

Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 76, No. 160

Thursday, August 18, 2011

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

[Document No. AMS-FV-11-0050, FV-11-326]

United States Standards for Grades of Grapefruit Juice

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS), prior to undertaking research and other work associated with revising official U.S. grade standards, is soliciting comments on a request to revise the United States Standards for Grades of Grapefruit Juice. AMS received a petition from the Florida Citrus Processors Association asking AMS to consider revising the current U.S. grade standards for grapefruit juice to account for advances in industry processing technology.

DATES: Comments must be received by October 17, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> or to Brian E. Griffin, Inspection and Standardization Section, Processed Products Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, Agricultural Marketing Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Room 0709, South Building; STOP 0247, Washington, DC 20250; telephone (202) 720-4693; fax (202) 690-1527, e-mail brian.griffin@ams.usda.gov. Comments should make reference to the date and page number of this issue of the **Federal Register** and will be made available for public inspection at the above office during regular business hours.

Please be advised that all comments submitted in response to this notice will be included in the record and will be

made available to the public on the Internet via <http://www.regulations.gov>. Also, the identity of the individuals or entities submitting the comments will be made public. The U.S. Standards for Grades of Grapefruit Juice identified in this notice are available either at the above address or by accessing the AMS Web site at: <http://www.ams.usda.gov/processedinspection>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brian E. Griffin, Inspection and Standardization Section, Processed Products Branch, Agricultural Marketing Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, telephone (202) 720-5021; or fax (202) 690-1527.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

AMS received a petition from the Florida Citrus Processors Association, an association of citrus producers, requesting revisions to the United States Standards for Grades of Grapefruit Juice. These standards are issued under the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (7 U.S.C. 1621-1627). The petitioners are requesting the removal of the maximum limit for "free and suspended pulp" (referred to in the industry as "sinking pulp") from the U.S. grade standards for all forms of grapefruit juice.

The current grade standards, effective since September 12, 1983, provide that grapefruit juice from concentrate, grapefruit juice, and frozen concentrated grapefruit juice establish limits for maximum free and suspended pulp as follows: Grade A—10 percent by volume, Grade B—15 percent by volume. Concentrated grapefruit juice for manufacturing requirements for maximum free and suspended pulp are as follows: Grade A—10 percent by volume, and Grade B—12 percent by volume.

The petitioners believe that, with respect to maximum values for free and suspended pulp, the existing U.S. Standards for Grades of Grapefruit Juice do not take into account modern extraction and finishing technologies, nor are they supported by evidence of a correlation between these criteria and acceptable flavor. The petitioners are requesting that AMS revise the U.S. Standards for Grades of Grapefruit Juice by removing any parameters for maximum free and suspended pulp.

The petitioners believe that removing the free and suspended pulp values

from the grade standards would allow processors to process the entire grapefruit crop without resorting to expensive technologies that increase the cost of juice with no concomitant benefit. More mature grapefruit tends to be sweeter, but when juiced tends to cause the product to exceed maximum free and suspended pulp values. The petitioners have submitted research data covering a six-season period which illustrates levels of sinking pulp vs. naringin, and levels of sinking pulp vs. limonin using various extractor setups. The petitioners have also submitted data on a sensory evaluation performed by the University of Florida on consumer acceptability of grapefruit juice with two free and suspended pulp levels. A copy of the petitioner's request and supporting documentation is located on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> along with the current U.S. Standards for Grades of Grapefruit Juice.

Agricultural Marketing Service

AMS is soliciting comments on the proposed revision of the U.S. Standards for Grades of Grapefruit Juice. In particular, AMS would welcome comments and information regarding the probable impact on processors and growers. Further details are provided in the petition and are available from Brian E. Griffin at the previously mentioned address in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section or can be found on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>. This notice provides for a 60-day comment period for interested parties to comment on the proposed revision of the U.S. Standards for Grades of Grapefruit Juice.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 1621-1627.

Dated: August 10, 2011.

David R. Shipman,

Acting Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 2011-20787 Filed 8-17-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Mendocino Resource Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Mendocino County Resource Advisory Committee will meet September 16, 2011 (RAC) in Willits, California. Agenda items to be covered include: (1) Approval of minutes, (2) Handout Discussion (3) Public Comment, (4) Financial Report (5) Subcommittees (6) Matters before the group (7) Discussion—approval of projects (8) Next agenda and meeting date.

DATES: The meeting will be held on September 16, 2011, from 9 a.m. until 12 noon.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Mendocino County Museum, located at 400 E. Commercial St. Willits, California.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Roberta Hurt, Committee Coordinator, USDA, Mendocino National Forest, Covelo Ranger District, 78150 Covelo Road, Covelo, CA 95428. (707) 983-6658; e-mail: windmill@willitsonline.com.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting is open to the public. Persons who wish to bring matters to the attention of the Committee may file written statements with the Committee staff by September 10, 2011. Public comment will have the opportunity to address the committee at the meeting.

Dated: August 11, 2011.

Lee Johnson,
Designated Federal Official.

[FR Doc. 2011-21059 Filed 8-17-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Butte County Resource Advisory Committee (RAC)

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of Meeting.

SUMMARY: The Butte County Resource Advisory Committee (RAC) will hold a meeting on August 29, 2011 in Oroville, CA. The purpose of the meeting is to review Cycle 2 project applications for potential funding recommendations to Lassen, Plumas or Mendocino National Forest Supervisors. The funding is made available under Title II provisions of the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000. This is the last cycle of funding under the current legislation.

DATES & ADDRESSES: The meeting will take place from 6:30–9 p.m. at the Feather River Ranger District Office, 875 Mitchell Avenue, Oroville, CA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: (or for special needs): Lee Anne Schramel

Taylor, Forest Coordinator, USDA, Plumas National Forest, P.O. Box 11500/159 Lawrence Street, Quincy, CA 95971; (530) 283-7850; or by e-mail eataylor@fs.fed.us. Other RAC information may be obtained at <http://www.fs.usda.gov> and <http://www.fs.fed.us/srs>.

Dated: August 11, 2011

Laurence Crabtree,

Deputy Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 2011-21119 Filed 8-17-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Rural Housing Service

Notice of Funds Availability for Section 514 Farm Labor Housing Loans and Section 516 Farm Labor Housing Grants for Off-Farm Housing for Fiscal Year (FY) 2011

AGENCY: Rural Housing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: This notice corrects the scoring points available to a Notice published in the **Federal Register** on July 7, 2011 regarding Funds Availability for Section 514 Farm Labor Housing Loans and Section 516 Farm Labor Housing Grants for Off-Farm Housing for FY 2011. The correction changes the scoring under section VI. Pre-Application Review Information, (A)(1)(v)(b) entitled Energy Conservation for Purchase and Substantial Rehabilitation for an existing non-Farm Labor Housing (FLH) property. The scoring has changed to increase the maximum points from 16 points to 32 points.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mirna Reyes-Bible, Finance and Loan Analyst, Multi-Family Housing Preservation and Direct Loan Division, STOP 0781 (Room 1263-S), USDA Rural Development, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-0781, *telephone:* (202) 720-1753 (This is not a toll free number), or via *e-mail:* Mirna.ReyesBible@wdc.usda.gov. If you have questions regarding Net Zero Energy Consumption and Energy Generation please contact Carlton Jarratt, Finance and Loan Analyst, Multi-Family Housing Preservation and Direct Loan Division at (804) 287-1524 or via *e-mail:* carlton.jarrat@wdc.usda.gov.

Correction

In the notice beginning on page 39,813 in the issue of July 7, 2011, make the following correction under paragraph (b) entitled Energy

Conservation for Purchase and Substantial Rehabilitation for an existing non-FLH property. In the first column for page 39,818 replace the entire paragraph (b) with the following:

(b) *Energy Conservation for Purchase and Substantial Rehabilitation of an Existing Multifamily Property (maximum 32 points).* Pre-applications for the purchase and substantial rehabilitation of non-program MFH and related facilities in rural areas may be eligible to receive 32 points for the following initiatives:

Note: If you are participating in (1) The Green Communities program, you may not receive additional points for items listed under (2). In other words, you may participate in (1) and (3) or (2) and (3), but not all three:

(1) Participation in the Green Communities program by the Enterprise Community Partners, <http://www.enterprisecommunity.org>, will be awarded 30 points for any project that qualifies for the program. (30 points) At least 30 percent of the points needed to qualify for the Green Communities program must be earned under the Energy Efficiency section of the Green Communities qualification program; or,

(2) Energy conservation points can be awarded for the following energy conservation measures only when the applicant is not enrolled in Green Communities and conservation measures are listed in the preliminary plans for substantial rehabilitation. (maximum 20 points).

- Replacement of heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) equipment with Energy Star qualified heating, HVAC equipment. (3 points).

- Replacement of windows and doors with Energy Star qualified windows and doors. (3 points).

- Additional insulation is added to the property to exceed the required R-Value of those building elements in that area of the country per the International Energy Conservation Code 2009. Two points will be awarded if all exterior walls exceed insulation code and 1 point will be awarded if attic insulation exceeds code for a maximum of 3 points. (maximum 3 points).

- Reduction in building shell air leakage by at least 15 percent as determined by pre- and post-rehabilitation blower door testing on a sample of units. Building shell air leakage may be reduced through materials such as caulk, spray foam, gaskets, and house-wrap. Sealing of duct work with mastic, foil-backed tape, or aerosolized duct sealants can also help reduce air leakage. (3 points).