commission may designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Exchange has requested the Commission to waive the 30-day operative delay so that the proposal may become operative immediately upon filing. In January 2013, the Exchange filed a proposed rule change to amend its rules to list and trade certain mini-options contracts on the Exchange, and represented in that filing that the Exchange's rules that apply to the trading of standard options contracts would apply to mini-options contracts.¹² The Exchange believes that waiver of the 30-day operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest because such waiver would minimize confusion among market participants about how complex orders and stockoptions orders involving mini-options contracts will trade.13

The Commission believes that waiving the 30-day operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. Such waiver would allow the Exchange to implement the proposed rule change immediately, thereby mitigating potential investor confusion as to how complex orders and stock options orders involving mini-options contracts will trade. For this reason, the Commission hereby waives the 30-day operative delay and designates the proposed rule change to be operative upon filing with the Commission.¹⁴

The Exchange represented that it began trading in mini-options contracts on March 18, 2013. The Commission notes that this proposed rule change was filed on March 22, 2013, and, therefore, pursuant to Rule 19b–4(f)(6), waiver of the 30-day operative delay renders this proposed rule change effective upon the day that it was filed, March 22, 2013.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission's Internet comment form (*http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml*); or

• Send an email to *rulecomments@sec.gov*. Please include File Number SR–CBOE–2013–040 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–CBOE–2013–040. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (*http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml*). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements

⁸15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

⁹ 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b– 4(f)(6) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the date of the filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

¹⁰17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

¹¹17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6)(iii).

¹² See supra note 3.

¹³ See SR-CBOE-2013-040, Item 7.

¹⁴ For purposes only of waiving the 30-day operative delay, the Commission has also considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. *See* 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2013–040, and should be submitted on or before April 18, 2013.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁵

Kevin M. O'Neill,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–07222 Filed 3–27–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 8261]

Culturally Significant Objects Imported for Exhibition Determinations: "Hans Richter: Encounters"

ACTION: Notice, correction.

SUMMARY: On March 12, 2013, notice was published on page 15802 of the Federal Register (volume 78, number 48) of determinations made by the Department of State pertaining to the exhibit "Hans Richter: Encounters." The referenced notice is corrected to accommodate an additional object to be included in the exhibition. Pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Act of October 19, 1965 (79 Stat. 985; 22 U.S.C. 2459), Executive Order 12047 of March 27, 1978, the Foreign Affairs Reform and Restructuring Act of 1998 (112 Stat. 2681, et seq.; 22 U.S.C. 6501 note, et seq.), Delegation of Authority No. 234 of October 1, 1999, and Delegation of Authority No. 236-3 of August 28, 2000 (and, as appropriate, Delegation of Authority No. 257 of April 15, 2003), I hereby determine that the additional

object to be included in the exhibition "Hans Richter: Encounters," imported from abroad for temporary exhibition within the United States, is of cultural significance. The additional object is imported pursuant to a loan agreement with the foreign owner or custodian. I also determine that the exhibition or display of the additional exhibit object at The Los Angeles County Museum of Art in Los Angeles, California from on or about May 5, 2013, until on or about September 2, 2013, and at possible additional exhibitions or venues yet to be determined, is in the national interest. I have ordered that Public Notice of these Determinations be published in the Federal Register. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information, including a list of the exhibit objects that includes this additional object, contact Ona M. Hahs, Attorney-Adviser, Office of the Legal Adviser, U.S. Department of State (telephone: 202-632-6473). The mailing address is U.S. Department of State, SA-5, L/PD, Fifth Floor (Suite 5H03), Washington, DC 20522-0505.

Dated: March 21, 2013.

Adam Ereli,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary, Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs, Department of State.

[FR Doc. 2013–07256 Filed 3–27–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4710–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 8260]

U.S. Department of State Advisory Committee on Private International Law (ACPIL): Public Meeting on Electronic Commerce

The Office of the Assistant Legal Adviser for Private International Law, Department of State, gives notice of a public meeting to discuss a Note by the Secretariat of the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL) containing draft provisions on electronic transferable records. The public meeting will take place on Tuesday, April 30, 2013 from 10 a.m. until 2 p.m. EDT in Room 1107 of the Department of State's Harry S Truman Building. This is not a meeting of the full Advisory Committee.

In response to a request from the 46th Session of UNCITRAL's Working Group IV (electronic commerce), the UNCITRAL Secretariat has prepared draft provisions on electronic transferable records, which are presented for discussion purposes in the form of a model law. The draft provisions will be made available as Working Paper 122 on the UNCITRAL Web site, in the list of documents provided for the 47th Session of Working Group IV and will be available via the following link: (*http:// www.uncitral.org/uncitral/en/ commission/working_groups/ 4Electronic_Commerce.html*). This Working Paper will be discussed May 13–17, 2013, at the 47th Session of Working Group IV.

The purpose of the public meeting is to obtain the views of concerned stakeholders on these topics in advance of the meeting of Working Group IV.

Prior to the public meeting, we will send out—to all those who indicate that they intend to attend the meeting or participate by telephone, or who otherwise wish to comment—the documents prepared for this meeting. Those who cannot attend but wish to comment are welcome to do so by email to Michael Coffee at *coffeems@state.gov*.

Time and Place: The meeting will take place in Room 1107 of the Department's Harry S Truman Building, 2201 C Street NW., Washington, DC 20520 from 10 a.m. until 2 p.m. EDT. Participants should plan to arrive by 9:30 a.m. for visitor screening. If you are unable to attend the public meeting and would like to participate from a remote location, teleconferencing will be available.

Public Participation: This meeting is open to the public, subject to the capacity of the meeting room. Please provide your full name and contact information if you are planning on attending in person. Access to the building is strictly controlled. For preclearance purposes, those planning to attend should phone Tricia Smeltzer (202-776-8423) or Niesha Toms (202-776-8420) and provide your full name, address, date of birth, citizenship, driver's license or passport number, and email address. This will greatly facilitate entry into the building. Participants will be met inside the diplomatic entrance at C Street and, once badges are obtained, escorted to the meeting room. A member of the public needing reasonable accommodation should advise Ms. Smeltzer or Ms. Toms not later than April 23, 2013. Requests made after that date will be considered, but might not be able to be fulfilled. If you would like to participate by telephone, please contact Ms. Smeltzer or Ms. Toms to obtain the call-in number and other information.

Data from the public is requested pursuant to Public Law 99–399 (Omnibus Diplomatic Security and Antiterrorism Act of 1986), as amended; Public Law 107–56 (USA PATRIOT

^{15 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).