

Data collection	Number of respondents <sup>6</sup>	Average number of responses per respondent	Average number of burden hours per response <sup>7</sup>	Total annual hours
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(1) × (2) × (3)
Entities no longer required to comply with CIP Standards (Two category 1 respondents and four category 2 respondents).	Category 1: – 2 .....	1	Category 1 (2 respondents): 1,920.	– 3,840
	Category 2: – 4 .....	.....	Category 2 (4 respondents): 120.	– 480
Totals .....	1,501 .....	.....	.....	819,840

The total estimated annual cost burden to respondents is:

- Category 1, Entities that have identified Critical Assets = 658,560 (662,400 – 3,840) hours @ \$96 = \$63,221,760
- Category 2, Entities that have not identified Critical Assets = 138,240 (138,720 – 480) hours @ \$96 = \$13,271,040
- Category 3, New U.S. Entities that have to comply with CIP Standards = 23,040 hours @ \$96 = \$2,211,840
- Storage Costs for Entities that have identified Critical Assets <sup>9</sup> = 345 Entities @ \$15.25 = \$5,261
- Total Cost for the FERC–725B = \$78,709,901

The hourly rate of \$96 is the average cost of legal services (\$230 per hour), technical employees (\$40 per hour) and administrative support (\$18 per hour),

<sup>6</sup> The NERC Compliance Registry as of 9/28/2010 indicated that 2079 entities were registered for NERC's compliance program. Of these, 2057 were identified as being U.S. entities. Staff concluded that of the 2057 U.S. entities, only 1501 were registered for at least one CIP-related function. According to an April 7, 2009, memo to industry, NERC's VP and Chief Security Officer noted that only 31% of entities responded to an earlier survey and reported that they had at least one Critical Asset, and only 23% reported having a Critical Cyber Asset. Staff applied the 23% reporting to the 1501 figure to obtain an estimate. The 6 new entities listed here are assumed to match a similar set of 6 entities that would drop out in an existing year. Thus, the net estimate of respondents remains at 1501 per year.

<sup>7</sup> Calculations:  
Respondent category 3:  
20 employees × (working 50%) × (40 hrs/week) × (8 weeks) = 3200 hours  
20 employees × (working 20%) × (3200 hrs) = 640 hours  
Total = 3840

Respondent category 2:  
3 employees × (working 50%) × (40 hrs/week) × (2 weeks) = 120 hours

Respondent category 1:  
50% of 3840 hours = 1920

<sup>8</sup> These respondents and those in the subsequent column of the table (with the corresponding burden and cost figures) were not included in the 60-day public notice due to an oversight by Commission staff.

<sup>9</sup> This cost category was not included in the 60-day public notice due to an oversight by Commission staff.

based on hourly rates from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) and the 2009 Billing Rates and Practices Survey Report.<sup>10</sup> The \$15.25 rate for storage costs for each entity is an estimate based on the average costs to service and store 1 GB of data to demonstrate compliance with the CIP Standards.<sup>11</sup>

The reporting burden includes the total time, effort, or financial resources expended to generate, maintain, retain, disclose, or provide the information including: (1) Reviewing instructions; (2) developing, acquiring, installing, and utilizing technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, verifying, processing, maintaining, disclosing and providing information; (3) adjusting the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; (4) training personnel to respond to a collection of information; (5) searching data sources; (6) completing and reviewing the collection of information; and (7) transmitting, or otherwise disclosing the information.

Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimates of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collections of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated,

<sup>10</sup> Bureau of Labor Statistics figures were obtained from [http://www.bls.gov/oes/current/naics2\\_22.htm](http://www.bls.gov/oes/current/naics2_22.htm), and 2009 Billing Rates figures were obtained from [http://www.marylandlawyerblog.com/2009/07/average\\_hourly\\_rate\\_for\\_lawyer.html](http://www.marylandlawyerblog.com/2009/07/average_hourly_rate_for_lawyer.html). Legal services were based on the national average billing rate (contracting out) from the above report and BLS hourly earnings (in-house personnel). It is assumed that 25% of respondents have in-house legal personnel.

<sup>11</sup> Based on the aggregate cost of an IBM advanced data protection server.

electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submission of responses.

Dated: May 25, 2011.

**Kimberly D. Bose,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2011–13475 Filed 5–27–11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717–01–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 2277–023]

#### Union Electric Company (dba Ameren Missouri); Notice of Scoping Meetings and Environmental Site Review and Soliciting Scoping Comments

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with Commission and is available for public inspection:

a. *Type of Application:* New Major License.

b. *Project No.:* 2277–023.

c. *Date filed:* June 24, 2008.

d. *Applicant:* Union Electric Company (dba Ameren Missouri).

e. *Name of Project:* Taum Sauk Pumped Storage Project.

f. *Location:* On the East Fork of the Black River, in Reynolds County, Missouri. The project occupies no Federal lands.

g. *Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)–825(r).

h. *Applicant Contact:* Michael O. Lobbig, P.E., Managing Supervisor, Hydro Licensing, Ameren Missouri, 3700 S. Lindbergh Blvd., St. Louis, MO 63127; telephone 314–957–3427; e-mail at [mlobbig@ameren.com](mailto:mlobbig@ameren.com).

i. *FERC Contact:* Janet Hutzel, telephone (202) 502–8675, or by e-mail at [janet.hutzel@ferc.gov](mailto:janet.hutzel@ferc.gov).

j. *Deadline for filing scoping comments:* July 23, 2011.

All documents may be filed electronically via the Internet. See 18

CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/efiling.asp>. Commenters can submit brief comments up to 6,000 characters, without prior registration, using the eComment system at <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/ecomment.asp>. You must include your name and contact information at the end of your comments. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov) or toll free at 1-866-208-3676, or for TTY, (202) 502-8659. Although the Commission strongly encourages electronic filing, documents may also be paper-filed. To paper-file, mail an original and seven copies to: Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

The Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure require all interveners filing documents with the Commission to serve a copy of that document on each person on the official service list for the project. Further, if an intervener files comments or documents with the Commission relating to the merits of an issue that may affect the responsibilities of a particular resource agency, they must also serve a copy of the document on that resource agency.

k. This application is not ready for environmental analysis at this time.

l. The existing Taum Sauk Pumped Storage Project consists of: (1) A lower reservoir impounded by a concrete gravity dam downstream of the confluence of the East Fork Black River and Taum Sauk Creek; (2) an upper reservoir on the top of Proffit Mountain impounded by a rebuilt roller-compacted concrete dam; (3) vertical shaft, rock and concrete-lined tunnel sections, and a penstock conduit; (4) a pump-generating plant with two reversible pump units and two motor generators with a total installed capacity of 408 megawatts; (5) an excavated tailrace and open channel to the lower reservoir; (6) a 138-kilovolt switchyard/substation; (7) a gravel and sedimentation trap (bin wall) on the East Fork of the Black River; and (8) associated ancillary equipment.

m. A copy of the application is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support. A copy is also available for inspection and reproduction at the address in item h above.

You may also register online at <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/esubscription.asp> to be notified via e-mail of new filings and issuances related to this or other pending projects. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support.

#### n. Scoping Process

The Commission intends to prepare an environmental assessment (EA) on the project in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act. The EA will consider both site-specific and cumulative environmental impacts and reasonable alternatives to the proposed action.

#### Scoping Meetings

Commission staff will conduct one agency scoping meeting and one public meeting. The agency scoping meeting will focus on resource agency and non-governmental organization concerns, while the public scoping meeting is primarily for public input. All interested individuals, organizations, and agencies are invited to attend one or both of the meetings, and to assist the staff in identifying the scope of the environmental issues that should be analyzed in the EA. The times and locations of these meetings are as follows:

##### Agency Scoping Meeting

Date and Time: Thursday, June 23, 2011, at 9 a.m. (CDT).  
Location: LaCharette Conference Room, Lewis and Clark State Office Building, 1101 Riverside Drive, Jefferson City, MO.

##### Public Scoping Meeting

Date and Time: Wednesday, June 22, 2011 at 6 p.m. (CDT).  
Location: Lesterville R-IV School, Cafeteria, 33415 Hwy. 21, Lesterville, MO.

Copies of the Scoping Document (SD1) outlining the subject areas to be addressed in the EA were distributed to the parties on the Commission's mailing list. Copies of the SD1 will be available at the scoping meeting or may be viewed on the Web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "eLibrary" link (see item m above).

#### Environmental Site Review

Ameren Missouri and Commission staff will conduct a project environmental site review on Wednesday, June 22, 2011, at 8 a.m. CDT. All interested individuals, organizations, and agencies are invited to attend. All participants will be required to sign their name and show a government-issued, photo I.D. Participants must wear hard-soled

shoes; no sandals or open-toed shoes are allowed. Smoking will not be allowed on the site review, and firearms, knives, or weapons of any kind are not permitted on Ameren Missouri property. Please arrive 15 minutes early to allow time for visitor badging at the main security gate. All participants must contact Mr. Michael Lobbig of Ameren Missouri at (314) 957-3427 or by e-mail at [mlobbig@ameren.com](mailto:mlobbig@ameren.com), by June 9, 2011, to attend the environmental site review.

At the scoping meetings, the staff will: (1) Summarize the environmental issues tentatively identified for analysis in the EA; (2) solicit from the meeting participants all available information, especially quantifiable data, on the resources at issue; (3) encourage statements from experts and the public on issues that should be analyzed in the EA, including viewpoints in opposition to, or in support of, the staff's preliminary views; (4) determine the resource issues to be addressed in the EA; and (5) identify those issues that require a detailed analysis, as well as those issues that do not require a detailed analysis.

#### Procedures

The meetings are recorded by a stenographer and become part of the formal record of the Commission proceeding on the project.

Individuals, organizations, and agencies with environmental expertise and concerns are encouraged to attend the meeting and to assist the staff in defining and clarifying the issues to be addressed in the EA.

Dated: May 23, 2011.

**Kimberly D. Bose,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011-13316 Filed 5-27-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP11-485-000]

#### Distrigas of Massachusetts LLC; Notice of Application

Take notice that on May 18, 2011, Distrigas of Massachusetts LLC (DOMAC), 20 City Square, Suite 3, Charlestown, MA 02129, filed in Docket No. CP11-485-000, an application, pursuant to section 3 of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), as amended, and Parts 153 and 380 of the Commission's Regulations, for authority to construct, install and operate a heating value and