SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, FAA invites public comments about our intention to request the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval for to renew an information collection. The Federal Register Notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on the following collection of information was published on March 28, 2011, vol. 76, no. 59, pages 17181-17182. The FAA's Fuel Tank Flammability rule requires manufacturers to report to the FAA every six months for up to 5 years after the flammability reduction system is incorporated into the fleet. The data is needed to assure system performance meets that predicted at the time of certification.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted by July 1, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carla Scott on (202) 385–4293, or by *e-mail at: Carla.Scott@faa.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 2120–0710. Title: Reduction of Fuel Tank Flammability on Transport Category Airplanes.

Form Numbers: There are no FAA forms associated with this collection.

Type of Review: Renewal of an information collection.

Background: Design approval holders use flammability analysis documentation to demonstrate to their FAA Oversight Office that they are compliant with the Fuel Tank Flammability Safety rule (73 FR 42443). Semi-annual reports submitted by design approval holders provide listings of component failures discovered during scheduled or unscheduled maintenance so that the reliability of the flammability reduction means can be verified by the

Respondents: Approximately 5 design approval holders.

Frequency: Information is collected on occasion.

Estimated Average Burden per Response: 100 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden: 4,000 hours.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed information collection to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget. Comments should be addressed to the attention of the Desk Officer, Department of Transportation/FAA, and sent via electronic mail to oira_submission@omb.eop.gov, or faxed to (202) 395–6974, or mailed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and

Budget, Docket Library, Room 10102, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503.

Public Comments Invited: You are asked to comment on any aspect of this information collection, including (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for FAA's performance; (b) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (c) ways for FAA to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (d) ways that the burden could be minimized without reducing the quality of the collected information. The agency will summarize and/or include your comments in the request for OMB's clearance of this information collection.

Issued in Washington, DC, on May 23, 2011.

Carla Scott.

FAA Information Collection Clearance Officer, IT Enterprises Business Services Division, AES–200.

[FR Doc. 2011–13571 Filed 5–31–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

[Docket No. FHWA 2011-0051]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Notice of Request for Renewal of a Previously Approved Information Collection

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The FHWA invites public comments about our intention to request the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) approval for renewal of a previously approved information collection that is summarized below under SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION. We are required to publish this notice in the Federal Register by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Please submit comments by July 1, 2011.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments identified by DOT Docket ID Number FHWA 2011–0051 by any of the following methods:

Web Site: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to the Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

Fax: 1-202-493-2251.

Mail: Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation,

West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590.

Hand Delivery or Courier: U.S.
Department of Transportation, West
Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140,
1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE.,
Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m.
and 5 p.m. E.T., Monday through
Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ann Shemaka, 202–366–1575, Office of Bridge Technology, Federal Highway Administration, Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Highway Bridge and National Bridge Inspection Programs.

Background: The Highway Bridge and National Bridge Inspection Programs require bridge inspection and reporting at regular intervals for all highway bridges greater than 20 feet in length located on public roads. Title 23, U.S.C., Section 144 defines the Highway Bridge Program. Title 23, U.S.C., Section 151 defines the National Bridge Inspection Program. They are further defined in regulation, 23 CFR 650 C, National Bridge Inspection Standards, and 23 CFR 650 D, Highway Bridge Program. Inspections of fracture critical bridges and underwater inspections are also required at prescribed intervals. The bridge inspection information that is provided to the FHWA on an annual basis is summarized on the Structure Inventory and Appraisal (SI&A) Sheet. The inspection information is used for multiple purposes, including: (1) The determination of the condition of the Nation's bridges; (2) as a basis for setting initial priorities for the replacement or rehabilitation of bridges under the Highway Bridge Program (HBP); and (3) for apportioning HBP funds to the States for bridge replacement or rehabilitation. In order to apportion funds for the HBP, the law requires that a cost to replace or rehabilitate each bridge needs to be determined. In order to determine that cost, the FHWA collects data on new and replaced bridges from the States annually. In addition, the information is used for strategic national defense needs and for preparing an annual report to Congress on the status of the Nation's highway bridges.

Respondents: 52 State highway agencies including the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico, and Federal agencies. The number of inspections per respondent varies in accordance with the national bridge inventory.

Estimated Average Burden per Response: The estimated average burden for each inspection is 8 hours. The estimated average burden for each cost collection report is 90 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: The annual burden associated with the inspection is 2,289,600 hours (286,200 inspections). The annual burden associated with the cost report is 4,680 hours (52 reports) for a combined annual burden of 2,294,280 hours.

Public Comments Invited: You are asked to comment on any aspect of this information collection, including: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the U.S. DOT's performance, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the U.S. DOT's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; (3) ways to enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the collected information; and (4) ways that the burden could be minimized, including the use of electronic technology, without reducing the quality of the collected information. The agency will summarize and/or include your comments in the request for OMB's clearance of this information

Authority: The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995; 44 U.S.C. chapter 35, as amended; and 49 CFR 1.48.

Issued on: May 25, 2011.

Juli Huynh,

Chief, Management Programs and Analysis Division.

[FR Doc. 2011–13412 Filed 5–31–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Impact Statements: National Summary of Rescinded Notices of Intent

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that 11 States have rescinded Notices of Intent (NOIs) to prepare 21 Environmental Impact Statements (EISs) for proposed highway projects. The FHWA Division Offices, in consultation with the State departments of transportation (State DOTs), determined that six projects were no longer viable and have formally cancelled the projects. No further Federal resources will be expended on these projects; the environmental review process has been terminated. Seven

projects have been reduced in scope or found not to have significant impacts and now meet the criteria for an Environmental Assessment (EA) or a Categorical Exclusion (CE). Six projects are currently undergoing re-scoping. After additional study, two individual projects were combined into a new single corridor project and a new EIS will be prepared.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kreig Larson, Office of Project Development and Environmental Review, (202) 366–2056, or Janet Myers, Office of the Chief Counsel, (202) 366– 2019; Federal Highway Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Electronic Access

An electronic copy of this document may be downloaded by accessing the **Federal Register**'s home page at: http://www.archives.gov and the Government Printing Office's Web page at http://www.gpoaccess.gov/nara.

Background

The FHWA, as lead Federal agency under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and in furtherance of its oversight and stewardship responsibilities under the Federal-aid highway program, periodically requests that its Division Offices review, with the State DOTs, the status of all EISs and place those projects that are not actively progressing in a timely manner in an inactive project status. The FHWA maintains lists of active and inactive EIS projects on its Web site at http:// www.environment.fhwa.dot.gov/. The FHWA has determined that inactive projects that are no longer a priority or that lack financial resources should be rescinded with a Federal Register notice notifying the public that project activity has been terminated. This notice covers the time period since the last summary was issued on July 6, 2010, and published in the Federal Register at 75 FR 44044 (July 27, 2010). As always, FHWA encourages State DOTs to work with their FHWA Division Office to determine when it is most prudent to initiate an EIS in order to best balance available resources as well as the expectations of the public.

The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that at the request of 11 States (California, Idaho, Iowa, Maine, Mississippi, New Mexico, New York, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and Washington) the FHWA recently rescinded previously issued NOIs for 21 EISs for proposed highway projects. A listing of these projects, general

location, original NOI date of publication in the **Federal Register**, and the date that the NOI was formally rescinded by notice published in the **Federal Register**, is provided below.

The FHWA Division Offices, in consultation with the State DOTs. determined that six of these projects were no longer viable projects and have formally cancelled those projects. The projects are: The Skowhegan transportation and accessibility project in Somerset County, Maine; the I-10/ SR-25 connector in Harrison and Stone Counties, Mississippi; US-49/I-20 interchange in Ranking County, Mississippi; US-82/I-69 connector in Washington and Bolivar Counties, Mississippi; proposed SR-15 near Beaumont, Harrison, George, Greene, Iackson, Perry, and Stone, Mississippi; and the Southeastern Parkway and Greenbelt in Chesapeake and Virginia Beach, Virginia.

The FHWA Division Offices, in consultation with the State DOTs, determined that seven additional projects would be reduced in scope or are expected not to have significant impacts. In California, the proposed 24th Street Improvement Project in Kern County has been reduced in scope and now meets the criteria for an EA. New Mexico's Northwest Loop project in Sandoval and Bernalillo Counties has been reduced in scope and is now eligible for a CE. The Bridge Rehabilitation and Interchange Improvements Project in Queens County, New York, has been reduced in scope and will be eligible for a CE. Route 475 in Knoxville, Loudon, Knox. and Anderson Counties, Tennessee, now expects a significantly smaller traffic volume and will no longer require an EIS. Seattle, Washington's Seattle Ferry Terminal (Colman Dock) project has been reduced in scope and will require an EA or CE. The Forest Road 56 improvement project in King County, Washington, has been reduced in scope and an EA will be published. The extension of SR–374 in Montgomery County, Tennessee, is not expected to have a significant environmental impact and an EA will now be prepared.

Six projects are currently undergoing re-scoping and are expected to require either an EA or CE when re-scoping is complete. These projects include: The SH–44 project in Ada and Canyon Counties, Idaho; the roadway improvement project in Warren County, Iowa; SH–71 in Travis County, Texas; US–181/SH–286 in Nueces County, Texas; US–181/SH–286 in Cameron County, Texas; and the proposed I–69