into a Population Viability Analysis will be carefully considered before downlisting takes place; and (2) none of the known threats to sei whales are known to limit the continued growth of populations. Specifically, the factors in 4(a)(l) of the ESA are being or have been addressed: (A) The present or threatened destruction, modification or curtailment of a species' habitat or range; (B) overutilization for commercial, recreational or educational purposes; (C) disease or predation; (D) the inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms; and (E) other natural or manmade factors. The population will be considered for delisting if all of the following can be met: (1) Given current and projected threats and environmental conditions, the total sei whale population in each ocean basin in which it occurs (Atlantic Ocean, Pacific Ocean, and Southern Hemisphere) satisfies the risk analysis standard for unlisted status (has less than a 10 percent probability of becoming endangered (has more than a 1 percent chance of extinction in 100 years) in 20 years). Any factors or circumstances that are thought to substantially contribute to a real risk of extinction that cannot be incorporated into a Population Viability Analysis will be carefully considered before delisting takes place; and (2) none of the known threats to sei whales are known to limit the continued growth of populations. Specifically, the factors in 4(a)(l) of the ESA are being or have been addressed.

The time and cost to recovery is not predictable with the current information and global listing of sei whales. The difficulty in gathering data on sei whales and uncertainty about the success of passive acoustic monitoring in fulfilling data needs make it impossible to give a timeframe to recovery. While we are comfortable estimating costs for the first 10 years of plan implementation for Tier I actions (\$11.872 million), any projections beyond this date are likely to be imprecise and unrealistic until we can determine the success of passive acoustic monitoring of sei whales to obtain demographic data. The anticipated date for removal from the endangered species list also cannot be determined because of the uncertainty in the success of passive acoustic monitoring of sei whales. The effectiveness of many management activities is not known on a global level. Currently it is impossible to predict when such measures will bring the species to a point at which the protections provided by the ESA are no longer warranted, or even determine

whether the species has recovered enough to be downlisted or delisted. In the future, as more information is obtained it should be possible to make more informative projections about the time to recovery, and its expense.

NMFS will consider all substantive comments and information presented during the public comment period in the course of finalizing this Plan. NMFS concludes that the Draft Recovery Plan meets the requirements of the ESA.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.

Dated: July 18, 2011.

#### Therese Conant.

Deputy Chief, Endangered Species Division, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2011–18583 Filed 7–21–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XA591

# **Endangered and Threatened Species;** Take of Anadromous Fish

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of receipt and request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that NMFS has received two applications for direct take permits, in the form of Hatchery and Genetic Management Plans (HGMPs) pursuant to the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA), one application from the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) and one from the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) on behalf of the Nez Perce Tribe (NPT). The Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG) is identified as a co-applicant in the WDFW HGMP. The proposed permits would expire in 2018. This document serves to notify the public of the availability of the permit applications and addenda for public review, comment, and submission of written data, views, arguments or other relevant information. All comments and other information received will become part of the public record and will be available for review pursuant to section 10(c) of the ESA.

**DATES:** Comments and other submissions must be received at the appropriate address or fax number (see **ADDRESSES**) no later than 5 p.m. Pacific time on August 22, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** Written responses to the application should be sent to Craig Busack, National Marine Fisheries Service, Salmon Management Division, 1201 NE. Lloyd Boulevard, Suite 1100, Portland, OR 97232. Comments may also be submitted by e-mail to: SnakeFallPlans.nwr@noaa.gov. Include in the subject line of the e-mail comment the following identifier: Comments on Snake Fall Chinook HGMPs. Comments may also be sent via facsimile (fax) to (503) 872-2737. Requests for copies of the permit applications should be directed to the National Marine Fisheries Service, Salmon Management Division, 1201 NE. Lloyd Boulevard, Suite 1100, Portland, OR 97232. The documents are also available on the Internet at http:// www.nwr.noaa.gov. Comments received will also be available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours by calling (503) 230-5418.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Craig Busack at (503) 230–5412 or email: craig.busack@noaa.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Species Covered in This Notice**

Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*): threatened, naturally produced and artificially propagated Snake River fall-run.

### **Background**

Section 9 of the ESA and Federal regulations prohibit the "taking" of a species listed as endangered or threatened. The term "take" is defined under the ESA to mean harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct. NMFS may issue permits to take listed species for any act otherwise prohibited by section 9 for scientific purposes or to enhance the propagation or survival of the affected species under section 10(a)(1)(A) of the ESA. NMFS regulations governing permits for threatened and endangered species are promulgated at 50 CFR 222.307.

On May 11, 2011, NMFS received an application from the WDFW for an ESA section 10(a)(1)(A) permit for the direct take of ESA-listed Snake River fall Chinook salmon in order to carry out artificial propagation (hatchery) programs at the Lyons Ferry, Oxbow, and Umatilla Hatcheries and associated facilities to enhance the species. The purpose of these programs is to mitigate for losses of Snake River fall Chinook salmon caused by the four lower Snake River dams and the Hells Canyon dam complex.

Also on May 11, 2011, NMFS received an application from the BIA on behalf of the NPT for an ESA section 10(a)(1)(A) permit for the direct take of ESA-listed Snake River fall Chinook salmon in order to carry out an artificial propagation (hatchery) program at the Nez Perce Tribal Hatchery and associated facilities to enhance the species. The purpose of this program is to mitigate for losses of Snake River fall Chinook salmon caused by the Federal Columbia River Power System.

On July 18, 2011, the applicants jointly submitted an addendum to the HGMPs. The HGMPs and addendum propose continuation of the programs as currently designed with two important additions. First, the programs will be evaluated annually for indications of adverse effects on the Snake River fall Chinook salmon population and, second, the programs will be augmented by new research, monitoring, and evaluation (RM&E) to address uncertainties about hatchery program effects on Snake River fall Chinook salmon viability. The indicator that will be monitored annually and the new RM&E actions are described in the addendum, which is available for public review and comment as part of the permit application package.

#### Authority

This notice is provided pursuant to section 10(c) of the ESA. NMFS will evaluate each application, associated documents, and comments submitted thereon to determine whether the applications meet the requirements of section 10(a)(1)(A) of the ESA. If it is determined that the requirements are met, permits will be issued to WDFW, IDFG, and NPT as co-permit holders for the purpose of carrying out the hatchery programs. NMFS will publish a record of its final action in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: July 19, 2011.

### Therese Conant,

Acting Chief, Endangered Species Division, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2011–18581 Filed 7–21–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XA586

# Pacific Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and

Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meetings.

SUMMARY: The Pacific Fishery
Management Council's (Pacific Council)
ad hoc groundfish Essential Fish Habitat
Review Committee (EFHRC) will hold a
work session, which is open to the
public, to plan the periodic 5-year
review of groundfish Essential Fish
Habitat (EFH).

**DATES:** The work session will be held Thursday, October 6, 2011, from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at the Pacific Council Office, 7700 NE Ambassador Place, Suite 101, Portland, OR 97220–1384, telephone: (503) 820–2280.

Council address: Pacific Fishery Management Council, 7700 NE Ambassador Place, Suite 101, Portland, OR 97220–1384.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Chuck Tracy, Staff Officer, Pacific Council; telephone: (503) 820–2280.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The purpose of the work session is to review progress and interim products for the groundfish EFH periodic 5-year review. Recommendations are tentatively scheduled to be presented to the Pacific Council at the April, 2012 Council meeting in Seattle, WA.

Although non-emergency issues not contained in the meeting agenda may come before the EFHRC for discussion, those issues may not be the subject of formal EFHRC action during this meeting. EFHRC action will be restricted to those issues specifically listed in this notice and any issues arising after publication of this notice that require emergency action under section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, provided the public has been notified of the EFHRC's intent to take final action to address the emergency.

### Special Accommodations

This meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Mr. Kris Kleinschmidt at (503) 820–2280 at least 5 days prior to the meeting date.

Dated: July 18, 2011.

### Tracey L. Thompson,

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service. [FR Doc. 2011–18475 Filed 7–21–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–22–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XA585

# Pacific Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of a public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Pacific Fishery
Management Council's (Pacific Council)
Tule Chinook Workgroup (TCW) will
hold a meeting to review work products
and develop an abundance-based
harvest management approach for
Columbia River natural tule Chinook.
This meeting of the TCW is open to the
public.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held Tuesday, August 9, 2011, from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Pacific Council Office, 7700 NE. Ambassador Place, Suite 101, Portland, OR 97220–1384; telephone: (503) 820–2280.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Chuck Tracy, Salmon Management Staff Officer, Pacific Fishery Management Council; telephone: (503) 820–2280.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This meeting of the TCW will involve review of work products and summarizing draft results for initial presentation to the Pacific Council. TCW work products will be reviewed by the Pacific Council, and if approved, would be submitted to NMFS for possible consideration in the next Lower Columbia River tule biological opinion for ocean salmon seasons in 2012 and beyond, and distributed to State and Federal recovery planning processes. In the event that a usable approach emerges from this process, the Pacific Council may consider a fishery management plan (FMP) amendment process beginning after November 2011 to adopt the approach as a formal conservation objective in the Salmon FMP.

Although non-emergency issues not contained in the meeting agenda may come before the TCW for discussion, those issues may not be the subject of formal action during these meetings. Action will be restricted to those issues specifically listed in this notice and any issues arising after publication of this notice that require emergency action under Section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, provided the public