section or by calling (301) 443–1104, regarding the IHS information collection activities (OMB Control No. 1076–0136). SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Abstract

Representatives of the BIA and IHS seek renewal of the approval for information collections conducted under their joint rule, 25 CFR part 900, implementing the ISDEAA. The Act requires the joint rule to govern how contracts are awarded to Indian tribes, thereby avoiding the unnecessary burden or confusion associated with two sets of rules and information collection requirements. See 25 U.S.C. 450k(a)(2)(A)(ii). The joint rule at 25 CFR part 900 was developed through negotiated rulemaking with tribes in 1996 and governs, among other things, what must be included in a tribe's initial ISDEAA contract proposal to the BIA or IHS. There is no change to the approved burden hours for this information collection.

The information requirements for this joint rule represent significant differences from other agencies in several respects. Under the Act, the Secretaries of Health and Human Services and the Department of the Interior are directed to enter into selfdetermination contracts with tribes upon request, unless specific declination criteria apply, and, generally, tribes may renew these contracts annually, whereas other agencies provide grants on a discretionary or competitive basis. Both the BIA and IHS award contracts for multiple programs whereas other agencies usually award single grants to tribes.

The BIA and IHS use the information collected to determine applicant eligibility, evaluate applicant capabilities, protect the service population, safeguard Federal funds and other resources, and permit the Federal agencies to administer and evaluate contract programs. Tribal governments or tribal organizations provide the information by submitting Public Law 93–638 contract proposals to the appropriate Federal agency. No third party notification or public disclosure burden is associated with this collection.

### **II. Request for Comments**

The BIA and IHS request your comments on this collection concerning: (a) The necessity of this information collection for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (hours and cost) of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways we could enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways we could minimize the burden of the collection of the information on the respondents.

Please note that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and an individual need not respond to, a collection of information unless it has a valid OMB Control Number.

It is our policy to make all comments available to the public for review at the location listed in the **ADDRESSES** section. Before including your address, phone number, email address or other personally identifiable information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

## III. Data

OMB Control Number: 1076–0136. Title: Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Contracts, 25 CFR part 900.

Brief Description of Collection: An Indian tribe or tribal organization is required to submit this information each time that it proposes to contract with BIA or IHS under the ISDEAA. Each response may vary in its length. In addition, each subpart of 25 CFR part 900 concerns different parts of the contracting process. For example, subpart C relates to provisions of the contents for the initial contract proposal. The respondents do not incur the burden associated with subpart C when contracts are renewed. Subpart F describes minimum standards for management systems used by Indian tribes or tribal organizations under these contracts. Subpart G addresses the negotiability of all reporting and data requirements in the contracts. Responses are required to obtain or retain a benefit.

*Type of Review:* Extension without change of currently approved collection. *Respondents:* Federally recognized

Indian tribes and tribal organizations. Number of Respondents: 550.

Estimated Number of Responses: 5,267.

*Estimated Time per Response:* Varies from 10 to 50 hours, with an average of 45 hours per response.

*Frequency of Response:* Each time programs are contracted from the BIA or IHS under the ISDEAA.

*Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden:* 219,792 hours.

*Comment Due Date:* Your comments regarding this information collection are best assured of having their full effect if received within 60-days of the date of this publication.

Dated: February 28, 2013.

# John Ashley,

Acting Assistant Director for Information Resources, Bureau of Indian Affairs. Dated: February 25, 2013.

Dateu. February 25, 2015.

Yvette Roubideaux,

Director, Indian Health Service. [FR Doc. 2013–05498 Filed 3–7–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–4J–P

### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

# **Bureau of Indian Affairs**

### Renewal of Agency Information Collection for Navajo Partitioned Lands Grazing Permits

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) is seeking comments on the renewal of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval for the collection of information for Navajo Partitioned Lands Grazing Permits authorized by OMB Control Number 1076–0162. This information collection expires May 31, 2013.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before May 7, 2013.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments on the information collection to David Edington, Office of Trust Services, 1849 C Street NW., Mail Stop 4637, Washington, DC 20240; facsimile: (202) 219–0006; email: David.Edington@bia.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

# David Edington, (202) 513–0886.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Abstract

BIA is seeking renewal of the approval for the information collection conducted under 25 CFR part 161, implementing the Navajo-Hopi Indian Relocation Amendments Act of 1980, 94 Stat. 929, and the Federal court decisions of *Healing* v. *Jones*, 174 F. Supp.211 (D Ariz. 1959) (Healing I), *Healing* v. *Jones*, 210 F. Supp. 126 (D. Ariz. 1962), aff'd 363 U.S. 758 (1963) (Healing II), *Hopi Tribe* v. *Watt*, 530 F. Supp. 1217 (D. Ariz. 1982), and *Hopi*  *Tribe* v. *Watt,* 719 F.2d 314 (9th Cir. 1983).

This information collection allows BIA to receive the information necessary to determine whether an applicant to obtain, modify, or assign a grazing permit on Navajo Partitioned Lands is eligible and complies with all applicable grazing permit requirements.

# II. Request for Comments

The BIA requests your comments on this collection concerning: (a) The necessity of this information collection for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (hours and cost) of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) Ways we could enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) Ways we could minimize the burden of the collection of the information on the respondents.

Please note that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and an individual need not respond to, a collection of information unless it has a valid OMB Control Number.

It is our policy to make all comments available to the public for review at the location listed in the **ADDRESSES** section. Before including your address, phone number, email address or other personally identifiable information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

### III. Data

OMB Control Number: 1076–0162. Title: Navajo Partitioned Lands Grazing Permits, 25 CFR 161.

Brief Description of Collection: Submission of information is required for Navajo Nation representatives, members, and authorized tribal organizations to obtain, modify, or assign a grazing permit on Navajo partitioned lands. Response is required to obtain a benefit.

*Type of Review:* Extension without change of currently approved collection. *Respondents:* Tribes, tribal

organizations, and individual Indians. Number of Respondents: 750. Estimated Number of Responses:

1,500.

*Estimated Time per Response:* On average, 2 hours.

*Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden:* 3,000 hours.

Dated: March 4, 2013.

John Ashley,

Acting Assistant Director for Information Resources. [FR Doc. 2013–05492 Filed 3–7–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-4J-P

### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

#### Bureau of Indian Affairs

### Bishop Paiute Tribe—Liquor Control Ordinance No. 2012–07

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice publishes the Liquor Control Ordinance No. 2012-07 of the Bishop Paiute Tribe. The Ordinance regulates and controls the possession, sale and consumption of liquor within the Indian Country of the Bishop Paiute Tribe. The land is trust land and this Ordinance allows for the possession and sale of alcoholic beverages within the jurisdiction of the Bishop Paiute Tribe. This Ordinance will increase the ability of the tribal government to control the distribution and possession of liquor within their jurisdiction, and at the same time will provide an important source of revenue, the strengthening of the tribal government and the delivery of tribal services.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* This Ordinance is effective March 8, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Harley Long, Tribal Government Officer, Pacific Regional Office, Bureau of Indian Affairs, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA 95825, Phone: (916) 978–6067; Fax: (916) 916–6099: or De Springer, Office of Indian Services, Bureau of Indian Affairs, 1849 C Street NW., MS–4513–MIB, Washington, DC 20240; Telephone (202) 513–7640.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the Act of August 15, 1953, Public Law 83–277, 67 Stat. 586, 18 U.S.C. 1161, as interpreted by the Supreme Court in *Rice* v. *Rehner*, 463 U.S. 713 (1983), the Secretary of the Interior shall certify and publish in the **Federal Register** notice of adopted liquor ordinances for the purpose of regulating liquor transactions in Indian country. The Bishop Tribal Council adopted this Ordinance by Resolution T2012–46 on October 29, 2012.

This notice is published in accordance with the authority delegated by the Secretary of the Interior to the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs. I certify that the Bishop Tribal Council duly adopted Liquor Control Ordinance No. 2012–07 by Resolution T2012–46 on October 29, 2012.

Dated: February 28, 2013.

### Kevin K. Washburn,

Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.

The Bishop Paiute Tribal Liquor Control Ordinance No. 2012–07 shall read as follows:

### Preamble

The Bishop Paiute Tribe (Tribe), aka the Paiute-Shoshone Indians of the Bishop Community of the Bishop Colony (Tribe), a federally recognized Indian Tribe located on the Bishop Paiute Reservation, established under Act of Congress of April 20, 1937 (50 Statutes 70), in Inyo County, California, with inherent sovereignty and a government to government relationship with the United States of America, has all the rights accorded to federally recognized tribes, with the Bishop Paiute Tribal Council recognized as the duly elected governing body of the Tribe.

This Ordinance is hereby enacted by the Bishop Tribal Council (Tribal Council) in exercising its inherent legal authority as the governing body of the Bishop Paiute Tribe.

Additional authority for the adoption of this ordinance is found in Federal Law at 18 U.S.C. 1161, providing in part that the Indian Liquor Laws found in the United States Code, shall not apply to any act or transaction with any area of Indian Country, provided such act or transaction is in conformity with both the laws of the state in which such act or transaction occurs, and with the laws duly adopted by the Tribe having jurisdiction over such areas of Indian Country.

### Section 1.00—Declaration of Purpose

The purpose of this Ordinance is to regulate the sale, possession and use of alcoholic liquor on the Bishop Paiute Reservation and other lands subject to Tribal jurisdiction with the exception of Blocks thirty seven (37) and thirty eight (38) which are addressed by General Council Ordinance adopted on August 22, 1961. This Ordinance (2012–07) does not change or affect any provisions of the General Council Ordinance relating to Blocks thirty seven (37) and thirty eight (38).

# Section 2.00—Definitions

In construing the provisions of this Ordinance, the following words or phrases shall have the meaning designated unless a different meaning is