sufficiency of any safeguards in place to control these risks;

• Identify material internal and external risks to the security, confidentiality, and integrity of covered information that could result in the unauthorized disclosure, misuse, loss, alteration, destruction, or other compromise of such information, whether such information is in HTC's possession or is input into, stored on, captured with, accessed or transmitted through a covered device, and assess the sufficiency of any safeguards in place to control these risks;

• Consider risks in each area of relevant operation, including but not limited to (1) employee training and management; (2) product design, development and research; (3) secure software design and testing, including secure engineering and defensive programming; and (4) review, assessment, and response to third-party security vulnerability reports;

• Design and implement reasonable safeguards to control the risks identified through risk assessment, including through reasonable and appropriate software security testing techniques, and regularly test or monitor the effectiveness of the safeguards' key controls, systems, and procedures;

• Develop and use reasonable steps to select and retain service providers capable of maintaining security practices consistent with the order, and require service providers by contract to implement and maintain appropriate safeguards; and

• Evaluate and adjust its information security program in light of the results of testing and monitoring, any material changes to HTC's operations or business arrangement, or any other circumstances that it knows or has reason to know may have a material impact on its security program.

However, Part II does not require HTC to identify and correct security vulnerabilities in third parties' software on covered devices to the extent the vulnerabilities are not the result of respondent's integration, modification, or customization of the third party software.

Part III of the proposed order requires HTC to develop security patches to fix the security vulnerabilities in each affected covered device having an operating system version released on or after December 2010. Within thirty (30) days of service of the order, HTC must release the security patches either directly to affected covered devices or to the applicable network operator for deployment to the affected covered devices. HTC must provide users of the affected covered devices with clear and prominent notice regarding the availability of the security patches and instructions for installing the security patches.

Part IV of the proposed order requires HTC to obtain, within the first one hundred eighty (180) days after service of the order and on a biennial basis thereafter for a period of twenty (20) years, an assessment and report from a qualified, objective, independent thirdparty professional, certifying, among other things, that: (1) It has in place a security program that provides protections that meet or exceed the protections required by Part II of the proposed order; and (2) its security program is operating with sufficient effectiveness to provide reasonable assurance that the security of covered device functionality and the security, confidentiality, and integrity of covered information is protected.

Parts V through IX of the proposed order are reporting and compliance provisions. Part V requires HTC to retain documents relating to its compliance with the order. The order requires that the documents be retained for a three-year period. Part VI requires dissemination of the order now and in the future to all current and future principals, officers, directors, and managers, and to persons with responsibilities relating to the subject matter of the order. Part VII ensures notification to the FTC of changes in corporate status. Part VIII mandates that HTC submit a compliance report to the FTC within 60 days, and periodically thereafter as requested. Part IX is a provision "sunsetting" the order after twenty (20) years, with certain exceptions.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed order. It is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the proposed complaint or order or to modify the order's terms in any way.

By direction of the Commission, Chairman Leibowitz not participating and Commissioner Ohlhausen recused.

Donald S. Clark

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–04606 Filed 2–27–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6750–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 9000-0129; Docket 2012-0076; Sequence 56]

Federal Acquisition Regulation; Submission for OMB Review; Cost Accounting Standards Administration

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of request for comments regarding an extension to an existing OMB clearance.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act, the Regulatory Secretariat will be submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a previously approved information collection requirement concerning cost accounting standards administration. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** at 77 FR 69441, on November 19, 2012. Two respondents submitted comments.

DATES: Submit comments on or before April 1, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments identified by Information Collection 9000–0129, Cost Accounting Standards Administration by any of the following methods:

• *Regulations.gov: http:// www.regulations.gov.* Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by searching the OMB control number. Select the link "Submit a Comment" that corresponds with "Information Collection 9000–0129, Cost Accounting Standards Administration". Follow the instructions provided at the "Submit a Comment" screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and "Information Collection 9000–0129, Cost Accounting Standards Administration" on your attached document.

• Fax: 202-501-4067.

• *Mail:* General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat (MVCB), 1275 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20417. ATTN: Hada Flowers/IC 9000–0129, Cost Accounting Standards Administration.

Instructions: Please submit comments only and cite Information Collection 9000–0129, Cost Accounting Standards Administration, in all correspondence related to this collection. All comments received will be posted without change to *http://www.regulations.gov*, including any personal and/or business confidential information provided.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Edward N. Chambers, Procurement Analyst, Office of Acquisition Policy, GSA, (202) 501–3221 or email a edward.chambers@gsa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Purpose

FAR Subpart 30.6 and the provision at 52.230-6 include pertinent rules and regulations related to the Cost Accounting Standards (CAS) along with necessary administrative policies and procedures. These administrative policies require certain contractors to submit cost impact estimates and descriptions in cost accounting practices and also to provide information on CAS-covered subcontractors. Specifically, FAR 52.230-6 requires contractors to submit to the cognizant Contracting Officer a description of any cost accounting practice change, the total potential impact of the change on contracts containing a CAS provision, a general dollar magnitude or detailed costimpact proposal of the change which identifies the potential shift of costs between CAS-covered contracts by contract type (i.e., firm fixed-price, incentive cost-plus-fixed-fee, etc.) and other contractor business activity.

B. Discussion and Analysis

Two respondents submitted public comments on the extension of the previously approved information collection. The analysis of the public comments is summarized as follows:

Respondent One: Respondent one offered a single comment.

Comment: The purpose of this standard is to require that each type of cost is allocated only once and on only one basis to any contract or other cost objective. Provide measures of cost effectiveness within the activity. This may be accomplished by relating performance costs to standard costs or cost estimates by established cost centers and by end-product with development of appropriate analyses of cost variances.

Response: This comment concerned the purpose of the cost accounting principles and not the burden of reporting on the application of these standards.

Respondent Two: Respondent two offered the following comments:

Comment: The respondent commented that the extension of the

information collection would violate the fundamental purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act because of the burden it puts on the entity submitting the information and the agency collecting the information.

Response: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), agencies can request OMB approval of an existing information collection. The PRA requires that agencies use the Federal Register notice and comment process, to extend OMB's approval, at least every three years. This extension, to a previously approved information collection, pertains to FAR clause 52.230–6. This clause requires certain contractors to submit cost impact estimates and descriptions in cost accounting practices and also to provide information on CAS-covered subcontractors when making changes to their cost accounting practices. Without this information, the Government would be unable to approve changes to contractors cost accounting practices, resulting in the disallowance of contractor charges to contracts. This clause has existed substantially the same since the inception of the FAR.

Comment: The respondent commented that the agency did not accurately estimate the public burden challenging that the agency's methodology for calculating it is insufficient and inadequate and does not reflect the total burden. The respondent questioned the basis for the estimated number of responses per respondent of 2.27. The respondent also stated that the estimate of 175 hours per response per respondent is understated, and that the actual burden is at least 12 times that, but more likely 24 to 48 times this estimate. For this reason, the respondent provided that the agency should reassess the estimated total burden hours and revise the estimate upwards to be more accurate, as was done in FAR Case 2007–006. The same respondent also provided that the burden of compliance with the information collection requirement outweighs any potential utility of the extension.

Response: Serious consideration is given, during the open comment period, to all comments received and adjustments are made to the paperwork burden estimate based on reasonable considerations provided by the public. This is evidenced, as the respondent notes, in FAR Case 2007–006 where an adjustment was made from the total preparation hours from three to 60. This change was made considering particularly the hours that would be required for review within the company, prior to release to the Government.

The burden is prepared taking into consideration the necessary criteria in OMB guidance for estimating the paperwork burden put on the entity submitting the information. For example, consideration is given to an entity reviewing instructions; using technology to collect, process, and disclose information; adjusting existing practices to comply with requirements; searching data sources; completing and reviewing the response; and transmitting or disclosing information. The estimated burden hours for a collection are based on an average between the hours that a simple disclosure by a very small business might require and the much higher numbers that might be required for a very complex disclosure by a major corporation. Also, the estimated burden hours should only include projected hours for those actions which a company would not undertake in the normal course of business. Careful consideration went into assessing the estimated burden hours for this collection, and although, the respondent provided estimates of responses and burden hours, the estimates cannot be confirmed with any degree of certainty to totally rely on the information.

Based on consultation with a Government subject matter expert (SME) the estimated burden of 175 hours per response is consider a reasonable average for all submissions associated with the requirements of this collection. The Government SME also confirmed that the 2.27 responses per respondent reflects a reasonable average over the course of a year, as some contractors may not have any cost accounting practice changes while others could have up to four or five.

The estimated annual reporting burden is increased from that published in the Federal Register at 75 FR 3236, on January 20, 2010. Based on data from the Federal Procurement Data System for fiscal year 2011, an upward adjustment is made to the estimated annual reporting burden, which reflected an increase in the number of respondents. However, the estimated number of hours per response and the estimated number of responses per respondent remains unchanged based on consultation with the Government SME. At any point, members of the public may submit comments for further consideration, and are encouraged to provide data to support their request for an adjustment.

C. Annual Reporting Burden

Number of Respondents: 1,288. Responses per Respondent: 2.27. Total Responses: 2,924. Average Burden Hours per Response: 175.00.

Total Burden Hours: 511,700. Obtaining Copies of Proposals: Requesters may obtain a copy of the information collection documents from the General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat (MVCB), 1275 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20417, telephone (202) 501–4755. Please cite OMB Control Number 9000–0129, Cost Accounting Standards Administration, in all correspondence.

Dated: February 21, 2013.

William Clark,

Acting Director, Federal Acquisition Policy Division, Office of Governmentwide Acquisition Policy, Office of Acquisition Policy, Office of Governmentwide Policy. [FR Doc. 2013–04488 Filed 2–27–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6820-EP-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Office of the Assistant Secretary for Financial Resources, Office of Grants and Acquisition Policy and Accountability, Division of Acquisition; Public Availability of the Department of Health and Human Services FY 2011 Service Contract Inventory

AGENCY: Department of Health and Human Services.

ACTION: Notice of Public Availability of FY 2011 Service Contract Inventories.

SUMMARY: In accordance with Section 743 of Division C of the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2010 (Pub. L. 111-117), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) is publishing this notice to advise the public of the availability of its FY 2011 Service Contract inventory. This inventory provides information on service contract actions over \$25,000 that were made in FY 2011. The information is organized by function to show how contracted resources are distributed throughout the agency. The inventory has been developed in accordance with guidance issued on November 5, 2010 and December 19, 2011 by the Office of Management and Budget's Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP). OFPP's guidance is available at http:// www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/ omb/procurement/memo/servicecontract-inventories-guidance-11052010.pdf. HHS has posted its inventory and a summary of the inventory on the HHS homepage at the following link: http://www.hhs.gov/ grants/servicecontractsfy11.html.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Questions regarding the service contract inventory should be directed to Lori Sakalos, Director in the HHS/Office of the Secretary, Assistant Secretary for Financial Resources, Office of Grants and Acquisition Policy and Accountability, Office of Acquisition Policy at 202–690–6361 or Lori.Sakalos@hhs.gov.

Dated: February 21, 2013.

Angela Billups,

Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Acquisition, Senior Procurement Executive, Assistant Secretary for Financial Resources Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–04719 Filed 2–27–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4150–24–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Disease, Disability, and Injury Prevention and Control Special Emphasis Panels (SEP): Initial Review

The meeting announced below concerns Monitoring Cause-Specific School Absenteeism for Estimating Community Wide Influenza Transmission, Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) CK13–003; and Quantifying Social Contact Rates and Mixing Patterns in the U.S. Population, FOA CK13–004, initial review.

In accordance with Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463), the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) announces the aforementioned meeting:

Time and Date: 11:00 a.m.–5:00 p.m., April 29, 2013 (Closed).

Place: Teleconference.

Status: The meeting will be closed to the public in accordance with provisions set forth in Section 552b(c)(4) and (6), Title 5 U.S.C., and the Determination of the Director, Management Analysis and Services Office, CDC, pursuant to Public Law 92–463.

Matters to Be Discussed: The meeting will include the initial review, discussion, and evaluation of applications received in response to "Monitoring Cause-Specific School Absenteeism for Estimating Community Wide Influenza Transmission, FOA CK13–003; and Quantifying Social Contact Rates and Mixing Patterns in the U.S. Population, FOA CK13–004".

Contact Person for More Information: Gregory Anderson, M.S., M.P.H., Scientific Review Officer, CDC, 1600 Clifton Road NE., Mailstop E60, Atlanta, Georgia 30333, Telephone: (404) 718–8833.

The Director, Management Analysis and Services Office, has been delegated the authority to sign **Federal Register** notices pertaining to announcements of meetings and other committee management activities, for both the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.

Dana Redford,

Acting Director, Management Analysis and Services Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

[FR Doc. 2013–04637 Filed 2–27–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Disease, Disability, and Injury Prevention and Control Special Emphasis Panel (SEP): Funding Opportunity Announcement, Initial Review

The meeting announced below concerns Indoor Environment of Low-Income Renovated Multifamily Housing in the Western Region of the United States (U01), FOA CE13–001, initial review.

In accordance with Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463), the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) announces the aforementioned meeting:

Time and Date: 1:00 p.m.–4:00 p.m., April 19, 2013 (Closed).

Place: Teleconference.

Status: The meeting will be closed to the public in accordance with provisions set forth in Section 552b(c)(4) and (6), Title 5 U.S.C., and the Determination of the Director, Management Analysis and Services Office, CDC, pursuant to Public Law 92–463.

Matters to be Discussed: The meeting will include the initial review, discussion, and evaluation of applications received in response to "Indoor Environment of Low-Income Renovated Multifamily Housing in the Western Region of the United States (U01), FOA CE13–001".

Contact Person for More Information: J. Felix Rogers, Ph.D., M.P.H., Scientific Review Officer, CDC, 4770 Buford Highway, NE., Mailstop F63, Atlanta, Georgia 30341, Telephone: (770)488–4334.

The Director, Management Analysis and Services Office, has been delegated the authority to sign **Federal Register** notices pertaining to announcements of meetings and other committee management activities, for both the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.

Dana Redford,

Acting Director, Management Analysis and Services Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

[FR Doc. 2013–04636 Filed 2–27–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4163–18–P