

Management Plan is the Regional Director, Pacific West Region. Subsequently the official responsible for implementation of the approved plan would be the Superintendent, Death Valley National Park.

Dated: March 22, 2012.

**Christine S. Lehnertz,**

*Regional Director, Pacific West Region.*

[FR Doc. 2012-13612 Filed 6-4-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312-FF-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

[NPS-PWR-PWRO-0411-10066; 9530-0905-454]

#### **Prairie Stewardship Plan/ Environmental Impact Statement, San Juan Island National Historical Park**

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for Prairie Stewardship Plan, San Juan Island National Historical Park, Washington.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C), the National Park Service (NPS) is initiating the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for a Prairie Stewardship Plan, as called for in the 2008 General Management Plan. The Prairie Stewardship Plan (plan) will establish management goals for perpetuating this sensitive ecosystem, while also providing for the use and enjoyment of the park by current and future generations. The purpose of the scoping process is to elicit public comments regarding the full spectrum of public issues and concerns, suitable stewardship actions, and nature and extent of potential environmental consequences and appropriate mitigation strategies which should be addressed in the EIS process.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** A range of alternatives for stewardship of prairie areas in San Juan Island National Historical Park (Park) will be developed through this conservation planning and environmental impact analysis process, which will include at least no-action and preferred alternatives. Topics which the EIS/plan is expected to address include: (1) Designing a flexible, adaptable, diverse, and sustainable plan that reflects shared objectives responsive to community concerns and NPS mandates; (2) determining the best means to perpetuate native and native endemic species by considering a full

range of potential management actions to improve prairie stewardship; (3) determining the best means to manage nonnative invasive plant and animal species; (4) identifying management actions for specific areas within the national historical park; (5) incorporating monitoring and evaluation tools to measure success; and (6) allowing for adaptive management based on the results of restoration actions. The EIS will evaluate and compare the potential environmental consequences of all the alternatives, and appropriate mitigation strategies will be included. The "environmentally preferred" alternative will also be identified.

In July, 2010 the NPS released an Environmental Assessment for the proposed plan. Issues and concerns emerged in regards to appropriateness of proposed stewardship actions, and conflicts between desired visitor experience and protection of cultural and natural resource protection could not be resolved. As a result, it was determined that an EIS would be prepared. Subsequently the Park has conducted two workshops to improve community relationships, including an Adaptive Management Workshop in July, 2011 and a Prairie Stewardship Educational Symposium in September, 2011. These workshops brought together interested community members, NPS staff, and scientists to identify issues and concerns, discuss common ground, and begin collection of relevant environmental information to facilitate planning for preparation of the plan/EIS.

All interested persons, organizations, and agencies are encouraged to submit comments and suggestions on issues and concerns that should be addressed in preparing the plan/EIS, and the range of appropriate alternatives that should be examined. All prior comments and information received in regards to the 2010 Environmental Assessment will be carried forward and fully considered in developing the Draft EIS.

**DATES:** The NPS is beginning public scoping via a letter to state and federal agencies, American Indian tribes, local and regional governments, organizations and businesses, researchers and institutions, and interested members of the public. Written comments concerning the scope of the plan/EIS and submittal of relevant environmental information must be postmarked or transmitted not later than September 4, 2012. Public meetings will be scheduled during the scoping period. Dates, times, and locations of the meetings will be

announced on the park Web page and via local and regional newspapers.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Jerald Weaver, Chief of Resource Management, San Juan Island National Historical Park (contact via telephone (360) 378-2240 x2224 or Email: [gerald\\_weaver@nps.gov](mailto:gerald_weaver@nps.gov)). General information about San Juan Island National Historical Park is available at <http://www.nps.gov/sajh>.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested individuals, organizations, and other entities wishing to provide input to this phase of developing the plan/EIS should mail written comments to Superintendent, San Juan Island National Historical Park, P.O. Box 429, Friday Harbor, Washington, 98250. Comments may also be hand-delivered to the Superintendent's Office in Friday Harbor. Telephone: (360) 378-2240.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

As a delegated EIS, the official responsible for approving the Prairie Stewardship Plan/EIS is Christine Lehnertz, Regional Director, Pacific West Region, National Park Service. Subsequently the official responsible for implementation of the approved plan would be the Superintendent, San Juan Island National Historical Park.

Dated: March 1, 2012.

**Christine S. Lehnertz,**

*Regional Director, Pacific West Region.*

[FR Doc. 2012-13607 Filed 6-4-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-MS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

[NPS-AKR-LACL-0312-9853; 9921-9855-409]

#### **Notice To Terminate the Wilderness Study and Environmental Impact Statement on a Lake Clark National Park and Preserve General Management Plan Amendment**

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The National Park Service (NPS) is terminating the wilderness study and environmental impact

statement on a general management plan amendment for Lake Clark National Park and Preserve. The NPS published a Notice of Intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement on a General Management Plan Amendment/Wilderness Study Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Lake Clark National Park and Preserve in the **Federal Register** (76 FR 31359) on May 31, 2011. The NPS released a scoping newsletter in June 2011 and the public comment period ended September 1, 2011. Public comments focused on issues related to opportunities for visitor use, recreation and access. Pursuing a wilderness study in this plan did not emerge as an important issue, and the NPS has decided this plan should focus on providing for visitor use while protecting park resources and values. Therefore, the NPS is deferring the wilderness study and requisite environmental impact statement. The plan will be evaluated in an environmental assessment because the management alternatives are not expected to result in significant impacts to the human environment.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Joel Hard, Superintendent, Lake Clark National Park and Preserve, 240 West 5th Avenue, Suite 236, Anchorage, AK 99501. Telephone: (907) 644-3626.

**Sue E. Masica,**  
*Regional Director, Alaska.*

[FR Doc. 2012-13604 Filed 6-4-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-GY-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Reclamation

#### Central Valley Project Improvement Act, Water Management Plans

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** The following Water Management Plans are available for review:

- Contra Costa Water District.
- City of Santa Barbara.
- Tulare Irrigation District.
- Pacheco Irrigation District.
- City of Tracy.
- Citrus Heights.
- Water District.

To meet the requirements of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act of 1992 (CVPIA) and the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982, the Bureau of Reclamation developed and published the Criteria for Evaluating Water Management Plans (Criteria). For the

purpose of this announcement, Water Management Plans (Plans) are considered the same as Water Conservation Plans. The above entities have each developed a Plan, which Reclamation has evaluated and preliminarily determined to meet the requirements of these Criteria. Reclamation is publishing this notice in order to allow the public to review the Plans and comment on the preliminary determinations. Public comment on Reclamation's preliminary (i.e., draft) determination of Plan adequacy is invited at this time.

**DATES:** All public comments must be received by July 5, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** Please mail comments to Ms. Laurie Sharp, Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way, MP-410, Sacramento, California, 95825, or email at [lsharp@usbr.gov](mailto:lsharp@usbr.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To be placed on a mailing list for any subsequent information, please contact Ms. Sharp at the email address above or 916-978-5232 (TDD 978-5608).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** We are inviting the public to comment on our preliminary (i.e., draft) determination of Plan adequacy. Section 3405(e) of the CVPIA (Title 34 Pub. L. 102-575), requires the Secretary of the Interior to establish and administer an office on Central Valley Project water conservation best management practices that shall "develop criteria for evaluating the adequacy of all water conservation plans developed by project contractors, including those plans required by section 210 of the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982." Also, according to Section 3405(e)(1), these criteria must be developed "with the purpose of promoting the highest level of water use efficiency reasonably achievable by project contractors using best available cost-effective technology and best management practices." These criteria state that all parties (Contractors) that contract with Reclamation for water supplies (municipal and industrial contracts over 2,000 acre-feet and agricultural contracts over 2,000 irrigable acres) must prepare a Plan that contains the following information:

1. Description of the District
2. Inventory of Water Resources
3. Best Management Practices (BMPs) for Agricultural Contractors
4. BMPs for Urban Contractors
5. Plan Implementation
6. Exemption Process
7. Regional Criteria
8. Five-Year Revisions

Reclamation evaluates Plans based on these criteria. A copy of these Plans will

be available for review at Reclamation's Mid-Pacific Regional Office, 2800 Cottage Way, MP-410, Sacramento, California, 95825. Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. If you wish to review a copy of these Plans, please contact Ms. Sharp.

### Public Disclosure

Before including your name, address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: May 30, 2012.

**Richard J. Woodley,**

*Regional Resources Manager, Mid-Pacific Region, Bureau of Reclamation.*

[FR Doc. 2012-13574 Filed 6-4-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-819]

### Certain Semiconductor Chips With DRAM Circuitry, and Modules and Products Containing Same Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination To Amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 7) to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to add allegations of infringement of claims 5, 17, 18, 21, and 29 of U.S. Patent No. 7,495,453 against respondents Nanya Technology Corporation of TaoYuan, Taiwan and Nanya Technology Corporation, U.S.A. of Santa Clara, California.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Clark S. Cheney, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2661. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business