

Landholding Agency: Army  
 Property Number: 21201220042  
 Status: Excess  
 Directions: A1811, AT306, AT307, R0013,  
 R0014, R0021, R0026, R0027, R0040,  
 R0055, R0063, R0064  
 Comments: nat'l security concerns; public  
 access denied and no alternative method to  
 gain access w/out compromising nat'l  
 security

Reasons: Secured Area

Washington

Bldg. 28

JBLM

JBLM WA

Landholding Agency: Army

Property Number: 21201220021

Status: Underutilized

Comments: nat'l security concerns; public  
 access denied & no alternative method to  
 gain access w/out compromising nat'l  
 security

Reasons: Secured Area

Land

South Carolina

Skate Park

Ft. Jackson

Ft. Jackson SC

Landholding Agency: Army

Property Number: 21201220022

Status: Underutilized

Comments: nat'l security concerns; public  
 access denied & no alternative method to  
 gain access w/out compromising nat'l  
 security

Reasons: Secured Area

Basketball Court

Ft. Jackson

Ft. Jackson SC

Landholding Agency: Army

Property Number: 21201220025

Status: Unutilized

Comments: nat'l security concerns; public  
 access denied and no alternative method to  
 gain access w/out compromising nat'l  
 security

Reasons: Secured Area

[FR Doc. 2012-10454 Filed 5-3-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-67-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS-R8-R-2012-N023;  
 FXRS1261080000V2-123-FF08RSRC00]

#### Llano Seco Riparian Sanctuary Unit Restoration and Pumping Plant/Fish Screen Facility Protection Project, CA; Draft Environmental Impact Statement and Environmental Impact Report

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service,  
 Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability; request  
 for public comments.

**SUMMARY:** We, the U.S. Fish and  
 Wildlife Service (Service), announce the  
 availability of a draft environmental

impact statement and environmental  
 impact report (EIS/EIR) for the Llano  
 Seco Riparian Sanctuary Unit  
 Restoration and Pumping Plant/Fish  
 Screen Facility Protection Project in  
 Glenn and Butte Counties, California.  
 The proposed project includes riparian  
 restoration and protection of the  
 Princeton-Cordora-Glenn and Provident  
 Irrigation Districts (PCGID-PID)  
 pumping plant and fish screen facility.  
 The draft EIS/EIR, which we prepared  
 in cooperation with the California  
 Department of Fish and Game (CDFG)  
 and now announce in accordance with  
 the National Environmental Policy Act  
 of 1969 (NEPA), describes the  
 alternatives identified to protect the  
 pumping plant and fish screen facility  
 located at river mile 178.5 on the  
 Sacramento River, and to restore the  
 Riparian Sanctuary Unit of the  
 Sacramento River National Wildlife  
 Refuge.

**DATES:** We must receive written  
 comments at the address below on or  
 before June 25, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** The draft EIS/EIR is  
 available at:

- Sacramento National Wildlife  
 Refuge Complex, 752 County Road 99  
 W, Willows, CA 95988, (530) 934-7814.
- River Partners Office, 580  
 Vallombrosa Avenue, Chico, CA 95926,  
 (530) 894-5401.
- Orland Free Library, 333 Mill  
 Street, Orland, CA 95963.
- Chico Branch Library, 1108  
 Sherman Avenue, Chico, CA 95926.
- CDFG Office, 629 Entler Ave, Suite  
 12, Chico, CA 95928.
- PCGID-PID Office, 258 South Butte  
 Street, Willows, CA 95988, (530) 934-  
 4801.
- Internet: [www.fws.gov/  
 sacramentovalleyrefuges/](http://www.fws.gov/sacramentovalleyrefuges/) and [http://  
 www.riverpartners.org/where-we-work/  
 sanctuary/documents.html](http://www.riverpartners.org/where-we-work/sanctuary/documents.html).

Written comments and requests for  
 information may be sent to: Daniel W.  
 Frisk, Project Leader, Sacramento  
 National Wildlife Refuge Complex, U.S.  
 Fish and Wildlife Service, 752 County  
 Road 99 W, Willows, CA 95988.  
 Alternatively you may send written  
 comments or requests by fax to (530)  
 934-7814, or by email to  
[dan\\_frisk@fws.gov](mailto:dan_frisk@fws.gov). Please indicate that  
 your comments refer to the Riparian  
 Sanctuary Restoration and Pumping  
 Plan/Fish Screen Facility Protection  
 Project.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
 Kelly Moroney, Refuge Manager,  
 Sacramento River National Wildlife  
 Refuge, (530) 934-2801 (phone);  
[kelly\\_moroneyr@fws.gov](mailto:kelly_moroneyr@fws.gov) (email), or;  
 Helen Swagerty, River Partners, (530)

894-5401 (phone);  
[hswagerty@riverpartners.org](mailto:hswagerty@riverpartners.org) (email).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The Llano Seco Riparian Sanctuary  
 Unit was acquired by the Service in  
 1991 and added to the Sacramento River  
 National Wildlife Refuge. The Service  
 acquired the Llano Seco Riparian  
 Sanctuary Unit as part of the Joint  
 Management Agreement between Parrot  
 Investment Co., The Nature  
 Conservancy, California Department of  
 Fish and Game, and the Service to  
 cooperatively manage lands on the  
 Llano Seco Ranch. The Llano Seco  
 Riparian Sanctuary Unit is one piece of  
 the larger Llano Seco Ranch, and was  
 cleared of riparian vegetation for  
 agricultural production by the previous  
 landowner during the 1970s. Although  
 the property has been out of agricultural  
 production for close to 15 years, the  
 habitat remains dominated by nonnative  
 and invasive noxious weeds. Currently,  
 just over 200 acres is farmed to dryland  
 row crops to help control nonnative  
 weeds.

Prior to acquisition by the Service,  
 rock revetment was placed on the north  
 end of the Llano Seco Riparian  
 Sanctuary Unit by the Department of  
 Water Resources in 1985 and 1986. The  
 rock was placed in order to lock the  
 Sacramento River in place ensuring that  
 flood flows would continue to be  
 diverted from the Sacramento River  
 through the Goose Lake overflow  
 structure and into the Butte Basin.  
 When the Service acquired the ranch  
 property in 1991, we did so with the  
 understanding that our management  
 activities would not impact the Goose  
 Lake overflow structure that diverts  
 flood water into the Butte Basin.

Since the placement of rock revetment  
 in 1986, the natural riverbank that is  
 south of the revetment has eroded  
 approximately 600 feet. The erosion on  
 refuge property is directly across from  
 the PCGID-PID pumping plant and fish  
 screening facility. In 1999, the PCGID-  
 PID consolidated three pumping plants  
 into one new facility equipped with  
 state-of-the-art fish screens. The fish-  
 screening efficiency of the new PCGID-  
 PID pumping plant is now endangered  
 by the bank erosion on the refuge  
 property and the migration of the  
 Sacramento River. Although the rock  
 revetment on the north edge of refuge  
 property is decades old and eroding, it  
 plays a key role in protecting the  
 PCGID-PID pumping plant. As the bank  
 erodes, the angle of flow and velocity of  
 the water passing the screens will  
 change, trapping fish against the screen  
 rather than sweeping them past.

Without some type of protection, it is likely the bank will continue to erode and the pumping plant facility will fail to meet guidelines for operation of the pumping-plant fish screens that were published by the National Marine Fisheries Service of National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (Department of Commerce).

#### Alternatives

To address these issues, we identified and analyzed four alternatives in the draft EIS/EIR:

##### *Alternative 1: No-Action Alternative*

Under the No-Action Alternative, only the ongoing removal and management of invasive plant species would occur at the Riparian Sanctuary. No active restoration of native plants would occur. Maintenance activities for the PCGID–PID pumping plant and fish screens would continue, but no new actions would be taken to prevent river meander.

##### *Alternative 2: Spur Dikes and Site-Specific Plantings*

Under Alternative 2, bank protection measures would consist of installing eight rock spur dikes along the Sacramento River on the northern side of the Riparian Sanctuary. The dike field would extend about 2,000 feet in length. The dikes would be spaced 225 feet apart and each dike would extend 75 feet into the river. Restoration activities on the Riparian Sanctuary would consist of site-specific plantings across 400 acres of the site. Restoration activities would include preparing the site, planting native plants, irrigating plants for the first 3 years, and monitoring and managing the restored area.

##### *Alternative 3: Traditional Riprap and Site-Specific Plantings*

Under Alternative 3, bank protection measures would consist of installing riprap with or without a low berm along the Sacramento River on the northern side of the Riparian Sanctuary. Riprap revetment would be installed from the end of the existing riprap upstream for 2,500 to 2,700 feet to a point almost directly across from the pumping plant and fish screen facility, to protect the riverbank from further erosion. In addition to the site-specific plantings described under Alternative 2, revegetation is proposed on both the bank and low berm areas under this alternative.

##### *Alternative 4: Traditional Riprap With Upstream Rock Removal and Site-Specific Plantings*

Under Alternative 4, bank protection measures would consist of installing riprap with or without a low berm along the Sacramento River on the north side of the Riparian Sanctuary as described in Alternative 3, including revegetation on both the bank and low berm. Riparian restoration would take place as described in Alternative 2. In addition, under Alternative 4, we proposed to remove approximately 2,300 linear feet of upstream bank revetment on State- and Service-managed lands along the north side of the peninsula upstream of the Riparian Sanctuary. Removal of the revetment would encourage a natural progression of streambank erosion, and the eventual cutoff of an oxbow. This cut off would allow the river to flow parallel to the pumping plant and fish screen facility, which is the desired alignment for the fish screen to properly function. Installing traditional riprap on the northern side of the Riparian Sanctuary would hold the river in place to prevent it from migrating further east, away from the facility.

#### NEPA Compliance

The EIS/EIR discusses the direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts of the alternatives on biological resources, cultural resources, land use, air quality, water quality, water resources, and other environmental resources. It also identifies appropriate mitigation measures for adverse environmental effects.

#### Public Review

We are conducting public review of the EIS/EIR in accordance with the requirements of NEPA, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), its implementing regulations (40 CFR parts 1500–1508), other applicable regulations, and our procedures for compliance with those regulations. The EIS/EIR meets the requirements of both NEPA and the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The California Department of Fish and Game is the CEQA lead agency. We provide this notice under regulations implementing NEPA (40 CFR 1506.6).

#### Public Meeting

We will hold one public meeting to solicit comments on the draft EIS/EIR. We will send a separate notice to the public that identifies the time, date, and location of the meeting.

#### Public Comments

We invite the public to comment on the EIS/EIR during the comment period.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. We will use the comments to prepare a final EIS/EIR. A decision will be made no sooner than 30 days after the publication of the final environmental impact statement.

**Alexandra Pitts,**

*Acting Regional Director, Pacific Southwest Region.*

[FR Doc. 2012–10777 Filed 5–3–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–55–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[LLWO35000.L1430000.FR0000]

#### Renewal of Approved Information Collection

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** 30-Day Notice and Request for Comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has submitted an information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to continue the collection of information from individuals who want to make a desert land entry to reclaim, irrigate, and cultivate arid and semiarid public lands administered by the BLM in the western States. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) previously approved this information collection activity, and assigned it control number 1004–0004.

**DATES:** The OMB is required to respond to this information collection request within 60 days but may respond after 30 days. Therefore, written comments should be received on or before June 4, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** Please submit comments directly to the Desk Officer for the Department of the Interior (OMB #1004–0004), Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, fax 202–395–5806, or by electronic mail at [oir\\_docket@omb.eop.gov](mailto:oir_docket@omb.eop.gov). Please provide a copy of your comments to the BLM. You may do so via mail, fax, or electronic mail.