

interpreting the rule in substantially similar format as proposed as it applies to Petitioner. Pursuant to the proposed settlement agreement, EPA would be issuing its letter interpreting the rule as it applies to petitioner with respect to monitoring and measurements at three locations at the effluent treatment basin at International Paper Company's Prattville Mill in Prattville, Alabama.

For a period of thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will accept written comments relating to the proposed settlement agreement from persons who were not named as parties or intervenors to the litigation in question. EPA or the Department of Justice may withdraw or withhold consent to the proposed settlement agreement if the comments disclose facts or considerations that indicate that such consent is inappropriate, improper, inadequate, or inconsistent with the requirements of the Act. Unless EPA or the Department of Justice determines, based on any comment submitted, that consent to this settlement agreement should be withdrawn, the terms of the agreement will be affirmed.

II. Additional Information About Commenting on the Proposed Settlement Agreement

A. How can I get a copy of the settlement agreement?

The official public docket for this action (identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OGC-2011-0904) contains a copy of the proposed settlement agreement. The official public docket is available for public viewing at the Office of Environmental Information (OEI) Docket in the EPA Docket Center, EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the OEI Docket is (202) 566-1752.

An electronic version of the public docket is available through <http://www.regulations.gov>. You may use the <http://www.regulations.gov> to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, key in the appropriate docket identification number then select "search".

It is important to note that EPA's policy is that public comments, whether

submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing online at <http://www.regulations.gov> without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, CBI, or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information claimed as CBI and other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute is not included in the official public docket or in the electronic public docket. EPA's policy is that copyrighted material, including copyrighted material contained in a public comment, will not be placed in EPA's electronic public docket but will be available only in printed, paper form in the official public docket. Although not all docket materials may be available electronically, you may still access any of the publicly available docket materials through the EPA Docket Center.

B. How and to whom do I submit comments?

You may submit comments as provided in the ADDRESSES section. Please ensure that your comments are submitted within the specified comment period. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be marked "late." EPA is not required to consider these late comments.

If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name, mailing address, and an email address or other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. This ensures that you can be identified as the submitter of the comment and allows EPA to contact you in case EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties or needs further information on the substance of your comment. Any identifying or contact information provided in the body of a comment will be included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

Use of the <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site to submit comments to EPA electronically is EPA's preferred method for receiving comments. The electronic public docket system is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity, email address, or other contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. In contrast to EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's electronic mail (email) system is not an "anonymous access"

system. If you send an email comment directly to the Docket without going through <http://www.regulations.gov>, your email address is automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket.

Dated: November 8, 2011.

Kevin McLean,

Acting Associate General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2011-29648 Filed 11-15-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9492-6]

Proposed Settlement Agreements, Clean Air Act Citizen Suit

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of Proposed Settlement Agreements; Request for Public Comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 113(g) of the Clean Air Act, as amended ("CAA"), 42 U.S.C. 7413(g), notice is hereby given of two proposed settlement agreements to resolve two cases filed by the WildEarth Guardians ("Guardians") involving EPA actions under the CAA Title V operating permit program. On November 17, 2010, Guardians filed a petition with the Environmental Appeals Board ("EAB") challenging a Title V Renewal Permit issued by EPA Region 8 on October 18, 2010 to BP America Production Company ("BP") for its Florida River Compression Station Facility (*In re BP America Production Co., Florida River Compression Facility*, Appeal No. CAA 10-04). On April 25, 2011, Guardians also filed a petition in the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit (*WildEarth Guardians v. EPA*, No. 11-9527) challenging the Administrator's February 2, 2011 order denying an administrative petition to object to a July 14, 2010 response of the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Air Pollution Control Division regarding the issuance of a renewed title V permit for Anadarko Petroleum Corporation's Frederick Compressor Station. Under the proposed settlement agreements, EPA would agree to undertake a pilot program for the purpose of studying, improving, and streamlining source determinations in the oil and gas industry in new or renewal Title V permits for which Region 8 is the initial Part 71 permitting authority.

DATES: Written comments on the proposed settlement agreements must be received by December 16, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID number EPA–HQ–OGC–2011–0915 online at <http://www.regulations.gov> (EPA's preferred method); by email to oei.docket@epa.gov; by mail to EPA Docket Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Mailcode: 2822T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001; or by hand delivery or courier to EPA Docket Center, EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC, between 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. Comments on a disk or CD–ROM should be formatted in Word or ASCII file, avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption, and may be mailed to the mailing address above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sara L. Laumann, Office of Regional Counsel, EPA Region 8, 1595 Wynkoop Street, Denver, CO 80202–2466; *telephone:* (303) 312–6443; *fax number:* (303) 312–6859; *email address:* laumann.sara@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Additional Information About the Proposed Settlement Agreements

These proposed settlement agreements would resolve two cases filed by Guardians. First, Guardians filed a case in the EAB challenging a Title V Renewal Permit issued by EPA Region 8 on October 18, 2010 to BP's Florida River Compression Station Facility (*In re BP America Production Co., Florida River Compression Facility*, Appeal No. CAA 10–04). BP intervened as a party in the EAB action. Second, Guardians filed a petition in the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit challenging the Administrator's February 2, 2011 order denying an administrative petition to object to a July 14, 2010 response of the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment regarding the issuance of a renewed title V permit for Anadarko Petroleum Corporation's Frederick Compressor Station. The State of Colorado and Kerr-McGee Gathering LLC intervened as parties in the 10th Circuit action. Under the proposed settlement agreements, EPA would agree to undertake a pilot program for the purpose of studying, improving, and streamlining source determinations in the oil and gas industry in new or renewal Title V permits for which Region 8 is the initial Part 71 permitting authority. The pilot program would begin upon the effective date of the

settlement agreement in the EAB case and would continue for a duration equal to the earlier of two years or six Title V new or renewal permit applications for which Region 8 is the initial Part 71 permitting authority. Additional information regarding the Region 8 pilot program can be found in an attachment to the proposed settlement agreement for the EAB action, which is available in the docket for this action. Under the proposed settlement agreements, within 15 days of a fully executed settlement agreement in the EAB action, Guardians will file a motion with the EAB to dismiss with prejudice its pending petition for review in Appeal No. CAA 10–04 and the parties in the Tenth Circuit case will file an appropriate pleading for the dismissal of Guardians' petition for review with prejudice. All parties will bear their own costs and attorneys' fees.

For a period of thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will accept written comments relating to the proposed settlement agreements from persons who were not named as parties or intervenors to the respective actions at issue. EPA or the Department of Justice may withdraw or withhold consent to the proposed settlements agreements if the comments disclose facts or considerations that indicate that such consent is inappropriate, improper, inadequate, or inconsistent with the requirements of the Act. Unless EPA or the Department of Justice determines that consent to these settlements agreements should be withdrawn, the terms of the settlements agreements will be affirmed.

II. Additional Information About Commenting on the Proposed Settlement Agreements

A. How can I get a copy of the proposed settlement agreements?

The official public docket for this action (identified by Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OGC–2011–0915) contains a copy of the proposed settlement agreements. The official public docket is available for public viewing at the Office of Environmental Information (OEI) Docket in the EPA Docket Center, EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566–1744, and the telephone number for the OEI Docket is (202) 566–1752.

An electronic version of the public docket is available through <http://www.regulations.gov>. You may use the <http://www.regulations.gov> to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select "search," then key in the appropriate docket identification number.

It is important to note that EPA's policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing online at <http://www.regulations.gov> without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, CBI, or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information claimed as CBI and other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute is not included in the official public docket or in the electronic public docket. EPA's policy is that copyrighted material, including copyrighted material contained in a public comment, will not be placed in EPA's electronic public docket but will be available only in printed, paper form in the official public docket. Although not all docket materials may be available electronically, you may still access any of the publicly available docket materials through the EPA Docket Center.

B. How and to whom do I submit comments?

You may submit comments as provided in the **ADDRESSES** section. Please ensure that your comments are submitted within the specified comment period. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be marked "late." EPA is not required to consider these late comments.

If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name, mailing address, and an email address or other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD–ROM you submit. This ensures that you can be identified as the submitter of the comment and allows EPA to contact you in case EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties or needs further information on the substance of your comment. Any identifying or contact information provided in the body of a comment will be included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification,

EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

Use of the <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site to submit comments to EPA electronically is EPA's preferred method for receiving comments. The electronic public docket system is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity, email address, or other contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. In contrast to EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's electronic mail (email) system is not an "anonymous access" system. If you send an email comment directly to the Docket without going through <http://www.regulations.gov>, your email address is automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket.

Dated: November 4, 2011.

Kevin McLean,

Acting Associate General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2011-29644 Filed 11-15-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9492-5; Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-ORD-2011-0671]

Draft Toxicological Review of n-Butanol: In Support of Summary Information on the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of public comment period; extension.

SUMMARY: EPA announced a 60-day public comment period on August 31, 2011 (76 FR 54227) for the external review draft human health assessment titled, "Toxicological Review of n-Butanol: In Support of Summary Information on the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS)" (EPA/635/R-11/081A). On September 15, 2011, the public comment period was extended by one week because of a one-week delay in the release of the Toxicological Review to the public (76 FR 57033). In this Notice, EPA is extending the public comment period an additional 30 days to December 7, 2011, at the request of the American Chemistry Council's Oxo Process Panel. The draft assessment was prepared by the National Center for Environmental Assessment (NCEA) within the EPA Office of Research and Development (ORD). EPA is releasing this draft assessment for the purposes of public

comment and peer review. This draft assessment is not final as described in EPA's information quality guidelines, and it does not represent and should not be construed to represent Agency policy or views. After public review and comment, an EPA contractor will convene an expert panel for independent external peer review of this draft assessment. The public comment period and external peer review meeting are separate processes that provide opportunities for all interested parties to comment on the assessment. The external peer review meeting will be scheduled at a later date and announced in the **Federal Register**. Public comments submitted during the public comment period will be provided to the external peer reviewers before the panel meeting and considered by EPA. Public comments received after the public comment period closes will not be submitted to the external peer reviewers and will only be considered by EPA if time permits.

DATES: The public comment period will be extended to end December 7, 2011. Comments should be in writing and must be received by EPA by December 7, 2011.

ADDRESSES: The draft "Toxicological Review of n-Butanol: In Support of Summary Information on the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS)" is available primarily via the Internet on the NCEA home page under the Recent Additions and Publications menus at <http://www.epa.gov/ncea>. A limited number of paper copies are available from the Information Management Team (Address: Information Management Team, National Center for Environmental Assessment (Mail Code: 8601P), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20460; *telephone*: (703) 347-8561; *facsimile*: (703) 347-8691). If you request a paper copy, please provide your name, mailing address, and the draft assessment title.

Comments may be submitted electronically via <http://www.regulations.gov>, by email, by mail, by facsimile, or by hand delivery/courier. Please follow the detailed instructions provided in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of the August 31, 2011, Notice (76 FR 54227).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For information on the docket, www.regulations.gov, or the public comment period, please contact the Office of Environmental Information (OEI) Docket (Mail Code: 28221T), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington,

DC 20460; *telephone*: (202) 566-1752; *facsimile*: (202) 566-9744; or *email*: ORD.Docket@epa.gov.

For information on the draft assessment, please contact Dr. Ambuja Bale, National Center for Environmental Assessment (8601P), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460; *telephone*: (703) 347-8643; *facsimile*: (703) 347-8689; or *email*: FRN_Questions@epa.gov.

Dated: November 9, 2011.

Darrell A. Winner,

Acting Director, National Center for Environmental Assessment.

[FR Doc. 2011-29650 Filed 11-15-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

Coordination of Functions; Memorandum of Understanding

AGENCY: Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) and the U.S. Department of Labor (DOL), Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs (OFCCP) have updated their Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), last published at 64 FR.17,664 (April 12, 1999). These updates include: using contemporary office names and titles; designating a "Coordination Advocate" at both agencies; reorganizing and/or condensing language for clarity; streamlining the Compliance Coordination Committees; and clarifying the complaint/charge referral procedures.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Claudia Gordon, Special Assistant to the Director, Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs, Department of Labor, (202) 693-1073; Tanisha R. Wilburn, Senior Attorney Advisor, Office of Legal Counsel, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, (202) 663-4909 (voice), (202) 663-7026 (TTY).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of this Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) is to further the agencies' joint objectives in ensuring equal employment opportunities for applicants and employees under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VII) and Executive Order 11246 (E.O. 11246), to promote greater efficiency and coordination, and to eliminate conflict and duplication of effort. The agencies first entered into this MOU on