changes were necessary to implement the rule's requirements, and that changes could be accomplished through a variety of licensing mechanisms, including exemptions. Since issuance of the final rule, the Commission has rejected a generic industry request to extend the rule's compliance date for all operating nuclear power plants, but noted that the Commission's regulations provide mechanisms for individual licensees, with good cause, to apply for relief from the compliance date (Reference: June 4, 2009, letter from R. W. Borchardt, NRC, to M. S. Fertel, Nuclear Energy Institute). The licensee's request for an exemption is therefore consistent with the approach set forth by the Commission and discussed in the June 4, 2009, letter.

Perry Nuclear Power Plant Schedule Exemption Request

The licensee provided detailed information in the enclosure of its November 30, 2009, letter, requesting an exemption. Enclosure 1 contains proprietary information regarding the site security plan, details of specific portions of the regulation for which the site cannot be in compliance by the March 31, 2010, deadline and why, the required changes to the site's security configuration, and a timeline with critical path activities that would enable the licensee to achieve full compliance by November 25, 2010. The timeline provides dates indicating when (1) Construction will begin on various phases of the project, (2) outages are scheduled for the unit, and (3) critical equipment will be ordered, installed, tested and become operational.

Notwithstanding the schedule exemption for this specific requirement, the licensee will continue to be in compliance with all other applicable physical security requirements as described in 10 CFR 73.55 and reflected in its current NRC-approved physical security program. By November 25, 2010, PNPP will be in full compliance with all the regulatory requirements of 10 CFR 73.55, as issued on March 27, 2009.

## 4.0 Conclusion for Part 73 Schedule Exemption Request

The staff has reviewed the licensee's submittals and concludes that the licensee has provided adequate justification for its request for an extension of the compliance date with regard to the one specified requirement of 10 CFR 73.55 to November 25, 2010.

Accordingly, the Commission has determined that pursuant to 10 CFR 73.5, "Specific exemptions," an exemption from the March 31, 2010,

compliance date is authorized by law and will not endanger life or property or the common defense and security, and is otherwise in the public interest.

The NRC staff has determined that the long-term benefits that will be realized when the PNPP equipment installation is complete, justifies extending the full compliance date with regard to the specified requirement of 10 CFR 73.55. The security measures PNPP needs additional time to implement are new requirements imposed by March 27, 2009, amendments to 10 CFR 73.55, and are in addition to those required by the security orders issued in response to the events of September 11, 2001. Therefore, the NRC concluded that the licensee's actions are in the best interest of protecting the public health and safety through the security changes that

As per the licensee's request and the NRC's regulatory authority to grant an exemption from the March 31, 2010, deadline for the one item specified in the enclosure of FENOC letter dated November 30, 2009, the licensee is required to be in full compliance by November 25, 2010. In achieving compliance, the licensee is reminded that it is responsible for determining the appropriate licensing mechanism (i.e., 10 CFR 50.54(p) or 10 CFR 50.90) for incorporation of all necessary changes to its security plans.

will result from granting this exemption.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.32, "Finding of no significant impact," the Commission has previously determined that the granting of this exemption will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment (75 FR 14638).

This exemption is effective upon issuance.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of March 2010.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Joseph G. Giitter**,

Director, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2010–7375 Filed 3–31–10; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 7590–01–P** 

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2009-0413]

# **Notice of Issuance of Regulatory Guide**

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Issuance and Availability of Regulatory Guide 1.11, Revision 1, "Instrument Lines Penetrating the Primary Reactor Containment."

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mekonen M. Bayssie, Regulatory Guide Development Branch, Division of Engineering, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, telephone (301) 251– 7489 or e-mail Mekonen.Bayssie@nrc.gov.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## I. Introduction

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission (NRC) is issuing a revision
to an existing guide in the agency's
"Regulatory Guide" series. This series
was developed to describe and make
available to the public information such
as methods that are acceptable to the
NRC staff for implementing specific
parts of the agency's regulations,
techniques that the staff uses in
evaluating specific problems or
postulated accidents, and data that the
staff needs in its review of applications
for permits and licenses.

Revision 1 of Regulatory Guide 1.11, Instrument Lines Penetrating the Primary Reactor Containment," was issued with a temporary identification as Draft Regulatory Guide, DG—1225. This guide defines a basis that the staff of the NRC considers acceptable to implement the intent of General Design Criterion 55 and 56 with regard to instrument lines. This guide applies to light-water-cooled reactors with a primary containment.

## **II. Further Information**

In September 2009, DG—1225 was published with a public comment period of 60 days from the issuance of the guide. A Summary of the Public Comment Resolution is available through the NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML100250972. Electronic copies of Regulatory Guide 1.11, Revision 1 are available through the NRC's public Web site under "Regulatory Guides" at <a href="http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/">http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/</a>.

In addition, regulatory guides are available for inspection at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) located at Room O–1F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852–2738. The PDR's mailing address is USNRC PDR, Washington, DC 20555–0001. The PDR can also be reached by telephone at (301) 415–4737 or (800) 397–4209, by fax at (301) 415–3548, and by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

Regulatory guides are not copyrighted, and NRC approval is not required to reproduce them.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 25th day of March 2010.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

#### Andrea D. Valentin,

Chief, Regulatory Guide Development Branch, Division of Engineering, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 2010-7391 Filed 3-31-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. CP2010-30, CP2010-31 and CP2010-32; Order No. 430]

#### **New Postal Product**

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to add additional Global Expedited Package Services 2 (GEPS 2) contracts to the Competitive Product List. This notice addresses procedural steps associated with these filings.

**DATES:** Comments are due: April 5, 2010.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <a href="http://www.prc.gov">http://www.prc.gov</a>. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section by telephone for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, 202–789–6820 or stephen.sharfman@prc.gov.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Table of Contents**

I. Introduction II. Notice of Filing III. Ordering Paragraphs

# I. Introduction

On March 25, 2010, the Postal Service filed a notice announcing that it has entered into three additional Global Expedited Package Services 2 (GEPS 2) contracts. The Postal Service believes the instant contracts are functionally equivalent to previously submitted GEPS 2 contracts, and are supported by Governors' Decision No. 08–7, attached to the Notice and originally filed in Docket No. CP2008–4. *Id.* at 1, Attachment 3. The Notice also explains

that Order No. 86, which established GEPS 1 as a product, also authorized functionally equivalent agreements to be included within the product, provided that they meet the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633. *Id.* at 1. In Order No. 290, the Commission approved the GEPS 2 product.<sup>2</sup>

The instant contracts. The Postal Service filed the instant contracts pursuant to 39 CFR 3015.5. In addition, the Postal Service contends that each contract is in accordance with Order No. 86. The term of each contract is 1 year from the date the Postal Service notifies the customer that all necessary regulatory approvals have been received. Notice at 2–3.

In support of its Notice, the Postal Service filed four attachments as follows:

- 1. Attachments 1A, 1B and 1C-redacted copies of the three contracts and applicable annexes;
- 2. Attachments 2A, 2B and 2C-a certified statement required by 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2) for each of the three contracts;
- 3. Attachment 3–a redacted copy of Governors' Decision No. 08–7 which establishes prices and classifications for GEPS contracts, a description of applicable GEPS contracts, formulas for prices, an analysis and certification of the formulas and certification of the Governors' vote; and
- 4. Attachment 4—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain redacted portions of the contracts and supporting documents under seal.

The Notice advances reasons why the instant GEPS 2 contracts fit within the Mail Classification Schedule language for GEPS 2. The Postal Service identifies customer specific information, general contract terms and other differences that distinguish the instant contracts from the baseline GEPS 2 agreement, all of which are highlighted in the Notice. *Id.* at 3–6. These modifications as described in the Postal Service's Notice apply to each of the instant contracts.

The Postal Service contends that the instant contracts are functionally equivalent to the GEPS 2 contracts filed previously notwithstanding these differences. *Id.* at 6–7.

The Postal Service asserts that several factors demonstrate the contracts' functional equivalence with previous GEPS 2 contracts, including the product being offered, the market in which it is offered, and its cost characteristics. *Id.* 

at 3. The Postal Service concludes that because the GEPS agreements "incorporate the same cost attributes and methodology, the relevant cost and market characteristics are similar, if not the same..." despite any incidental differences. *Id.* at 6.

The Postal Service contends that its filings demonstrate that each of the new GEPS 2 contracts comply with the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633 and is functionally equivalent to previous GEPS 2 contracts. It also requests that the contracts be included within the GEPS 2 product. *Id.* at 7.

## II. Notice of Filing

The Commission establishes Docket Nos. CP2010–30, CP2010–31 and CP2010–32 for consideration of matters related to the contracts identified in the Postal Service's Notice.

These dockets are addressed on a consolidated basis for purposes of this order. Filings with respect to a particular contract should be filed in that docket.

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service's contracts are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3622 or 3642. Comments are due no later than April 5, 2010. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission's website (http://www.prc.gov).

The Commission appoints Paul L. Harrington to serve as Public Representative in the captioned proceedings.

# III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

- 1. The Commission establishes Docket Nos. CP2010–30, CP2010–31 and CP2010–32 for consideration of matters raised by the Postal Service's Notice.
- 2. Comments by interested persons in these proceedings are due no later than April 5, 2010.
- 3. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Paul L. Harrington is appointed to serve as the officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings.
- 4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

# Shoshana M. Grove,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010-7280 Filed 3-31-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-S

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Notice of United States Postal Service Filing of Three Functionally Equivalent Global Expedited Package Services 2 Negotiated Service Agreements and Application for Non-Public Treatment of Materials Filed Under Seal, March 25, 2010 (Notice).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Docket No. CP2009–50, Order Granting Clarification and Adding Global Expedited Package Services 2 to the Competitive Product List, August 28, 2009 (Order No. 290).