

establishment of special-purpose subzones when existing zone facilities cannot serve the specific use involved, and when the activity results in a significant public benefit and is in the public interest;

*Whereas*, Dane County, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 266, has made application to the Board for authority to establish a special-purpose subzone at the warehouse and distribution facility of Cabela's Inc., located in Prairie Du Chien, Wisconsin, (FTZ Docket 17-2011, filed 3-7-2011);

*Whereas*, notice inviting public comment has been given in the **Federal Register** (76 FR 13354-13355, 3-11-2011) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

*Whereas*, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

*Now, therefore*, the Board hereby grants authority for subzone status for activity related to hunting, fishing, camping and related outdoor merchandise warehousing and distribution at the facility of Cabela's Inc., located in Prairie Du Chien, Wisconsin (Subzone 266A), as described in the application and **Federal Register** notice, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 13th day of October 2011.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen**,

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

Attest:

**Andrew McGilvray**,  
*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2011-27298 Filed 10-20-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1790]

#### Reorganization of Foreign-Trade Zone 119 Under Alternative Site Framework, Minneapolis/St. Paul, MN

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

*Whereas*, the Board adopted the alternative site framework (ASF) (74 FR

1170, 01/12/09; correction 74 FR 3987, 01/22/09; 75 FR 71069-71070, 11/22/10) as an option for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones;

*Whereas*, the Greater Metropolitan Area Foreign-Trade Zone Commission, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 119, submitted an application to the Board (FTZ Docket 40-2011, filed 6/8/2011) for authority to reorganize under the ASF with a service area of Isanti, Chisago, Sherburne, Wright, Anoka, Washington, Ramsey, Hennepin, McLeod, Carver, Scott, Dakota, Sibley, LeSueur, and Rice Counties, Minnesota, within and adjacent to the Minneapolis Customs and Border Protection port of entry, and FTZ 119's existing Sites 1-3 and 7-10 would be categorized as magnet sites;

*Whereas*, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (76 FR 34649-34650, 6/14/2011) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

*Whereas*, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

*Now, therefore*, the Board hereby orders:

The application to reorganize FTZ 119 under the alternative site framework is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28, to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the overall general-purpose zone project, and to a five-year ASF sunset provision for magnet sites that would terminate authority for Sites 2-3 and 7-10 if not activated by October 4, 2016.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 13th day of October 2011.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen**,

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

Attest:

**Andrew McGilvray**,  
*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2011-27299 Filed 10-20-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-351-840]

#### Certain Orange Juice From Brazil; Notice of Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* October 21, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Blaine Wiltse or Hector Rodriguez, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6345 or (202) 482-0629, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On April 27, 2011, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain orange juice from Brazil. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 76 FR 23545 (Apr. 27, 2011). The period of review is March 1, 2010, through February 28, 2011, and the preliminary results are currently due no later than December 1, 2011. The review covers three producers/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States.

##### Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department shall make a preliminary determination in an administrative review of an antidumping order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act further provides, however, that the Department may extend the 245-day period up to 365 days if it determines it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time period. We determine that it is not practicable to complete this administrative review within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act because we require more time to issue supplemental questionnaires to certain of the respondents and analyze their responses. Therefore, we have fully extended the deadline for completing

the preliminary results until March 30, 2012. The deadline for the final results of the review continues to be 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

This extension notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: October 14, 2011.

**Christian Marsh,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2011-27295 Filed 10-20-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-848]

#### **Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Intent To Rescind Review in Part**

##### *Correction*

In notice document 2011-26069 appearing on pages 62349 through 62356 in the issue of Friday, October 7, 2011 make the following correction:

On page 62349, in the second column, the subject heading should read as set forth above.

[FR Doc. C1-2011-26069 Filed 10-20-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-533-821]

#### **Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From India: Amended Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review Pursuant to Court Decision**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On September 13, 2010, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) sustained the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) redetermination pursuant to the CIT's remand in *United States Steel Corporation, et al. v. United States et al. and Essar Steel Limited v. United States*. See *United States Steel Corporation, et al. v. United States et al. and Essar Steel Limited v. United States et al.*, Slip Op. 10-104 (*Essar*); see also *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand*, dated July 15, 2010 (found at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/>

*remands*). On November 9, 2010, Essar Steel Limited (*Essar*) appealed the CIT's decision. See *United States Steel Corporation, et al. v. United States et al. and Essar Steel Limited v. United States et al.*, Consol. Court No. 08-0239 Appeal (November 9, 2010). On July 7, 2011, the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) sustained the Department's redetermination. See *United States Steel Corporation, et al. v. United States et al. and Essar Steel Limited v. United States et al.*, CAFC 11-1074 Affirmed, Rule 36 (July 7, 2011).

The Department is amending the final results of the administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products (HRCS) from India covering the January 1, 2006, through December 31, 2006, period of review (2006 POR) with respect to *Essar*, to reflect the CIT's decision in *Essar*. See *Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from India: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 73 FR 40295 (July 14, 2008) (*Final Results*), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum (I&D Memorandum).

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* October 21, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Gayle Longest, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-3338.

##### **Background**

On July 14, 2008, the Department published its final results in the countervailing duty administrative review of HRCS from India covering the 2006 POR. See *Final Results*. Following publication of the *Final Results*, respondent *Essar*, filed a lawsuit with the CIT challenging the Department's *Final Results*. See *Essar*. At issue in the litigation was the Department's calculation of the government price for iron ore lumps and fines as well as *Essar's* purchases of lumps and fines with respect to the program "Sale of High-Grade Iron Ore for less Than Adequate Remuneration." See *Final Results*, and accompanying I&D Memorandum at "Sale of High-Grade Iron Ore for Less Than Adequate Remuneration" section and Comment 4.

After a court ordered remand, the Department issued its final results of redetermination on July 15, 2010. See *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand*, dated July 15, 2010 (found at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/remands>); and *Essar*. In its remand

redetermination, the Department made redeterminations with respect to the calculation of the government price for iron ore lumps and fines as well as *Essar's* purchase of iron ore lumps and high-grade iron ore fines from the National Mineral Development Corporation (NMDC). Specifically, we adjusted our iron ore calculations to measure the adequacy of remuneration of sales of lumps and fines by the Government of India (GOI) to *Essar* to include the Central Sales Tax for *Essar's* purchase of iron ore lumps and high-grade iron ore fines from the NMDC and to include import duties payable on iron ore with regard to the corresponding benchmark prices. Then, we corrected the government price for iron ore lumps and fines to address erroneous freight calculations for *Essar's* purchases of iron ore from NMDC. Lastly, for fines purchases from NMDC made on or after the date the slurry pipeline became operational, we replaced the per metric ton (MT) rail cost with the per MT slurry transportation costs. See *Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From India: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony with Final Results of Administrative Review*, 75 FR 59689 (September 28, 2010). The Department's redetermination resulted in changes to the *Final Results* for *Essar's* net subsidy rate concerning the sale of iron ore for less than adequate remuneration program from 13.21 percent to 19.35 percent. Therefore, *Essar's* total net countervailable subsidy rate from the *Final Results*, 17.50 percent, increased by 6.14 percentage points, to a total net countervailable subsidy rate of 23.64 percent. *Id.*

##### **Amended Final Results**

Because there is now a final court decision, the total net countervailable subsidy for *Essar* for the period January 1, 2006, through December 31, 2006, is 23.64 percent. Because the cash deposit rate of 22.19 percent, which was determined for *Essar* in the amended final results of the administrative review covering the period January 1, 2007, through December 31, 2007 (2007 POR) supersedes the cash deposit rate for the 2006 POR, there is no change in *Essar's* cash deposit rate. See *Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From India: Notice of Court Decision not in Harmony with Final Results of Administrative Review and Notice of Amended final Results of Administrative Review Pursuant to Court Decision*, 76 FR 7820 (February 11, 2011). The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to continue to collect cash