

or by e-mail at [pdr.resource@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr.resource@nrc.gov). In addition, a copy of the draft supplement to the GEIS is available to local residents near the site at the Red Wing Public Library, 225 East Avenue, Red Wing, Minnesota 55066.

Any interested party may submit comments on the draft supplement to the GEIS for consideration by the NRC staff. To be considered, comments on the draft supplement to the GEIS and the proposed action must be received by January 30, 2010; the NRC staff is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date. Comments received after the due date will be considered only if it is practical to do so. Written comments on the draft supplement to the GEIS should be sent to: Chief, Rulemaking and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, Mailstop TWB 5B01, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC, 20555-0001.

Electronic comments may be submitted to the NRC by e-mail at [PrairieIslandEIS@nrc.gov](mailto:PrairieIslandEIS@nrc.gov). All comments received by the Commission, including those made by Federal, State, local agencies, Native American Tribes, or other interested persons, will be made available electronically at the Commission's PDR in Rockville, Maryland, and through ADAMS.

The NRC staff will hold public meetings prior to the close of the public comment period to present an overview of the draft plant-specific supplement to the GEIS and to accept public comments on the document. The times, date, and location of the meetings will be announced in a meeting notice at a later date. The meetings will be transcribed and will include: (1) A presentation of the contents of the draft plant-specific supplement to the GEIS, and (2) the opportunity for interested government agencies, organizations, and individuals to provide comments on the draft report. Additionally, the NRC staff will host informal discussions one hour prior to the start of each session at the same location. No comments on the draft supplement to the GEIS will be accepted during the informal discussions. To be considered, comments must be provided either at the transcribed public meeting or in writing. Persons may pre-register to attend or present oral comments at the meeting by contacting Ms. Elaine Keegan, the NRC Environmental Project Manager at 1-800-368-5642, extension 8517, or by e-mail at [Elaine.Keegan@nrc.gov](mailto:Elaine.Keegan@nrc.gov), no later than Friday, December 4, 2009. Members of the public may also register to provide oral comments within 15 minutes of the start of each session. Individual, oral

comments may be limited by the time available, depending on the number of persons who register. If special equipment or accommodations are needed to attend or present information at the public meeting, the need should be brought to Ms Keegan's attention no later than December 1, 2009, to provide the NRC staff adequate notice to determine whether the request can be accommodated.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Elaine Keegan, Projects Branch 2, Division of License Renewal, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Mail Stop O-11F1, Washington, DC, 20555-0001. Ms. Keegan may be contacted at the aforementioned telephone number or e-mail address.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 16th day of November 2009.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**David J. Wrona,**

*Chief, Projects Branch 2, Division of License Renewal, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. E9-27911 Filed 11-19-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-005; NRC-2009-0495]

### Pennsylvania State University: Penn State Breazeale Reactor; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact; Correction

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact; Correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects a notice appearing in the **Federal Register** on November 12, 2009 (74 FR 58319), that considers issuance of a renewal of Facility Operating License No. R-2, to be held by Pennsylvania State University. This action is necessary to correct a typographical error where the International System of Units symbol for the prefix "micro" ( $\mu$ ) was incorrectly displayed as  $\pm$  and  $\pm m$ .

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Linh Tran, Senior Project Manager, Research and Test Reactors Branch A, Division of Policy and Rulemaking, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, telephone (301) 415-4103, e-mail [Linh.Tran@nrc.gov](mailto:Linh.Tran@nrc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

In FR doc. E9-27282, published on November 12, 2009, make the following corrections:

1. On page 58320, in the second column, under the headings I. Radiological Impact Environmental Effects of Reactor Operations, the fifth sentence is corrected to read as follows:

Licensee calculations, based on those measurements, indicate that annual Argon-41 releases result in an offsite concentration of  $3.2E-10$  microCuries per milliliter ( $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{ml}$ ), which is below the limit of  $1.0E-8$   $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{ml}$  specified in 10 CFR Part 20, Appendix B for air effluent releases.

2. On page 58320, in the third column, first complete paragraph, the seventh and eighth sentences are corrected to read as follows:

According to the licensee, the leakage resulted in the release of approximately 1.3 milliCuries of tritium, at a concentration of  $2.8E-5$   $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{ml}$ . This concentration is a fraction of the limit of  $1E-3$   $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{ml}$  specified in 10 CFR Part 20, Appendix B for liquid effluents.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 16th day of November 2009.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Michael T. Lesar,**

*Liaison Officer, Nuclear Regulatory Commission.*

[FR Doc. E9-27912 Filed 11-19-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP2010-10; Order No. 341]

### New Postal Product

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.  
**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to add a Global Expedited Package Services 2 contract to the Competitive Product List. The Postal Service has also filed a related contract. This notice addresses procedural steps associated with these filings.

**DATES:** Comments are due: November 23, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** by telephone for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, 202-789-6820 or [stephen.sharfman@prc.gov](mailto:stephen.sharfman@prc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Filing
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

**I. Introduction**

On November 12, 2009, the Postal Service filed a notice announcing that it has entered into an additional Global Expedited Package Services 2 (GEPS 2) contract.<sup>1</sup>

GEPS 2 provides volume-based incentives for mailers that send large volumes of Express Mail International (EMI) and/or Priority Mail International (PMI). The Postal Service believes the instant contract is functionally equivalent to the previously submitted GEPS 2 contracts and is supported by the Governors' Decision filed in Docket No. CP2008–4.<sup>2</sup> *Id.* at 1.

*The instant contract.* The Postal Service filed the instant contract pursuant to 39 CFR 3015.5. In addition, the Postal Service contends that the contract is in accordance with Order No. 290.<sup>3</sup> In Order No. 328, the Commission approved an extension of the current contract with this customer in order for the Postal Service to complete its internal procedures and file the instant contract for regulatory review.<sup>4</sup> The term of the instant contract is 1 year from the completion of the regulatory review. Notice at 2–3.

In support of its Notice, the Postal Service filed four attachments as follows:

1. Attachment 1—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain the contract and supporting documents under seal;
2. Attachment 2—a redacted copy of Governors' Decision No. 08–7 which establishes prices and classifications for GEPS contracts, a description of applicable GEPS contracts, formulas for prices, an analysis and certification of the formulas and certification of the Governors' vote;
3. Attachment 3—a redacted copy of the contract and applicable annexes; and

<sup>1</sup> Notice of United States Postal Service Filing of Functionally Equivalent Global Expedited Package Services 2 Negotiated Service Agreement and Application for Non-Public Treatment of Materials Filed Under Seal, November 12, 2009 (Notice).

<sup>2</sup> See Docket No. CP2008–4, Notice of United States Postal Service of Governors' Decision Establishing Prices and Classifications for Global Expedited Package Services Contracts, May 20, 2008.

<sup>3</sup> See Docket No. CP2009–50, Order Granting Clarification and Adding Global Expedited Package Services 2 to the Competitive Product List, August 28, 2009 (Order No. 290).

<sup>4</sup> See Docket No. CP2008–23, Order Granting Motion for Temporary Relief, October 29, 2009 (Order No. 328).

4. Attachment 4—a certified statement required by 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2).

*Functional equivalency.* The Postal Service asserts that the instant contract is functionally equivalent to the contract in Docket No. CP2009–50 and prior GEPS 2 contracts. *Id.* at 3–4. It also contends that the instant contract meets the requirements of Governors' Decision No. 08–7 for rates for GEPS contracts. *Id.* at 3. The Postal Service states that the basic difference between the contract in Docket No. CP2009–50 and the instant contract is customer-specific information including the customer's name, address, representative to receive notices, identity of the signatory, and provisions clarifying tender locations, minimum revenue and/or volume requirements, and liquidated damages. *Id.* at 3–4. It asserts that the instant contract and all GEPS 2 contracts have similar cost and market characteristics and is functionally equivalent in all relevant aspects. *Id.* at 4. The Postal Service concludes that this contract is in compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633, and requests that this contract be included within the GEPS 2 product. *Id.*

**II. Notice of Filing**

The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2010–10 for consideration of matters related to the contract identified in the Postal Service's Notice.

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service's contract is consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633 or 3642. Comments are due no later than November 23, 2009. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

The Commission appoints Paul L. Harrington to serve as Public Representative in this proceeding.

**III. Ordering Paragraphs**

*It is ordered:*

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2010–10 for consideration of the issues raised in this docket.
2. Comments by interested persons in these proceedings are due no later than November 23, 2009.
3. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Paul L. Harrington is appointed to serve as officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

**Judith M. Grady,**  
*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E9–27936 Filed 11–19–09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710–FW–S**

**RECOVERY ACCOUNTABILITY AND TRANSPARENCY BOARD****Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records**

**AGENCY:** Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board

**ACTION:** Notice of new Privacy Act systems of records.

**SUMMARY:** The Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board (Board or RATB) proposes two new systems of records subject to the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended (Privacy Act or the Act), entitled “RATB Investigative Files” and “RATB Fraud Hotline Program Files.”

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted:

*By Mail or Hand Delivery:* Jennifer Dure, Office of General Counsel, Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board, 1717 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC 20006;

*By Fax:* (202) 254–7970; or

*By E-mail to the Board:*  
[comments@ratb.gov](mailto:comments@ratb.gov).

All comments on the proposed new systems of records should be clearly identified as such.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Jennifer Dure, General Counsel, Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board, 1717 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC 20006, (202) 254–7900.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Title 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(4) and (11) provide that the public be given a 30-day period in which to comment on any new routine use of a system of records. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB), which has oversight responsibilities under the Act, requires a 40-day period in which to conclude its review of the new systems. Therefore, please submit any comments by December 30, 2009.

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552a(r), the Board has provided a report to OMB and the Congress on the proposed systems of records.

**Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board****Table of Contents**

RATB—11—RATB Investigative Files.  
RATB—12—RATB Fraud Hotline Program Files.