sources and effects of nonsampling error on the NSDUH estimates. Particular attention will be given to minimizing the impact of design changes so that survey data continue to remain comparable over time. If these tests provide successful results, current

procedures or data collection instruments may be revised.

The number of respondents to be included in each field test will vary, depending on the nature of the subject being tested and the target population. However, the total estimated response burden is 8,251 hours. The exact

number of subjects and burden hours for each test are unknown at this time, but will be clearly outlined in each individual submission. The table below, however, describes the anticipated burden for each of the major testing activities for which generic approval is being tested.

### ESTIMATED BURDEN FOR NSDUH METHODOLOGICAL FIELD TESTS

Activity	Number of respondents	Responses per respondent	Total number of responses	Average burden per response (hrs.)	Total burden (hrs.)
a. Focus Groupsb. Cognitive laboratory testing	270 200	1	270 200	2.0 1.0	540 200
c. Field Tests	6,600	į į	6,600	1.0	6,600
d. Customer Satisfaction Surveys  Household screening for c	300 8,910	1 1	300 8,910	0.25 0.083	75 740
Screening Verification for c	445 990	1	445 990	0.067 0.067	30 66
		·		0.007	
Total Annual Average (Total divided by 3 years)	17,715 5,905		17,715 5,905		8,251 2,750

Written comments and recommendations concerning the proposed information collection should be sent by January 18, 2011 to: SAMHSA Desk Officer, Human Resources and Housing Branch, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503; due to potential delays in OMB's receipt and processing of mail sent through the U.S. Postal Service, respondents are encouraged to submit comments by fax to: 202–395–7285.

Dated: December 1, 2010.

### Elaine Parry,

Director, Office of Management, Technology and Operations.

[FR Doc. 2010–31585 Filed 12–15–10; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4162–20–P** 

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

# Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

## Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Periodically, the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) will publish a summary of information collection requests under OMB review, in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). To request a copy of these documents, call the SAMHSA Reports Clearance Officer on (240) 276–1243.

## Project: Screening, Brief Intervention, Brief Treatment and Referral to Treatment (SBIRT) Cross-Site Evaluation—New

SAMHSA is conducting a cross-site external evaluation of the impact of programs of screening, brief intervention (BI), brief treatment (BT) and referral to treatment on patients presenting at various health care delivery units with a continuum of severity of substance use. SAMHSA's SBIRT program is a cooperative agreement grant program designed to help States and Tribal Councils expand the continuum of care available for substance misuse and use disorders. The program includes screening, brief intervention, brief treatment and referrals to treatment for persons at risk for dependence on alcohol or drugs. The cross-site evaluation will provide a comprehensive assessment of the effects of SBIRT on patient outcomes, performance site practices, and treatment systems. This information will allow SAMHSA to determine the extent to which SBIRT has met its objectives of implementing a

comprehensive system of identification and care to meet the needs of individuals at all points along the substance use continuum.

A paper and pencil survey will be administered to practitioners in sites where SBIRT services are being delivered. The practitioner survey is designed to evaluate the implementation of proposed SBIRT models by measuring their penetration and practitioners' willingness to adopt. Furthermore, the survey will document moderating factors related to practitioner and health care delivery unit characteristics.

The 93 question practitioner survey includes collection of demographic information as well as questions that attempt to assess barriers to implementation encountered by the practitioners and to gauge the effectiveness of the training they received. These measures were developed and used by Babor et al. (2005) in their comparable study comparing different implementation strategies for primary care screening and brief intervention programs for hazardous and harmful drinkers. The practitioner survey also includes an instrument developed by Panzano and Roth (2006) to measures an organization's willingness to adopt new innovative practices.

## TOTAL BURDEN HOURS FOR THE CROSS-SITE PATIENT SURVEY

Instrument/activity	Number of respondents	Responses per respondent	Hours per response	Total burden hours	Hourly wage	Total respondent cost <sup>a</sup>
Practitioner Survey	1,075	1	.30	322.5	\$32	\$10,320

Written comments and recommendations concerning the proposed information collection should be sent by January 18, 2011 to:
SAMHSA Desk Officer, Human
Resources and Housing Branch, Office of Management and Budget, New
Executive Office Building, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503; due to potential delays in OMB's receipt and processing of mail sent through the U.S. Postal
Service, respondents are encouraged to submit comments by fax to: 202–395–5806.

Dated: December 6, 2010.

#### Elaine Parry,

Director, Office of Management, Technology and Operations.

[FR Doc. 2010-31586 Filed 12-15-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4162-20-P

# DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

#### **Coast Guard**

[Docket No. USCG-2010-1104]

Intent To Prepare Programmatic Environmental Assessment Statement for the Nationwide Implementation of the Interagency Operations Centers

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard announces its intent to prepare a Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) for the proposed nationwide implementation of the Interagency Operations Centers (IOC) Project and requests public comments on the scope of the PEA.

**DATES:** Comments and related material must either be submitted to our online docket via *http://www.regulations.gov* on or before January 18, 2011 or reach the Docket Management Facility by that date

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG—2010–1104 using any one of the following methods:

- (1) Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov.
  - (2) Fax: 202–493–2251.
- (3) Mail: Docket Management Facility (M–30), U.S. Department of Transportation, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590–0001.
- (4) Hand delivery: Same as mail address above, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except

Federal holidays. The telephone number is 202–366–9329.

To avoid duplication, please use only one of these four methods. See the "Public Participation and Request for Comments" portion of the

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below for instructions on submitting comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this notice, please contact CAPT Alan Arsenault, Coast Guard, telephone 202–475–3717 or e-mail *alan.n.arsenault@uscg.mil.* If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202–366–9826.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Public Participation and Request for Comments**

We encourage you to submit comments and related material on the scope of this PEA. Specifically, the Coast Guard requests input on any environmental concerns that the public may have related to the development of IOCs throughout the United States, sources of relevant data or information, and any suggested analysis methods for inclusion in the PEA. All comments received will be posted, without change, to <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a> and will include any personal information you have provided.

Submitting comments: If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this notice (USCG-2010-1104) and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation. You may submit your comments and material online, or by fax, mail or hand delivery, but please use only one of these means. We recommend that you include your name and a mailing address, an e-mail address, or a telephone number in the body of your document so that we can contact you if we have questions regarding your submission.

To submit your comment online, go to http://www.regulations.gov, click on the "submit a comment" box, which will then become highlighted in blue. In the "Document Type" drop down menu select "Notices" and insert "USCG-2010-1104" in the "Keyword" box. Click "Search" then click on the balloon shape in the "Actions" column. If you submit vour comments by mail or hand delivery, submit them in an unbound format, no larger than 81/2 by 11 inches, suitable for copying and electronic filing. If you submit them by mail and would like to know that they reached the Facility, please enclose a stamped,

self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period.

Viewing the comments: To view the comments, go to http:// www.regulations.gov, click on the "read comments" box, which will then become highlighted in blue. In the "Keyword" box insert "USCG-2010-1104" and click "Search." Click the "Open Docket Folder" in the "Actions" column. If you do not have access to the Internet, you may view the docket online by visiting the Docket Management Facility in Room W12-140 on the ground floor of the Department of Transportation West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. We have an agreement with the Department of Transportation to use the Docket Management Facility.

Privacy Act: Anyone can search the electronic form of comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review a Privacy Act system of records notice regarding our public dockets in the January 17, 2008, issue of the Federal Register (73 FR 3316).

#### **Background and Purpose**

SAFE Port Act and the IOC Project

The Security and Accountability for Every Port Act of 2006 (SAFE Port Act), Public Law 109–347, 120 Stat. 1884, was enacted to improve maritime and United States port security through enhanced layered defenses. Section 108 of the SAFE Port Act directs the establishment of IOCs at all high priority ports that "utilize, as appropriate, the compositional and operations characteristics of existing centers" and are "organized to fit the security needs, requirements, and resources of the individual port area at which each is operating."

The Coast Guard IOC Project will satisfy this mandate through the development and transformation of approximately 35 existing Coast Guard Sector Command Centers (SCCs) over the next 12 years into coordinated planning and operations centers.

#### Purpose of the IOC Project

The purpose of the proposed nationwide implementation of the IOC Project is to improve unity of effort among Federal, State, tribal, and local port partners with shared port security responsibilities by providing interagency command and control