

data of our current suite of applications and be able to monitor changes over time as the new EHR is deployed. Over the next five years, the DoD will make a significant investment to deliver a new EHR solution and it will be important to accurately assess the benefits realized as a result of this investment.

*Affected Public:* MTF contractor providers and support staff.

*Annual Burden Hours:* 628.

*Number of Respondents:* 942.

*Responses per Respondent:* 4.

*Average Burden per Response:* 10 minutes.

*Frequency:* Quarterly.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Summary of Information Collection**

Respondents are contracted medical professionals and support staff who utilize the current EHR systems. The survey will be administered via a MHS/DoD platform that will capture response data internally. The survey will be administered via an online tool on a

quarterly basis to a selected population sample of users. The respondents will only be included in the population sample once per twelve month period to minimize response bias and burden. The population sample will receive a pre-notification, and reminder notifications to encourage participation.

Dated: February 10, 2011.

**Morgan F. Park,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 2011-3333 Filed 2-14-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-06-P**

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

##### **Office of the Secretary**

**[Transmittal Nos. 11-05]**

##### **36(b)(1) Arms Sales Notification**

**AGENCY:** Defense Security Cooperation Agency, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Defense is publishing the unclassified text of a section 36(b)(1) arms sales notification. This is published to fulfill the requirements of section 155 of Public Law 104-164 dated 21 July 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. M. Rothamel, DSCA/DBO/CFM, (703) 602-1321.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The following is a copy of a letter to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, Transmittals 11-05 with attached transmittal and policy justification.

Dated: February 9, 2011.

**Morgan F. Park,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

**BILLING CODE 5001-06-P**



DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY  
201 12TH STREET SOUTH, STE 203  
ARLINGTON, VA 22202-5408


FEB 01 2011

The Honorable John A. Boehner  
Speaker of the House  
U.S. House of Representatives  
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Speaker:

Pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended, we are forwarding herewith Transmittal No. 11-05, concerning the Department of the Navy's proposed Letter(s) of Offer and Acceptance to Australia for defense articles and services estimated to cost \$1.6 billion. After this letter is delivered to your office, we plan to issue a press statement to notify the public of this proposed sale.

Sincerely,

  
William E. Landay III  
Vice Admiral, USN  
Director

Enclosures:

1. Transmittal
2. Policy Justification



## Transmittal No. 11-05

Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer  
Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1)  
of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended

- (i) Prospective Purchaser: Australia
- (ii) Total Estimated Value:

Major Defense Equipment*	\$ 0 billion
Other	\$ 1.6 billion
TOTAL	\$ 1.6 billion
- (iii) Description and Quantity or Quantities of Articles or Services under Consideration for Purchase: Ten year Through-Life-Support (TLS) for (24) MH-60R Multi-Mission Helicopters. The sustainment effort will include spare and repair parts provisioning, support and test equipment, publications and technical documentation, U.S. Government and contractor engineering, technical and logistics support services, and other related elements of logistical and program support.
- (iv) Military Department: Navy (GXO)
- (v) Prior Related Cases, if any: None
- (vi) Sales Commission, Fee, etc., Paid, Offered, or Agreed to be Paid: None
- (vii) Sensitivity of Technology Contained in the Defense Article or Defense Services Proposed to be Sold: None
- (viii) Date Report Delivered to Congress: 1 February 2011

\* as defined in Section 47(6) of the Arms Export Control Act.

POLICY JUSTIFICATIONAustralia – Sustainment for (24) MH-60R Multi-Mission Helicopters

The Government of Australia has requested a possible sale of ten year Through-Life-Support (TLS) for (24) MH-60R Multi-Mission Helicopters. The sustainment effort will include spare and repair parts provisioning, support and test equipment, publications and technical documentation, U.S. Government and contractor engineering, technical and logistics support services, and other related elements of logistical and program support. The estimated cost is \$1.6 billion.

Australia, one of our most important allies in the Western Pacific, contributes significantly to ensuring peace and economic stability in the region. Australia's efforts in peacekeeping and humanitarian operations in Iraq and in Afghanistan have served U.S. national security interests.

The proposed sale will provide Australia the resources necessary to properly maintain its 24 MH-60R helicopters. Australia, which already has S-70B helicopters in its inventory, will have no difficulty performing the actions necessary to properly sustain these additional helicopters.

The proposed sale of this equipment and support will not alter the basic military balance in the region.

The principal contractors will be Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation of Stratford, Connecticut; Lockheed Martin of Owego; New York; GE of Lynn, Massachusetts; and the Raytheon Corporation of Portsmouth, Rhode Island. There are no known offset agreements proposed in connection with this potential sale.

Implementation of this proposed sale will require temporary assignment of approximately 20 U.S. Government and contractor representatives to Australia on an intermittent basis over the life of the case.

There will be no adverse impact on U.S. defense readiness as a result of this proposed sale.

[FR Doc. 2011-3334 Filed 2-14-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-C

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

#### Notice of Proposed Solicitation for Cooperative Agreement Applications (SCAA)

**AGENCY:** Defense Logistics Agency, DoD.

**ACTION:** Proposed solicitation for cost sharing cooperative agreement applications.

**SUMMARY:** The Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) executes the DoD Procurement Technical Assistance Program (PTAP) by awarding cost sharing cooperative agreements to assist eligible entities in establishing or maintaining procurement technical assistance centers (PTACs) pursuant to Chapter 142 of title 10, United States Code. Eligible entities include states, local governments, private nonprofit organizations, tribal organizations and economic enterprises.

In order to maintain continuity of the program, DLA will be issuing a Solicitation for Cooperative Agreement Applications (SCAA) for tribal organizations and economic enterprises, as defined by 10 U.S.C. 2411(1)(D) (a separate SCAA was previously issued for other eligible entities; see 75 FR 24663). This SCAA will be a follow-on to SCAA issued on April 7, 2008 and to the SCAA issued on May 5, 2009. When issued, the SCAA will govern the submission of applications to be considered for base year cost sharing cooperative agreement awards in Fiscal Year 2011. The SCAA will also allow for two option period awards in Fiscal Years 2012 and 2013.

A proposed version of this SCAA, which contains a number of changes from previous solicitations, will be posted for comment on or about February 15, 2011 at <http://www.dla.mil/db/ptap.asp> (select "Information for PTAP funding recipients" at the bottom of the page). Printed copies are not available for distribution.

Written comments regarding this proposed SCAA may be submitted via mail to Headquarters, Defense Logistics Agency, Office of Small Business Programs (Attn: Grants Officer), 8725 John J. Kingman Road, Suite 1127, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-6221 or via e-mail to [PTAP@dlamail.mil](mailto:PTAP@dlamail.mil).

All comments must be received by March 22, 2011 for them to receive consideration. It is anticipated that the final SCAA will be posted on the DLA

Web site by April 4, 2011. A notice will be posted at [Grants.gov](http://Grants.gov) announcing the SCAA along with details on how to submit applications.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** DLA Office of Small Business Programs at (703) 767-0192.

Dated: February 9, 2011.

**Morgan F. Park,**  
*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 2011-3332 Filed 2-14-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Navy

#### Record of Decision for the Disposal and Reuse of Naval Air Station Brunswick, ME

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of record of decision.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of the Navy (Navy) announces its decision to dispose of the Naval Air Station (NAS) Brunswick property and its outlying properties (defined as the McKeen Street Housing Annex, East Brunswick Radio Transmitter Site, and Sabino Hill Rake Station) in a manner consistent with the Brunswick Naval Air Station Reuse Master Plan as outlined in the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) under Alternative 1, the Preferred Alternative.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. David Drozd, BRAC Program Management Office (PMO) Northeast, 4911 Broad Street, Building 679, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112-1303; telephone: 215-897-4909; e-mail: [david.drozd@navy.mil](mailto:david.drozd@navy.mil).

The complete text of the ROD is available for public viewing on the Navy's BRAC PMO Web site at <http://www.bracpmo.navy.mil/BrunswickEIS.aspx> along with copies of the FEIS.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Navy is required to close NAS Brunswick, in accordance with Public Law 101-510, the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, as amended in 2005 (BRAC Closure Law). Pursuant to Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4321, *et seq.*; Council on Environmental Quality Regulations (40 CFR parts 1500-1508); and Navy regulations (32 CFR part 775), the Navy announces its decision to dispose of NAS Brunswick and its outlying properties in a manner consistent with the Brunswick Naval Air Station Reuse Master Plan (Reuse Master Plan) as

developed and approved by the Brunswick Local Redevelopment Authority (BLRA). Full build-out of the Preferred Alternative is proposed to be implemented over a 20-year period. It is expected the redevelopment would follow the Reuse Plan's Community Design Guidelines and would incorporate low-impact development, smart growth principles, best management practices, and redevelopment design measures that incorporate energy conservation. The Preferred Alternative identified in the FEIS by the Navy best meets the purpose and need of the proposed action.

### Environmental Impacts

**Land Use:** The Preferred Alternative would result in changes to existing land use conditions on the installation, including a more intensively built environment, new land uses, and open public access to the formerly secure and restricted military property. The Preferred Alternative is consistent with the Town of Brunswick 2008 Comprehensive Plan and Zoning Ordinance.

**Cultural Resources:** Under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, the Navy has completed formal Section 106 consultation to resolve all adverse effects to historic properties. Twenty structures on the installation property are eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) and thirty-five archaeological sites have been identified. The Navy and the Maine State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) have finalized and executed a Programmatic Agreement (PA) that identifies measures to avoid, minimize, or mitigate the adverse effect of the proposed action on historic properties.

**Biological Resources:** There are no federally listed threatened or endangered species on the NAS Brunswick property. Three state-listed species are present, the upland sandpiper, grasshopper sparrow, and clothed sedge. There would be a potential impact on these three species because prime Sandplain Greenland habitat could be permanently removed because of development. The Sandplain Greenland habitat is considered a significant wildlife habitat under the Maine Natural Resource Protection Act (MNRPA). A permit would likely be required for any development within this habitat area. Such permitting would likely require review and approval from the Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife (MDIFW) and Maine Natural Areas Program (MNAP).