programs and timely, relevant information.

We are asking the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to approve our use of these information collection activities for an additional 3 years.

The purpose of this notice is to solicit comments from the public (as well as affected agencies) concerning our information collection. These comments will help us:

- (1) Evaluate whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (2) Evaluate the accuracy of our estimate of burden on the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through use, as appropriate, of automated, electronic, mechanical, and other collection technologies; e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Estimate of burden: The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 0.24870 hours per response.

Respondents: Livestock, poultry, and catfish producers; information users; NAHMS Descriptive Report Recipients; Animal Health Report recipients; practicing veterinarians; animal importers/exporters; State and independent laboratories.

Estimated annual number of respondents: 22,500.

Estimated annual number of responses per respondent: 0.31666.

Estimated annual number of responses: 7,125.

Estimated total annual burden on respondents: 1,772 hours. (Due to averaging, the total annual burden hours may not equal the product of the annual number of responses multiplied by the reporting burden per response.)

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Done in Washington, DC, this 13th day of December, 2010.

Kevin Shea,

Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 2010–31705 Filed 12–16–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-34-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Dixie Resource Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of meetings.

SUMMARY: The Dixie Resource Advisory Committee will meet in Cedar City, Utah. The committee is meeting as authorized under the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act (Pub. L 110–343) and in compliance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act. The purpose of this meeting is to make recommendations for Title II projects.

DATES: January 20, 2011, 10 a.m. **ADDRESSES:** January 20, 2011 meeting will be held at Paiute Tribe of Utah Headquarters, 440 North Paiute Drive (200 East), Cedar City, Utah. The public is invited to attend the meeting.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kenton Call, RAC Coordinator, Dixie National Forest, (435) 865–3730; e-mail: ckcall@fs.fed.us.

Individuals who use telecommunication devices for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern Standard Time, Monday through Friday.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting is open to the public. The following business will be conducted: (1) Welcome and committee introductions; (2) Review of project proposals; (3) Category discussion of proposals; (4) RAC discussion and decision on proposals, and (5) Public comment on any propopals. Persons who wish to bring related matters to the attention of the Committee may file written statements with the Committee staff before or after the meeting. Public input will be accepted by the RAC during the meetings.

Dated: December 10, 2010.

Robert G. MacWhorter,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 2010-31673 Filed 12-16-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Del Norte Resource Advisory Committee (RAC)

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of Meeting.

SUMMARY: The Del Norte Resource Advisory Committee (RAC) will meet in

Crescent City, California. The committee meeting is authorized under the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination (SRS) Act (Pub. L. 110–343) and in compliance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

DATES: The meeting will be held January 11, 2011, from 5 p.m. to 9 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Del Norte County Unified School District, Redwood Room, 301 West Washington Boulevard, Crescent City, California 95531.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Adam Dellinger, Committee Coordinator, Six Rivers National Forest, at (707) 441–3569; e-mail adellinger@fs.fed.us.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting is open to the public. Through presentations and/or revised proposals, the public will present clarification on previously submitted Title II project proposals to the RAC. The RAC will vote on projects to recommend for funding. There will also be a public comment opportunity.

Dated: December 10, 2010.

Tyrone Kelley,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 2010-31788 Filed 12-16-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

Agency: U.S. Census Bureau.
Title: 2009 Management and
Organizational Practices Survey.
Form Number(s): MP-10002.
OMB Control Number: None.
Type of Request: New collection.
Burden Hours: 25,000.
Number of Respondents: 50,000.
Average Hours Per Response: 30
minutes.

Needs and Uses: The Census Bureau plans to conduct the Management and Organizational Practices Survey (MOPS) as a one time inquiry with possible future annual data collection pending funding. This survey will utilize the Annual Survey of Manufactures (ASM) survey panel collecting information on management and organizational practices at the establishment level. Data obtained from the survey will

allow us to estimate a firm's stock of management and organizational assets, specifically the use of decentralized decision rights and greater investments in human capital. The results will provide information on investments in organizational practices thus allowing us to gain a better understanding of the benefits from these investments when measured in terms of firm productivity or firm market value. A manufacturing sector establishment based survey on management and organizational practices would provide information on the dimensions of organizational capital for this sector that is not currently available.

Understanding the determinants of productivity growth is essential to understanding the dynamics of the U.S. economy. The Management and Organizational Practices Survey (MOPS) will provide information on whether the large and persistent differences in productivity across establishments (even within the same industry) are partly driven by differences in management and organizational practices. In addition to increasing our understanding of the dynamics of the economy, the MOPS will provide policy makers with some guidance in attempts to raise aggregate productivity levels. Policymakers, such as the Federal Reserve Board, can use the MOPS to understand the current state and evolution of management and organizational practices which can in turn aid the policymakers in forecasting future productivity growth.

Management data will also be particularly important for understanding what policymakers can do to assist U.S. manufacturing companies hit particularly hard by the recent recession. There has been renewed policy interest in approaches to support the manufacturing industry. For example, some policymakers have suggested extending programs like the Manufacturing Extension Program (MEP). The MEP is a nationwide system of resources, transforming manufactures to compete globally by making use of modern manufacturing equipment, innovative methodologies, and management practices to improve/ increase the productivity in the manufacturing sector. The MOPS would provide information on differences in manufacturing management and organizational practices by region, industry and firm size which would directly aid policy discussions about the potential impact of programs like the MEP. Researchers for this proposed survey have discussed with members of the Council of Economic Advisors the potential impact of management

practices on manufacturing performance and the evaluation of the MEP. In a similar vein, researchers on this proposal have had discussions with members of the current administration about measuring and evaluating differences in healthcare management and its links to patient outcomes. The MOPS could also provide information in this area.

Affected Public: Business or other forprofit.

Frequency: Annually.
Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory.

Legal Authority: Title 13 U.S.C., Sections 131, 182, 193, and 224. OMB Desk Officer: Brian Harris-

Kojetin, (202) 395–7314.
Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek,
Departmental Paperwork Clearance
Officer, (202) 482–0266, Department of
Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and
Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington,
DC 20230 (or via the Internet at

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to Brian Harris-Kojetin, OMB Desk Officer either by fax (202–395–7245) or e-mail (bharrisk@omb.eop.gov).

Dated: December 13, 2010.

Glenna Mickelson,

dhynek@doc.gov).

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2010–31679 Filed 12–16–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–07–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-934]

1-Hydroxyethylidene-1, 1-Diphosphonic Acid From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Decision of the Court of International Trade Not in Harmony

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **SUMMARY:** On September 13, 2010, the

United States Court of International Trade ("CIT") sustained the remand determination made by the Department of Commerce (the "Department") pursuant to the CIT's remand of the final determination in the antidumping duty investigation on 1-hydroxyethylidene-1, 1-diphosphonic acid ("HEDP") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") and ordered

the case dismissed.¹ This case arises out of the Department's final determination in the antidumping investigation on HEDP from the PRC.² The final judgment in this case was not in harmony with the Department's *Final Determination*.

DATES: Effective Date: September 23, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Shawn Higgins, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0679.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 11, 2009, the Department published its *Final Determination* in which it determined that HEDP from the PRC is being, or is likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value as provided in section 735 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act").³

Separate rate respondent companies Changzhou Wujin Fine Chemical Factory Co., Ltd. ("Wujin Fine") and Jiangsu Jianghai Chemical Group Co., Ltd. ("Jiangsu Jianghai") timely challenged certain aspects of the Final Determination to the CIT. Among the issues raised before the CIT was whether the Department properly corroborated the adverse facts available ("AFA") rate upon which it relied in calculating the separate rate.

On February 8, 2010, the CIT granted the United States' motion for a voluntary remand to reconsider the separate rate assigned to Wujin Fine and Jiangsu Jianghai after examining whether the Department corroborated the AFA rate upon which it relied in calculating the separate rate.⁴ In a remand determination filed on May 3, 2010, the Department determined that the AFA rate upon which the Department relied in calculating the separate rate was not corroborated in the Final Determination.⁵ Consequently, the

¹ See Changzhou Wujin Fine Chemical Factory Co., Ltd. v. United States, No. 09–00216, Slip Op. 10–85 (Ct. Int'l Trade Aug. 5, 2010); Changzhou Wujin Fine Chemical Factory Co., Ltd. v. United States, No. 09–00216, Slip Op. 10–103 (Ct. Int'l Trade Sept. 13, 2010).

² See 1-Hydroxyethylidene-1, 1-Diphosphonic Acid from the People's Republic of China: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, 74 FR 10545 (March 11, 2009) ("Final Determination").

³ Id. at 10545.

⁴ See Changzhou Wujin Fine Chemical Factory Co., Ltd. v. United States, No. 09–00216 (Ct. Int'l Trade Feb. 8, 2010).

⁵ See Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Order: Changzhou Wujin Fine Chemical Factory Co., Ltd. v. United States (May 3, 2010) at 1–9.