

removed from numbered burials, but it is not possible to link these funerary objects with specific human remains in the Maxwell Museum collection.

In 1937, the University of New Mexico archaeological field school removed human remains and funerary objects from the Guisewa site (LA 679), in Sandoval County, NM. Human remains from these burials are under the control of the Maxwell Museum of Anthropology at the University of New Mexico. The Museum of Indian Arts and Culture has control over three unassociated funerary objects from the site, including one small culinary bowl, one Jemez Black-on-white bowl, and one restorable Black-on-white bowl. The objects were removed from numbered burials, but it is not possible to link these funerary objects with specific human remains in the Maxwell Museum collection.

In 1965, the Museum of New Mexico removed human remains and funerary objects from the Guisewa site (LA 679), in Sandoval County, NM, prior to the installation of a new water line. Human remains from these burials are under the control of the Maxwell Museum of Anthropology at the University of New Mexico. The Museum of Indian Arts and Culture has control over three unassociated funerary objects from the site, including one corn, one lot of animal bones, and one small restorable utility ware bowl. The objects were removed from numbered burials, but it is not possible to link these funerary objects with specific human remains in the Maxwell Museum collection.

At an unknown date, an unknown individual removed human remains and funerary objects from an excavated burial at the Guisewa site (LA 679), in Sandoval County, NM. The location of human remains from this site is unknown, but they are presumed to be in the collections of the Maxwell Museum of Anthropology. The Museum of Indian Arts and Culture has control over one unassociated funerary object from the site. It is not possible to link this funerary object with specific human remains in the Maxwell Museum collection.

Based on material culture and associated architecture, the unassociated funerary objects listed in this notice have been identified as Native American. The burials from which these objects were removed can be identified as ancestral Jemez because they came from known Puebloan sites of the upper Jemez River drainage. Populations that inhabited these sites are linked by Native oral tradition, Euro-American records, and archeological

evidence to members of the present-day Pueblo of Jemez, New Mexico.

Determinations Made by the Museum of Indian Arts and Culture

Officials of the Museum of Indian Arts and Culture have determined that:

- Pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001(3)(B), the 22 cultural items described above are reasonably believed to have been placed with or near individual human remains at the time of death or later as part of the death rite or ceremony and are believed, by a preponderance of the evidence, to have been removed from a specific burial site of a Native American individual.

- Pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001(2), there is a relationship of shared group identity that can be reasonably traced between the unassociated funerary objects and the Pueblo of Jemez, New Mexico.

Additional Requestors and Disposition

Representatives of any other Indian tribe that believes itself to be culturally affiliated with the unassociated funerary objects should contact Elena Sweeney, Acting Director, Museum of Indian Arts and Culture, P.O. Box 2087, Santa Fe, NM 87504, telephone (505) 690-1415, before December 17, 2012. Repatriation of the unassociated funerary objects to the Pueblo of Jemez, New Mexico, may proceed after that date if no additional claimants come forward.

The Museum of Indian Arts & Culture, Museum of New Mexico, is responsible for notifying the Pueblo of Jemez, New Mexico, that this notice has been published.

Dated: October 25, 2012.

David Tarler,

Acting Manager, National NAGPRA Program.

[FR Doc. 2012-27955 Filed 11-15-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-50-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-861]

Certain Cases for Portable Electronic Devices; Institution of Investigation Pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, as Amended

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on September 26, 2012, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Speculative

Product Design, LLC of Mountain View, California. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain cases for portable electronic devices by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,204,561 ("the '561 patent"). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue an exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2560.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2012).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on November 8, 2012, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain cases for portable electronic devices that infringe one or

more of claims 1–16 of the '561 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is:

Speculative Product Design, LLC, 303 Bryant Street, Mountain View, California 94041.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Anbess Electronics Co. Ltd., 1F, Block B, Building 4, Cui Feng hao Yuan, ShuiJing, Buji, LongGang, Shenzhen, GC, 518112, China.

BodyGlove International, LLC, 201 Herondo Street, Redondo Beach, California 90277.

Fellowes, Inc., 1789 Norwood Avenue, Itsaca, Illinois 60143.

ROCON Digital Technology Corp., Block 15, Fumin Industrial Zone, Shenzhen, China 518111.

SW-Box.com, aka Cellphonezone Limited, Flat A, 15/F Hillier Comm. Bldg, 65–67 Bonham Strand East, Sheung Wan, Hong Kong.

Trait Technology (Shenzhen) Co., Limited, dba Trait-Tech, 416–419RM, 305# SuFa Building, HuaFa North Road, Futian District, Shenzhen, China 518031.

Hongkong Wexun Ltd, Wexun Tech (Hong Kong) Co., Ltd, Block 15, Fumin Industrial Zone, Pinghu Community Office, Longgang District, Guangdong, China 518111.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d)–(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 9, 2012.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012–27864 Filed 11–15–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–796]

Certain Electronic Digital Media Devices and Components Thereof; Notice of Request for Statements on the Public Interest

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the presiding administrative law judge has issued a Final Initial Determination and Recommended Determination on Remedy and Bonding in the above-captioned investigation. The Commission is soliciting comments on public interest issues raised by the recommended relief, specifically a limited exclusion order against certain electronic digital media devices and components thereof imported by respondents Samsung Electronics Co, Ltd. of Korea; Samsung Electronics America, Inc. of Ridgefield Park, New Jersey; and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC of Richardson, Texas (collectively “Samsung”), and cease and desist orders against Samsung.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cathy Chen, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2392. The public version of the complaint can be accessed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>, and will be available for inspection during official

business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 provides that if the Commission finds a violation it shall exclude the articles concerned from the United States:

Unless, after considering the effect of such exclusion upon the public health and welfare, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, and United States consumers, it finds that such articles should not be excluded from entry.

19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1). A similar provision applies to cease and desist orders. 19 U.S.C. 1337(f)(1).

The Commission is interested in further development of the record on the public interest in these investigations. Accordingly, members of the public are invited to file submissions of no more than five (5) pages, inclusive of attachments, concerning the public interest in light of the administrative law judge's Recommended Determination on Remedy and Bonding issued in this investigation on November 7, 2012. Comments should address whether issuance of an exclusion order and/or cease and desist orders in this investigation would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

- (i) Explain how the articles potentially subject to the recommended orders are used in the United States;
- (ii) Identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the recommended orders;
- (iii) Identify like or directly competitive articles that complainant, its licensees, or third parties make in the United States which could replace the subject articles if they were to be excluded;