**ADDRESSES:** The public meetings will be held at:

- Folsom: Folsom Community Center,
   Natoma Street, Folsom, CA 95630.
- El Dorado Hills: El Dorado Hills Community Services District, 1021 Harvard Way, El Dorado Hills, CA 95762.

Send written comments on the MIAD Modification Project Draft Supplemental EIS/EIR and requests for a compact disk or a bound copy of the Draft Supplemental EIS/EIR to Mr. Matthew See, Bureau of Reclamation, 7794 Folsom Dam Road, Folsom, CA 95630 (e-mail: msee@usbr.gov; telephone: 916–989–7198). The MIAD Draft Supplemental EIS/EIR will also be available at: http://www.usbr.gov/mp/nepa/

nepa\_projdetails.cfm?Project\_ID=3472. Copies of the MIAD Modification Project Draft Supplemental EIS/EIR are available for public review at the following locations:

Bureau of Reclamation, Denver Office Library, Building 67, Room 167, Denver Federal Center, 6th and Kipling, Denver CO 80225.

Bureau of Reclamation, Mid-Pacific Regional Office Library, 2800 Cottage Way, W–1825, Sacramento, CA 95825– 1989.

El Dorado County Library, 345 Fair Lane, Placerville, CA 95667–5699.

Folsom Public Library, 411 Stafford Street, Folsom, CA 95630.

Natural Resources Library, U.S. Department of the Interior, 1849 C Street, NW., Main Interior Building, Washington, DC 20240–0001.

Roseville Public Library, 311 Vernon Street, Roseville, CA 95678.

Sacramento Central Library, 828 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814–2589.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Matthew See at 916–989–7198, TDD 916–989–7285, e-mail *msee@usbr.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Folsom Facility consists of 12 structures (dams and dikes), that impound the American River forming Folsom Reservoir. As part of their responsibilities, Reclamation and the Corps have determined that the Folsom Facility requires structural improvements to increase overall public safety above existing conditions including addressing dam safety and security issues. Both Reclamation and the Corps share in the responsibility of ensuring that the Folsom Facility is maintained and operated under their respective agency dam safety regulations and guidelines, as defined by Congress. The improvements will enhance the facility's ability to reduce flood damages posed by hydrologic

(flood), seismic (earthquake), and static (seepage) events. These events have a low probability of occurrence in a given year; however, due to the large population downstream of Folsom Dam, modifying the facilities is prudent and necessary to improve public safety above current baseline conditions and meet current safety standards.

Reclamation has identified the need for expedited action to reduce hydrologic, static, and seismic risks under its Safety of Dams (SOD) Program and security issues under its Security Program. These identified risks are among the highest risks for all dams in Reclamation's inventory, and the Folsom Facility is among Reclamation's highest priorities within its SOD Program. Both Reclamation and the Corps have conducted engineering studies to identify potential corrective measures for the Folsom Facility to alleviate seismic, static, and hydrologic dam safety issues and flood management concerns. These two Federal agencies have combined their efforts resulting in (1) a Joint Federal Project for addressing Reclamation's dam safety hydrologic risk and the Corps' flood damage red objectives and (2) other stand-alone flood damage reduction and dam safety actions to be completed by the respective agencies in a coordinated manner.

A supplemental EIS/EIR is required to address the potential environmental effects of the newly proposed foundation stabilizing methods. The Draft Supplemental EIS/EIR describes four action alternatives that address the stabilization of both the upstream (water side) and downstream (dry side) foundations of MIAD. The No Action alternative is also included in these analyses. To address the upstream foundation seismic issues, an overlay would be added. To address the downstream foundation seismic issues, the foundation needs to be completely excavated and replaced. The four action alternatives address different methods of excavation and replacement of the downstream foundation.

The MIAD Modification Project Draft Supplemental EIS/EIR discusses the project background, purpose and need, project description and alternatives, and related projects. The Draft Supplemental EIS/EIR addresses the impacts of project construction on aquatic resources, terrestrial vegetation and wildlife, hydrology, water quality, flood control, groundwater, water supply, socioeconomics, cultural resources, soils, minerals, geological resources, visual resources, transportation and circulation, land use, planning and zoning, recreation resources, public

services and utilities, air quality, public health and safety, growth inducement, noise, environmental justice, and Indian trust assets.

#### **Special Assistance for Public Meetings**

If special assistance is required at the public meetings, please contact Mr. Matthew See, Bureau of Reclamation, at 916–989–7198. Please notify Mr. See as far in advance of the meetings as possible to enable Reclamation to secure the needed services. If a request cannot be honored, the requestor will be notified.

#### **Public Disclosure**

Before including your name, address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: October 19, 2009.

#### Pablo R. Arrovave,

Deputy Regional Director, Mid-Pacific Region. [FR Doc. E9–28370 Filed 11–25–09; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–MN–P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-683]

In the Matter of: Certain MLC Flash Memory Devices and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting Complainants' Motion To Amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation To Add a Respondent

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 8) granting complainant's motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Megan M. Valentine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–1999. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this

investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on August 27, 2009, based on a complaint filed by BTG International, Inc. of West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania ("BTG"). 74 FR. 43723–4 (August 27, 2009). The complaint, as amended and supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. \* 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain power supplies by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,394,362; 5,764,571; 5,872,735, 6,104,640; and 6,118,692. The complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission's notice of investigation named various respondents, including Lenovo Group Limited of Quany Bay, Hong Kong ("Lenovo Group").

On October 21, 2009, BTG and respondent Lenovo Group filed a joint motion to amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation to replace Lenovo Group with Lenovo (Singapore) Pte. Ltd ("LSPL"). The motion indicated that the Commission investigative attorney did not oppose the motion. No responses to the motion were filed.

On November 5, 2009, the ALJ issued the subject ID granting the motion, finding that, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.14(b)(1) (19 C.F.R.

\*\*210.14(b)(1)], there was good cause to

\*\*210.14(b)(1)), there was good cause to substitute LSPL for Lenovo Group as a respondent. No petitions for review of this ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

By order of the Commission. Issued: November 23, 2009.

#### William R. Bishop,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. E9–28360 Filed 11–25–09; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE P

### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

# Office of Community Oriented Policing Services

[OMB Number 1103-0106]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Extension of a Previously Approved Collection; Comments Requested

**ACTION:** 60-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review: COPS Hiring Recovery Program (CHRP) Progress Report.

The Department of Justice (DOJ)
Office of Community Oriented Policing
Services (COPS) will be submitting the
following information collection request
to the Office of Management and Budget
(OMB) for review and approval in
accordance with the Paperwork
Reduction Act of 1995. The revision of
a currently approved information
collection is published to obtain
comments from the public and affected
agencies.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for 60 days for public comment until January 26, 2010. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR

If you have comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Rebekah Whiteaker, Department of Justice Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 1100 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the extension of a previously approved collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
   Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's
- estimate the accuracy of the agency s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- —Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- —Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

## Overview of This Information Collection

- (1) *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a previously approved collection; comments requested.
- (2) Title of the Form/Collection: CHRP Progress Report.
- (3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection: None. U.S. Department of Justice Office of Community Oriented Policing Services.
- (4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: Law enforcement and public safety agencies that are recipients of a COPS Hiring Recovery Program (CHRP) grant.
- (5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply: It is estimated that approximately 1046 report respondents can complete the report in an average of 10 minutes per calendar quarter.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: 697.333 total burden hours.

If additional information is required contact: Lynn Bryant, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, 601 D Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: November 23, 2009.

#### Lynn Bryant,

Department Clearance Officer, PRA, Department of Justice. [FR Doc. E9–28462 Filed 11–25–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-AT-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

## Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on November 20, 2009, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States* v. *Klockner & Klockner Partnership*, *Joseph S*.