risks of concern for nontarget aquatic organisms, the Agency is requiring that treated canal water either be used to irrigate crops or be held for 96 hours prior to release into receiving water bodies. In addition, as there are currently a limited number of aquatic herbicides registered for use in irrigation canals, the Agency has determined that xylene may continue to be used within all states identified under the Bureau of Reclamation Act, provided that the appropriate state registrations are also in place.

B. What is the Agency's Authority for Taking this Action?

Section 4(g)(2) of FIFRA, as amended, directs that, after submission of all data concerning a pesticide active ingredient, "the Administrator shall determine whether pesticides containing such active ingredient are eligible for reregistration," before calling in product specific data on individual end-use products and either reregistering products or taking other "appropriate regulatory action."

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Pesticides and pests, xylene.

Dated: November 5, 2009.

Richard P. Keigwin, Jr.,

Director, Pesticide Re-evaluation Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. E9-27643 Filed 11-17-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-S

EXPORT-IMPORT BANK OF THE UNITED STATES

Economic Impact Policy

This notice is to inform the public that the Export-Import Bank of the United States has received an application to guarantee approximately \$20 million in commercial bank financing for the export of approximately \$22 million of U.S. iron ore mining equipment to Ukraine. The U.S. exports will enable the Ukrainian company to produce approximately 10 million metric tons of iron ore pellets per year during the 7-year repayment term of the loan. Available information indicates that this new Ukrainian iron ore production will be consumed in the Ukraine, Europe (Eastern, Western and Central), China, and India. Interested parties may submit comments on this transaction by e-mail to economic.impact@exim.gov or by mail to 811 Vermont Avenue, NW., Room 1238, Washington, DC 20571, within 14

days of the date this notice appears in the **Federal Register**.

Jonathan J. Cordone,

Senior Vice President and General Counsel. [FR Doc. E9–27627 Filed 11–17–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6690–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission, Comments Requested

November 13, 2009.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3501-3520. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Persons wishing to comments on this information collection should submit comments on January 19, 2010. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all PRA comments to Nicholas A. Fraser, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), via fax at (202) 395–5167, or via the Internet at Nicholas A. Fraser@omb.eop.gov and to Judith B. Herman, Federal Communications Commission (FCC). To submit your PRA comments by e-mail send them to: PRA@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Judith B. Herman, OMD, 202–418–0214. For additional information about the information collection(s) send an e-mail to *PRA@fcc.gov* or contact Judith–B.Herman, 202–418–0214.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control No: 3060–XXXX. Title: Sections 1.49 and 1.54, Forbearance Petition Filing Requirements.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: New collection. Respondents: Business or other for profit.

Number of Respondents: 10 respondents; 10 responses.

Estimated Time Per Response: 640 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement, recordkeeping requirement and third party disclosure requirement.

Öbligation to Respond: Required to obtain or retain benefits. Statutory authority for this information collection is contained in 47 U.S.C. Sections 151, 154(i), 154(j), 155(c), 160, 201 and 303(r).

Total Annual Burden: 6,400 hours. Total Annual Cost: N/A.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A. Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: The Commission is not requesting that the respondents submit confidential information to the Commission.

Respondents may, however, request confidential treatment for information they believe to be confidential under 47 CFR 0.459 of the Commission's rules.

Need and Uses: The Commission is requesting OMB approval of this new information collection request in order to obtain the full three year clearance from them. The Commission is estimating 6,400 total annual burden hours.

Under section 10 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, telecommunications carriers may petition the Commission to forbear from applying to a telecommunications carrier any statutory provision or Commission regulation. When a carrier petitions the Commission for forbearance, section 10 requires the Commission to make three determinations with regard to the need for the challenged provision or regulation. If the Commission fails to act within one year (extended by three additional months, if necessary) the petition is "deemed granted" by operation of law. These determinations require complex, fact-intensive analysis, e.g., "whether forbearance from enforcing the provision or regulation will promote competitive market conditions."

Under the new filing procedures, the Commission requires that petitions for forbearance must be "complete as filed" and explain in detail what must be included in the forbearance petition. The Commission also incorporates by reference its rule, 47 CFR 1.49, which states the Commission's standard "specifications as to pleadings and documents." Precise filing requirements are necessary because of section 10's strict time limit for Commission action. Also, commenters must be able to understand clearly the scope of the petition in order to comment on it. Finally, standard filing procedures inform petitioners precisely what the Commission expects from them in order to make the statutory determinations that the statute requires.

 $Federal\ Communications\ Commission.$

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Office of Managing Director.

[FR Doc. E9–27723 Filed 11–17–09; 8:45 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[PS Docket No. 07-114; DA 09-2397]

E911 Location Accuracy

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Federal Communications Commission seeks to refresh the record in the proceeding regarding service rules for wireless Enhanced 911 (E911) Phase II location accuracy and reliability. The Public Notice seeks comment on whether, since the most recent activity in the docket, subsequent developments in the industry and technology may have affected parties' positions on the issues raised. The intended effect of this document is to provide an updated record for the Commission to fully consider what service rules concerning location accuracy and reliability might be adopted.

DATES: Comments are due November 20, 2009. Reply Comments are due December 4, 2009.

ADDRESSES: All filings must be addressed to: Marlene H. Dortch, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. Parties must also serve one copy with the Commission's copy contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc. (BCPI), Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY–B402, Washington, DC 20554, (202) 488–5300,

or via e-mail to fcc@bcpiweb.com. The Commission's contractor will receive hand-delivered or messenger-delivered paper filings for the Commission's Secretary at 236 Massachusetts Avenue, NE., Suite 110, Washington, DC 20002. The filing hours at this location are 8 a.m. to 7 p.m. All hand deliveries must be held together with rubber bands or fasteners. Any envelopes must be disposed of before entering the building. Commercial overnight mail (other than U.S. Postal Service Express Mail and Priority Mail) must be sent to 9300 East Hampton Drive, Capitol Heights, MD 20743. U.S. Postal Service first-class, Express, and Priority mail should be addressed to 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the Secretary, a copy of any comments on the Paperwork Reduction Act information collection requirements contained herein should be submitted to the Federal Communications Commission via e-mail to PRA@fcc.gov and to Nicholas A. Fraser, Office of Management and Budget, via e-mail to Nicholas A. Fraser@omb.eop.gov or via fax at 202-395-5167.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Siehl, Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau, (202) 418–1313, david.siehl@fcc.gov. For additional information concerning the Paperwork Reduction Act information collection requirements that this document contains, send an e-mail to PRA@fcc.gov or contact Judith Boley Herman at (202) 418–0214.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: By this Public Notice, DA 09–2397, released November 6, 2009, the Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau (Bureau) seeks to refresh the record in the above-referenced docket addressing location accuracy standards for wireless E911 calls. In light of the passage of time since the most recent activity in this docket, we seek comment on whether subsequent developments in the industry and technology may have affected parties' positions on the issues raised.

Recent developments in this proceeding include the vacatur and remand of the Commission's 2007
Report and Order in this proceeding, the submission by the Association of Public Safety Communications Officials-International (APCO), the National Emergency Number Association (NENA), Verizon Wireless, Sprint Nextel Corporation, and AT&T of written ex parte letters recommending new E911 accuracy requirements for both handset-based and network-based technologies in order to achieve E911

accuracy compliance at the county-level, the Bureau's September 2008 Public Notice seeking comment on these proposals, as well as the subsequent voluntary commitments by Verizon Wireless and Sprint Nextel to implement their proposed accuracy standards in connection with separate transactions approved by the Commission in 2008.

We also seek to refresh the record given that in response to the Bureau's September 2008 Public Notice, several parties proposed alternative timeframes for implementation of revised location accuracy standards, and approximately a year has passed since some of those proposals were made. For example, in addition to the timetables proposed by APCO, NENA, Verizon Wireless, Sprint. and AT&T, T-Mobile and the Rural Cellular Association suggested that several of the benchmarks proposed by AT&T should be extended by two years. We request that interested parties refresh the record on these proposed timeframes for implementation in light of the passage of time and any other relevant developments in the industry or economy.

Comment Filing Procedures

Interested parties may file comments on the above-referenced petition on or before November 20, 2009, and reply comments may be filed on or before December 4, 2009. All comments should reference the appropriate petition(s) and PS Docket No. 07–114.

All comments may be filed using: (1) The Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS), (2) the Federal Government's eRulemaking Portal, or (3) by filing paper copies. See Electronic Filing of Documents in Rulemaking Proceedings, 63 FR 24121 (1998).

- Electronic Filers: Statements in support of or in opposition to the Petition and replies to such statements may be filed electronically using the Internet by accessing the ECFS: http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs or the Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Filers should follow the instructions provided on the website for submitting comments.
- For ECFS filers, in completing the transmittal screen, filers should include their full name, U.S. Postal Service mailing address, and the rulemaking number. Parties may also submit an electronic statement in support of or in opposition to the Petition and/or replies thereto by Internet e-mail. To get filing instructions, filers should send an e-mail to <code>ecfs@fcc.gov</code> and include the following words in the body of the message: "get form." A sample form and directions will be sent in response.