

Docket without going through EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's e-mail system automatically captures your e-mail address. E-mail addresses that are automatically captured by EPA's e-mail system are included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket.

- **Fax:** 202-566-0272.

- **Mail:** EPA Docket Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Mail Code: 5305T, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460. Please include a total of two copies.

- **Hand Delivery:** EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Room 3334, EPA West Building, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460, Attention Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-SFUND-2009-0907. Deliveries are only accepted from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. Special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information. Please include a total of two copies.

**Instructions:** Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-SFUND-2009-0907. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected by statute through <http://www.regulations.gov> or e-mail. The <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA, without going through <http://www.regulations.gov>, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

**Docket:** This **Federal Register** notice and supporting documentation are

available in a docket EPA has established under Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-SFUND-2009-0907. All documents in the docket are listed in the <http://www.regulations.gov> index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in <http://www.regulations.gov> or in hard copy at the OSWER Docket, EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the OSWER docket is (202) 566-0270.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Marlene Berg, Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Mail Code: 5204P, Washington, DC 20460; by telephone/voicemail at (703) 603-8701; Fax: (703) 603-9112; or via e-mail at [berg.marlene@epa.gov](mailto:berg.marlene@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** EPA is extending the deadline for interested parties to submit their comments by 35 days in response to a request from the American Chemistry Council's Chlorine Council for more time to submit comments on the draft recommended interim PRGs. The initial deadline published in the **Federal Register** on January 7, 2010 (75 FR 984), was for 50 days. Therefore, the new deadline will now be April 2, 2010.

Dated: February 12, 2010.

**Mathy Stanislaus,**

*Assistant Administrator, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response.*

[FR Doc. 2010-3368 Filed 2-19-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9114-7]

### Science Advisory Board Staff Office; Notification of a Public Teleconference of the Chartered Science Advisory Board

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA Science Advisory Board (SAB) Staff Office announces a public notice for the chartered SAB to

hold a public teleconference on March 24, 2010 to conduct quality reviews of three draft reports.

**DATES:** The public teleconference will be held on March 24, 2010 from 1 p.m. to 5 p.m. (Eastern Time).

**ADDRESSES:** The public teleconference will be conducted by telephone only.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Any member of the public wishing to obtain general information concerning this public teleconference should contact Dr. Angela Nugent, Designated Federal Officer (DFO), EPA Science Advisory Board (1400F), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460; via telephone/voice mail (202) 343-9981; fax (202) 233-0643; or e-mail at [nugent.angela@epa.gov](mailto:nugent.angela@epa.gov). General information concerning the EPA Science Advisory Board can be found on the SAB Web site at <http://www.epa.gov/sab>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C., App. 2 (FACA), notice is hereby given that the EPA Science Advisory Board will hold a public teleconference to conduct quality reviews of three draft SAB Panel reports: (1) The SAB Drinking Water Committee's draft Review of EPA's Microbial Risk Assessment Protocol; (2) the SAB Ecological Processes and Effects Committee's draft Review of Empirical Approaches for Nutrient Criteria Derivation; and (3) the draft Report of the Risk and Technology Methods Review Panel. The SAB was established pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 4365 to provide independent scientific and technical advice to the Administrator on the technical basis for Agency positions and regulations. The SAB is a Federal Advisory Committee under FACA. The SAB will comply with the provisions of FACA and all appropriate SAB Staff Office procedural policies.

**Background:** (1) *The SAB Drinking Water Committee's Draft Review of EPA's Microbial Risk Assessment Protocol.* EPA's Office of Water (OW) conducts microbial risk assessments (MRA) as part of its responsibility for protecting human health and the environment from contaminants in water. OW has requested the SAB conduct a review of the draft "Protocol for Microbial Risk Assessment for Support Human Health Protection for Water-Based Media" and provide recommendations on how to improve the overall approach, the applicability of the protocol, the reasonableness of the protocol, the clarity of the protocol, the completeness and robustness of the protocol, and the ease of use of the protocol for conducting water-based

microbial risk assessments. Background information about this advisory activity can be found on the SAB Web site at [http://yosemite.epa.gov/sab/sabproduct.nsf/fedrgstr\\_activites/MRA%20Protocol?OpenDocument](http://yosemite.epa.gov/sab/sabproduct.nsf/fedrgstr_activites/MRA%20Protocol?OpenDocument).

(2) *SAB Ecological Processes and Effects Committee's Draft Review of Empirical Approaches for Nutrient Criteria Derivation*: Nutrient enrichment is one of the leading causes of surface water quality impairment in the United States. The adoption of numeric nutrient criteria in state water quality standards for the protection of aquatic life is a high priority for EPA's Office of Water. EPA's OW has requested SAB review of the Agency's draft Technical Guidance on Empirical Approaches for Numerical Nutrient Criteria Development. This draft guidance would supplement EPA's published technical guidance for developing numeric nutrient water quality by using empirically-derived stressor-response relationships as the basis for developing numeric nutrient endpoints for water quality standards.

Background information about this advisory activity can be found on the SAB Web site at [http://yosemite.epa.gov/sab/sabproduct.nsf/fedrgstr\\_activites/Empirical%20Criteria%20Guidance?OpenDocument](http://yosemite.epa.gov/sab/sabproduct.nsf/fedrgstr_activites/Empirical%20Criteria%20Guidance?OpenDocument).

(3) *The Draft Report of the Risk and Technology Methods Review Panel*: Section 112(f)(2)(A) of the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments (CAA) requires EPA to evaluate whether emission standards that were previously adopted under the technology-based, Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) program provide an ample margin of safety to protect public health and prevent adverse environmental effects (taking into consideration costs, energy, safety, and other relevant factors). Within eight years of the promulgation of a MACT standard for the source category, EPA is mandated by the CAA to assess the risks to determine whether additional standards are needed. EPA's Office of Air and Radiation requested the SAB to review the draft assessments which evaluate the potential risks to human health and the environment from two source categories (petroleum refinery and Portland kiln cement) that remain after compliance with MACT. Background information about this advisory activity can be found on the SAB Web site at [http://yosemite.epa.gov/sab/sabproduct.nsf/fedrgstr\\_activites/RTR%20Risk%20Assessments%20\(P2%2C%20G3\)?OpenDocument](http://yosemite.epa.gov/sab/sabproduct.nsf/fedrgstr_activites/RTR%20Risk%20Assessments%20(P2%2C%20G3)?OpenDocument).

*Availability of Meeting Materials*: The agenda and other materials in support of the teleconference will be placed on the

SAB Web site at <http://www.epa.gov/sab> in advance of the teleconference.

*Procedures for Providing Public Input*: Interested members of the public may submit relevant written or oral information for the SAB to consider during this teleconference. *Oral Statements*: In general, individuals or groups requesting time to make an oral presentation at a public SAB teleconference will be limited to three minutes, with no more than one-half hour for all speakers. Those interested in being placed on the public speakers list should contact Dr. Nugent at the contact information provided above by March 17, 2010. *Written Statements*: Written statements should be received in the SAB Staff Office by March 17, 2010. Written statements should be supplied to the DFO via e-mail to [nugent.angela@epa.gov](mailto:nugent.angela@epa.gov) (acceptable file format: Adobe Acrobat PDF, WordPerfect, MS Word, MS PowerPoint, or Rich Text files in IBM-PC/Windows98/2000/XP format). Submitters are asked to provide versions of each document submitted with and without signatures, because the SAB Staff Office does not publish documents with signatures on its Web sites.

*Accessibility*: For information on access or services for individuals with disabilities, please contact Dr. Angela Nugent at (202) 343-9981 or [nugent.angela@epa.gov](mailto:nugent.angela@epa.gov). To request accommodation of a disability, please contact her preferably at least 10 days prior to the teleconference, to give EPA as much time as possible to process your request.

Dated: February 4, 2010.

**Anthony Maciorowski,**

*Deputy Director, EPA Science Advisory Board Staff Office.*

[FR Doc. 2010-3358 Filed 2-19-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OAR-2007-1196; FRL-9113-6]

### Recent Postings of Broadly Applicable Alternative Test Methods

**AGENCY**: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION**: Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY**: This notice announces the broadly applicable alternative test method approval decisions the EPA has made under and in support of New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) and the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) in 2009.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**: An electronic copy of each alternative test method approval document is available on EPA's Web site at <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/emc/approalt.html>. For questions about this notice, contact Jason M. DeWees, Air Quality Assessment Division, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (E143-02), Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711; telephone number: 919-541-9724; fax number: 919-541-0516; e-mail address: [deweese.jason@epa.gov](mailto:deweese.jason@epa.gov). For technical questions about individual alternative test method decisions, refer to the contact person identified in the individual approval documents.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. General Information

#### A. Does this notice apply to me?

This notice will be of interest to entities regulated under 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) parts 60, 61, and 63, State, local, and Tribal agencies, and EPA Regional Offices responsible for implementation and enforcement of regulations under 40 CFR parts 60, 61, and 63.

#### B. How can I get copies of this information

You may access copies of the broadly applicable alternative test method approval documents from the EPA's Web site at <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/emc/approalt.html>.

### II. Background

This notice identifies EPA's broadly applicable alternative test method approval decisions issued between January 1, 2009, and December 31, 2009, under the NSPS, 40 CFR part 60, and the NESHAP, 40 CFR parts 61 and 63 (see Table 1). Source owners and operators may voluntarily use these broadly applicable alternative test methods subject to their specific applicability. Use of these broadly applicable alternative test methods does not change the applicable emission standards.

As explained in a previous **Federal Register** notice published at 72 FR 4257 (January 30, 2007) and found on the EPA's Web site at <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/emc/approalt.html>, the EPA Administrator has the authority to approve the use of alternative test methods to comply with requirements under 40 CFR parts 60, 61, and 63. This authority is found in sections 60.8(b)(3), 61.13(h)(1)(ii), and 63.7(e)(2)(ii). In the past, we have performed thorough technical reviews of numerous requests for alternatives and modifications to test