

employer/affiliation information (name of institution, address, country, telephone); title/position of attendee. To expedite admittance, attendees with U.S. citizenship can provide identifying information 3 working days in advance by contacting Marian Norris via e-mail at [mnorris@nasa.gov](mailto:mnorris@nasa.gov) or by telephone at (202) 358-4452.

Dated: September 19, 2011.

**P. Diane Rausch,**  
*Advisory Committee Management Officer,  
National Aeronautics and Space  
Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2011-24491 Filed 9-22-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

### Meetings of Humanities Panel

**AGENCY:** The National Endowment for the Humanities.

**ACTION:** Notice of additional meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), notice is hereby given that the following meeting of the Humanities Panel will be held via telephone conference call from the Old Post Office, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Elizabeth Voyatzis, Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Humanities, Washington, DC 20506; telephone (202) 606-8322. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Endowment's TDD terminal on (202) 606-8282.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The proposed meeting is for the purpose of advising the agency, under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, on the development of humanities programming and content for an upcoming *Bridging Cultures Bookshelf* project on the subject of Muslim history and cultures, including discussion of the early planning stages of the project, strategies for shaping and implementing the program, and the portion of the project focusing on Muslim art and architecture. Because the proposed meeting will consider information that is likely to disclose information the premature disclosure of which would be likely to significantly frustrate implementation of a proposed agency action, pursuant to authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee

meetings, dated July 19, 1993, I have determined that the meeting will be closed to the public pursuant to subsection (c)(9)(B) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code.

1. *Date:* October 3, 2011.

*Time:* 2 p.m. to 4 p.m.

*Room:* 421.

*Place:* National Endowment for the Humanities, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506 (Telephone Conference Call).

*Program:* This meeting will provide advice about the Bridging Cultures Bookshelf project on the subject of Muslim history and cultures, focusing on the portion about art and architecture.

**Elizabeth Voyatzis,**

*Advisory Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2011-24401 Filed 9-22-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7536-01-P**

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Advisory Panel for Integrative Activities, #1373; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting.

*Name:* Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Merit Review Process (MRPAC).

*Date/Time:* October 12, 2011; 12 p.m.-4 p.m., EDT.

*Place:* National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Room II-515, Arlington, VA.

*Type of Meeting:* Open.

*Contact Person:* Ms. Victoria Fung, National Science Foundation 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Room II-515, Arlington, VA 22230. E-mail: [vfung@nsf.gov](mailto:vfung@nsf.gov). Telephone: (703) 292-8040.

If you plan to attend the meeting, please send an e-mail with your name and affiliation to the individual listed above, by the day before the meeting, so that a visitor badge can be prepared.

*Purpose of Meeting:* To provide advice concerning issues related to NSF's merit review process.

*Agenda:*

- Welcome.
- Discussion of experiments with enhancements to the merit review process.
- Update on outreach activities.

Dated: September 20, 2011.

**Susanne Bolton,**

*Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2011-24486 Filed 9-22-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7555-01-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2008-0302]

### Standard Format and Content of License Applications for Conventional Uranium Mills

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Draft regulatory guide; withdrawal.

**SUMMARY:** On May 30, 2008 (73 FR 31152), the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) published for public comment a notice of issuance and availability of Draft Regulatory Guide (DG)-3024, "Standard Format and Content of License Applications for Conventional Uranium Mills." DG-3024 was a proposed Revision 2 of Regulatory Guide (RG) 3.5. However, upon further consideration the NRC has decided not to revise RG 3.5 at this time. For this reason, DG-3024 will be withdrawn. The comment period closed on August 4, 2008, and 6 comments were received. The comments received will be considered and incorporated as appropriate if the NRC decides to revise RG 3.5 in the future.

**ADDRESSES:** You can access publicly available documents related to this action using the following methods:

- *NRC's Public Document Room (PDR):* The public may examine and have copied, for a fee, publicly available documents at the NRC's PDR, O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.
- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* Publicly available documents created or received at the NRC are available online in the NRC Library at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. From this page, the public can gain entry into ADAMS, which provides text and image files of the NRC's public documents. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC's PDR reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to [pdr.resource@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr.resource@nrc.gov).
- *Federal Rulemaking Web Site:* Public comments and supporting materials related to this action can be found at <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching on Docket ID NRC-2008-0302. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher, telephone: 301-492-3668; e-mail: [Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov](mailto:Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Hector Luis Rodriguez-Luccioni, U.S.

Nuclear Regulatory Commission,  
Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone:  
301-251-7685, e-mail:  
[Hector.Rodriguez-Luccioni@nrc.gov](mailto:Hector.Rodriguez-Luccioni@nrc.gov).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 15th day  
of September, 2011.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,  
**Thomas H. Boyce**,  
Chief, Regulatory Guide Development Branch,  
Division of Engineering, Office of Nuclear  
Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 2011-24475 Filed 9-22-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-238; NRC-2011-0222]

### Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for the N.S. Savannah; License NS-1, Baltimore, MD

**AGENCY:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory  
Commission.

**ACTION:** Environmental assessment and  
finding of no significant impact.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John  
Hickman, Division of Waste  
Management and Environmental  
Protection, Office of Federal and State  
Materials and Environmental  
Management Programs, U.S. Nuclear  
Regulatory Commission, Mail Stop:  
T8F5, Washington, DC 20555-00001.  
Telephone: (301) 415-3017; e-mail:  
[john.hickman@nrc.gov](mailto:john.hickman@nrc.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Introduction

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory  
Commission (NRC or the Commission)  
staff is considering a request dated  
November 8, 2010, by the U.S.  
Department of Transportation, Maritime  
Administration (MARAD, the licensee)  
requesting exemptions from the security  
requirements in Title 10 of the Code of  
Federal Regulations (10 CFR) part 73  
and 10 CFR 50.54(p) for the N.S.  
Savannah (NSS).

This Environmental Assessment (EA)  
has been developed in accordance with  
the requirements of 10 CFR 51.21.

##### II. Environmental Assessment

###### Identification of Proposed Action

The proposed action would eliminate  
the physical security requirements from  
the 10 CFR Part 50 licensed site because  
the NSS spent fuel elements were  
returned to the AEC and transferred by  
the AEC for reprocessing at its Savannah  
River site in South Carolina. There is no  
longer any special nuclear material  
(SNM) located within the NSS other

than that contained in plant systems as  
residual contamination.

Part of this proposed action meets the  
categorical exclusion provision in 10  
CFR 51.22(c)(25), as part of this action  
is an exemption from the requirements  
of the Commission's regulations and: (i)  
There is no significant hazards  
consideration; (ii) there is no significant  
change in the types or significant  
increase in the amounts of any effluents  
that may be released offsite; (iii) there is  
no significant increase in individual or  
cumulative public or occupational  
radiation exposure; (iv) there is no  
significant construction impact; (v)  
there is no significant increase in the  
potential for or consequences from  
radiological accidents; and (vi) the  
requirements from which an exemption  
is sought involve safeguard plans.  
Therefore, this part of the action does  
not require either an EA or an  
environmental impact statement. This  
EA was prepared for the part of the  
proposed action not involving  
safeguards plans (i.e.: transportation of  
SNM, interaction with emergency  
planning, and background checks.)

###### Need for Proposed Action

Sections 50.54 and 73.55 of 10 CFR  
require that licensees establish and  
maintain physical protection and  
security for activities involving SNM  
within the 10 CFR Part 50 licensed area  
of a facility. The proposed action is  
needed because there is no longer any  
nuclear fuel in the 10 CFR Part 50  
licensed facility that requires protection  
against radiological sabotage or  
diversion. The proposed action will  
allow the licensee to conserve resources  
for decommissioning activities.

###### Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its evaluation  
of the proposed action and concludes  
that exempting the facility from  
physical protection security  
requirements will not have any adverse  
environmental impacts.

The proposed action will not  
significantly increase the probability or  
consequences of accidents, no changes  
are being made in the types of any  
effluents that may be released off site,  
and there is no significant increase in  
occupational or public radiation  
exposure. Therefore, there are no  
significant radiological environmental  
impacts associated with the proposed  
action.

With regard to potential non-  
radiological impacts, the proposed  
action does not affect non-radiological  
plant effluents and has no other  
environmental impact. Therefore, there

are no significant non-radiological  
environmental impacts associated with  
the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that  
there are no significant environmental  
impacts associated with the proposed  
action.

###### Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

The alternative is the no-action  
alternative, under which the staff would  
deny the exemption request. This denial  
of the request would result in no change  
in current environmental impacts. The  
environmental impacts of the proposed  
action and the no-action alternative are  
similar, therefore the no-action  
alternative is not further considered.

###### Conclusion

The NRC staff has concluded that the  
proposed action will not significantly  
impact the quality of the human  
environment, and that the proposed  
action is the preferred alternative.

###### Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy,  
on July 1, 2011, the staff consulted with  
the Maryland State official, of the  
Maryland Department of the  
Environment, regarding the  
environmental impact of the proposed  
action. The State official had no  
comments.

The NRC staff has determined that the  
proposed action is of a procedural  
nature, and will not affect listed species  
or critical habitat. Therefore, no further  
consultation is required under Section 7  
of the Endangered Species Act. The  
NRC staff has also determined that the  
proposed action is not the type of  
activity that has the potential to cause  
effects on historic properties. Therefore,  
no further consultation is required  
under Section 106 of the National  
Historic Preservation Act.

##### III. Finding of No Significant Impact

The NRC staff has prepared this EA as  
part of its review of the proposed action.  
On the basis of this EA, the NRC finds  
that there are no significant  
environmental impacts from the  
proposed action, and that preparation of  
an environmental impact statement is  
not warranted. Accordingly, the NRC  
has determined that a Finding of No  
Significant Impact is appropriate.

##### IV. Further Information

For further details with respect to the  
proposed action, see the licensee's letter  
dated November 8, 2010 (Agencywide  
Documents Access and Management  
System [ADAMS] Accession Number  
ML103200198). Documents related to