

the Denied Person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the Denied Person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the Denied Person of any item subject to the Regulations that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from the Denied Person in the United States any item subject to the Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

*Fifth*, that, after notice and opportunity for comment as provided in Section 766.23 of the Regulations, any person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to the Denied Person by affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services may also be made subject to the provisions of the Order.

*Sixth*, that the proposed charging letter, the Settlement Agreement, and this Order shall be made available to the public.

*Seventh*, that this Order shall be served on Ding and published in the **Federal Register**.

This Order, which constitutes the final agency action in this matter, is effective immediately.

Issued this 27th day of July 2011.

**David W. Mills,**

*Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement.*

[FR Doc. 2011-19830 Filed 8-3-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DT-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-909]

#### **Certain Steel Nails From the Peoples' Republic of China: Notice of Extension of Time Limits for the Preliminary Results of the Second Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* August 4, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Alexis Polovina, Office 9, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482-3927.

#### **Background**

On August 2, 2010, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review on the antidumping order on certain steel nails from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") for the period of review ("POR") August 1, 2009, through July 31, 2010. *See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 75 FR 45094 (August 2, 2010). Based upon requests for review from various parties, on September 29, 2010, the Department initiated the first antidumping duty administrative review on certain steel nails from the PRC, covering 222 companies. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 75 FR 60076 (September 29, 2010) ("Initiation Notice"). On April 28, 2011, the Department published a notice of a partial rescission and an extension of the time period for issuing the preliminary results by 90 days, to August 1, 2011. *See Certain Steel Nails From the Peoples' Republic of China: Notice of Extension of Time Limits and Partial Rescission of the Second Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 76 FR 23788 (April 28, 2011). On July 11, 2011, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(j), we aligned the concurrent new shipper review of Shanghai Colour Nail Co., Ltd. with the second administrative review.

#### **Statutory Time Limits**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("Act"), requires the Department to make a preliminary

determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month.

#### **Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review**

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of these reviews within the current time limits. The Department requires additional time to analyze recently submitted supplemental questionnaire responses, which contained a significant amount of new sales and factors of production data. The additional time is needed to consider these data and their incorporation into the margin calculations for the individually-reviewed respondents, as well as to consider all of the issues raised by parties during the course of these proceedings. Therefore, the Department is hereby fully extending the time limits for completion of the preliminary results by 30 days. The preliminary results will now be due no later than August 31, 2011. The final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

This notice is published in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 28, 2011.

**Gary Taverman,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2011-19704 Filed 8-3-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-937]

#### **Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* August 4, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Krishna Hill or Maisha Cryor, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4037 or (202) 482-5831, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Background**

On June 30, 2010, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) published the initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on citric acid and certain citrate salts (“citric acid”) from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”). See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Deferral of Administrative Review*, 75 FR 37759 (June 30, 2010). On June 10, 2011, the Department published the preliminary results of the first administrative review of the antidumping duty order of citric acid from the PRC. See *Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts From the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the First Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order; and Partial Rescission of Administrative Review*, 76 FR 34048 (June 10, 2011). This review covers the periods November 20, 2008, through May 19, 2009, and May 29, 2009, through April 30, 2010. The final results of this review are currently due no later than October 8, 2011.

**Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Review**

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), the Department shall make a final determination in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 120 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The Act further provides, however, that the Department may extend that 120-day period to 180 days if it determines it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time period.

The Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the final results of the administrative review of citric acid from the PRC within this time limit. Specifically, additional time is needed to examine respondents’ production process, factors of production, and financial statements. Furthermore, the Department requires additional time to prepare for on-site verifications of respondent companies. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is fully extending the time period for

completion of the final results of this review, which is currently due on October 8, 2011, by 60 days. Therefore, the final results are now due no later than December 7, 2011.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: July 22, 2011.

**Christian Marsh,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2011-19703 Filed 8-3-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****International Trade Administration**

**[A-570-864]**

**Pure Magnesium in Granular Form From the People’s Republic of China: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** August 4, 2011.

**SUMMARY:** On December 28, 2010, the U.S. Department of Commerce (“the Department”) published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on pure magnesium in granular form from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”).<sup>1</sup> The review covers one manufacturer/exporter of subject merchandise from the PRC: China Minmetals Non-Ferrous Metals Co., Ltd. (“CMN”). The period of review (“POR”) is November 1, 2009, through October 31, 2010. Following the receipt of a certification of no shipments from CMN and supporting evidence, we notified all interested parties of the Department’s intent to rescind this review and provided an opportunity to comment on the rescission.<sup>2</sup> We received no comments. Therefore, we are rescinding this administrative review.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Laurel LaCivita, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4243.

<sup>1</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Revocation in Part*, 75 FR 81565 (December 28, 2010) (“Initiation”).

<sup>2</sup> See Memorandum to the File, “Intent to Rescind the 2009–2010 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Pure Magnesium in Granular Form from the People’s Republic of China—A-570-864,” dated June 15, 2011 (“Intent to Rescind Memorandum”).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Background**

On November 1, 2010, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on pure magnesium in granular form from the PRC for the period November 1, 2009, through October 31, 2010.<sup>3</sup> On November 30, 2010, the Department received a timely request from U.S. Magnesium LLC (“U.S. Magnesium”), a domestic producer and Petitioner in the underlying investigation of this case, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), for an administrative review of the antidumping duty order with respect to CMN. On December 28, 2010, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review with respect to CMN.<sup>4</sup> On February 15, 2011, CMN submitted a letter to the Department certifying that it did not export pure magnesium in granular form for consumption in the United States during the POR.<sup>5</sup>

On March 30, 2011, the Department placed on the record information obtained in response to the Department’s “No Shipments Inquiry” to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) concerning imports into the United States of subject merchandise during the POR.<sup>6</sup> These data indicate that CMN made no entries of subject merchandise during the POR.

On June 15, 2011, the Department notified interested parties of its intent to rescind this administrative review and gave parties until June 22, 2010, to provide comments.<sup>7</sup> We did not receive any comments.

**Scope of the Order**

There is an existing antidumping duty order on pure magnesium from the People’s Republic of China (PRC). See

<sup>3</sup> See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 75 FR 67079 (November 1, 2010).

<sup>4</sup> See *Initiation*, 75 FR at 81569.

<sup>5</sup> See letter from CMN, “Pure Magnesium in Granular Form from the People’s Republic of China—No Sales Certification,” date February 15, 2011.

<sup>6</sup> See Memorandum to the File, “Pure Magnesium in Granular Form from the People’s Republic of China: Intent to Rescind the 2009–2010 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Pure Magnesium in Granular Form from the People’s Republic of China—A-570-864,” dated June 15, 2011, at Attachment I.

<sup>7</sup> See Memorandum to the File, “Pure Magnesium in Granular Form from the People’s Republic of China: Intent to Rescind the 2009–2010 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Pure Magnesium in Granular Form from the People’s Republic of China—A-570-864,” dated June 15, 2011.