Business Information (CBI), or other information whose public disclosure is restricted by statute. For further information about the electronic docket, go to http://www.regulations.gov.

Title: NESHAP for Printing and Publishing Industry (Renewal).

ICR Numbers: EPA ICR Number 1739.06, OMB Control Number 2060– 0335

ICR Status: This ICR is schedule to expire on March 31, 2010. Under OMB regulations, the Agency may continue to conduct or sponsor the collection of information while this submission is pending at OMB. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations in title 40 of the CFR, after appearing in the Federal Register when approved, are listed in 40 CFR part 9, and displayed either by publication in the Federal Register or by other appropriate means, such as on the related collection instrument or form, if applicable. The display of OMB control numbers in certain EPA regulations is consolidated in 40 CFR part 9.

Abstract: The National Emission Standards of Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for Printing and Publishing Industry were proposed on March 14, 1995 (60 FR 13664), promulgated on May 30, 1996 (61 FR 27131), and amended on May 24, 2006 (71 FR 29792). These standards apply to the following facilities in 40 CFR subpart KK: Publication rotogravure, product and packaging rotogravure, and wideweb flexographic printing presses at major sources. The effective date was May 30, 1999, for sources existing on May 30, 1996. For new sources or reconstructed sources after May 30, 1996, the effective date of startup is May 30, 1996, whichever is later.

Owners and operators of a new and existing area source are subject to the General Provision (40 CFR part 63, subpart A). In general, all NESHAP standards require initial notifications, performance tests plans, and periodic reports by the owners/operators of the affected facilities. For the facilities installing continuous monitoring systems (CMS), there are performance test and maintenance reports.

They are also required to maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any startup, shutdown, or malfunction in the operation of an affected facility, or any period during which the monitoring system is inoperative. These notifications, reports, and records are essential in determining compliance and are required of all

affected facilities subject to NESHAP. Semiannual summary reports are also required.

Any owner or operator subject to the provisions of this subpart must maintain a file of these measurements, and retain the file for at least five years following the collection of such measurements, maintenance reports, and records.

All reports are sent to the delegated state or local authority. In the event that there is no such delegated authority, the reports are sent directly to the EPA regional office. This information is being collected to assure compliance with 40 CFR part 63, subpart KK, as authorized in sections 112 and 114(a) of the Clean Air Act. The required information consists of emissions data and other information that have been determined to be private.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. The OMB Control Number for EPA regulations listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR chapter 15, are identified on the form and/or instrument, if applicable.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information estimated to average 95 hour per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose, and provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information. All existing ways will have to adjust to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements that have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Printing and publishing industry.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 352.

Frequency of Response: Initially, annually, and semiannually.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 58,215.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$5,888,997, which includes \$5,474,997 in labor costs, \$0 in capital/startup costs, and \$414,000 in operation and maintenance (O&M) costs.

Changes in the Estimates: There is a change in this ICR as compared to the previous one. Based on our discussions with the printing and publishing industry representatives, the printing industry in particular, will be experiencing essentially a flat production in the coming years with no new facilities anticipated. This ICR also reflects the most recent hourly labor rates which, takes into account the managerial, technical and clerical burdens as compared to the previous ICR. Corrections include a minor mathematical error and recalculation of the number of responses.

There is a small increase in the capital/startup and operations and maintenance (O&M) costs from the previous ICR, which is due to rounding-up the number of affective respondents.

Dated: February 1, 2010.

John Moses,

Director, Collection Strategies Division. [FR Doc. 2010–2536 Filed 2–4–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-8988-1]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202–564–7146 or http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in **Federal Register** dated July 17, 2009 (74 FR 34754).

Notice

In accordance with Section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act, EPA is required to make its comments on EISs issued by other Federal agencies public.
Historically, EPA has met this mandate by publishing weekly notices of availability of EPA comments, which includes a brief summary of EPA's comment letters, in the Federal Register. Since February 2008, EPA has been including its comment letters on EISs on its Web site at: http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/eisdata.html. Including the entire EIS comment letters on the Web site

satisfies the Section 309(a) requirement to make EPA's comments on EISs available to the public. Accordingly, after March 31, 2010, EPA will discontinue the publication of this notice of availability of EPA comments in the **Federal Register**.

Draft EISs

EIS No. 20090210, ERP No. D-FRC-A03087-00, Ruby Pipeline Project, Proposed Natural Gas Pipeline Facilities, Right-of-Way Grants (and/ or Temporary Use or Special Use Permits), WY, UT, NV, and OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to perennial waters, wetlands, and impacts related to hydrostatic pipeline testing. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20090267, ERP No. D-AFS-J65546-MT, Bitterroot National Forest Travel Management Planning, To Address Conflicts between Motorized and Non-Motorized Users, Ravalli County, MT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to watersheds and other resources. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20090424, ERP No. D–USN– L11043–AK, Gulf of Alaska Navy Training Activities, Proposal to Support and Conduct Current, Emerging, and Future Training Activities, Implementation, Gulf of Alaska, AK.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to the marine environment from the deposition of expended training materials. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20090211, ERP No. DS-AFS-J61114-CO, Vail Ski Area's 2007 Improvement Project, Proposed On-Mountain Restaurant from the top of Vail Mountain to Mid Vail, Special-Use-Permit, Eagle/Holy Cross Ranger District, White River National Forest, Eagle County, CO.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency. Rating NC.

Final EISs

EIS No. 20090224, ERP No. F-AFS-J65531-SD, Telegraph Project Area, Proposes to Implement Multiple Resource Management Actions, Northern Hills Ranger District, Black Hills National Forest, Lawrence and Pennington Counties, SD.

Summary: EPA continues to have environmental concerns about the need to develop a project level adaptive management plan.

EIS No. 20090406, ERP No. F-AFS-K65350-CA, Modoc National Forest Motorized Travel Management Plan, Implementation, National Forest Transportation System (NFTS), Modoc, Lassen and Siskiyou Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA continues to have environmental concerns about vernal pool and wet meadow impacts.

EIS No. 20090427, ERP No. F-NPS-

F60009–MN, Disposition of Bureau of Mines Property, Twin Cities Research Center Main Campus,

Implementation, Hennepin County, MN.

Summary: EPA commends the National Park Service for selecting the environmentally preferred alternative, and recommends that the Record of Decision clarify the future status of key cultural resources on site.

EIS No. 20090440, ERP No. F-AFS-J61114-CO, Vail Ski Area's 2007 Improvement Project, Proposed On-Mountain Restaurant from the top of Vail Mountain to Mid Vail, Special-Use-Permit, Eagle/Holy Cross Ranger District, White River National Forest, Eagle County, CO.

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed action.

EIS No. 20090446, ERP No. F-AFS-K65373-NV, Jarbidge Ranger District Rangeland Management Project, Proposed Reauthorizing Grazing on 21 Existing Grazing Allotments, Humboldt Toiyabe National Forest, Elko County, NV.

Summary: EPA's previous concerns have been resolved; therefore, EPA does not object to the proposed action.

EIS No. 20090449, ERP No. F-AFS-F65076-MI, Niagara Project, To Address Site-Specific Vegetation and Transportation System Needs in the

Transportation System Needs in the Project Areas, Hiawatha National Forest, St. Ignace and Sault Ste. Marie Ranger Districts, Mackinac and Chippewa Counties, MI.

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed project.

Dated: February 2, 2010.

Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 2010–2572 Filed 2–4–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-8987-9]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202)

564–1399 or http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed 01/25/2010 through 01/29/2010 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

Notice

In accordance with Section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act, EPA is required to make its comments on EISs issued by other Federal agencies public. Historically, EPA has met this mandate by publishing weekly notices of availability of EPA comments, which include a brief summary of EPA's comment letters, in the Federal **Register.** Since February 2008, EPA has been including its comment letters on EISs on its Web site at: http:// www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/ eisdata.html. Including the entire EIS comment letters on the Web site satisfies the Section 309(a) requirement to make EPA's comments on EISs available to the public. Accordingly, after March 31, 2010, EPA will discontinue the publication of this notice of availability of EPA comments in the Federal Register.

EIS No. 20100024, Third Draft Supplement, USFS, 00, Southwest Idaho Ecogroup Land and Resource Management Plan, Updated Information to Reanalyze the Effects of Current and Proposed Management on Rock Mountain Bighorn Sheep Viability in the Payette National Forest 2003 FEIS, Boise National Forest, Payette National Forest and Sawtooth National Forest, Forest Plan Revision, Implementation, Several Counties, ID; Malhaur County, OR and Box Elder County, UT, Comment Period Ends: 03/22/2010, Contact: Pattie Sourcek 208-634-0700.

EIS No. 20100025, Final EIS, USACE, NC, North Topsail Beach Shoreline Protection Project, Seeking Federal and State Permits to Allow Implementation of a Non-Federal Shoreline and Inlet Management Project, New River Inlet, Onslow County, NC, Wait Period Ends: 03/08/ 2010, Contact: Mickey Sugg 910–251– 4811.

EIS No. 20100026, Final EIS, NOAA, 00, Amendment 31 to the Fishery Management Plan for Reef Fish Resources, Addresses Bycatch of Sea Turtles in the Bottom Longline Component of the Reef Fish Fishery, Gulf of Mexico, Wait Period Ends: 03/ 08/2010, Contact: Roy E. Crabtree 727–824–5701.

EIS No. 20100027, Draft EIS, USFS, CA, Big Grizzly Fuels Reduction and Forest Health Project, Proposes