

ecological research values at the Wolf Creek Research Natural Area.

The use of a right-of-way, interagency agreement, or cooperative agreement would not provide adequate protection.

The Forest Service would not need to acquire water rights to fulfill the purpose of the requested withdrawal extension.

Records related to the application may be examined by contacting Charles R. Roy at the above address or phone number.

For a period of 90 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal extension may present their views in writing to the BLM State Director at the address indicated above.

Comments, including names and street addresses of respondents, will be available for public review at the address indicated above during regular business hours.

Individual respondents may request confidentiality. However, before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, be advised that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold from public review your personal identifying information, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. If you wish to withhold your name or address from public review or from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comments. Such requests will be honored to the extent allowed by law. All submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organization or businesses, will be made available for public inspection in their entirety.

Notice is hereby given that an opportunity to request a public meeting is afforded in connection with the proposed withdrawal extension. All interested parties who desire a public meeting for the purpose of being heard on the proposed withdrawal must submit a written request to the BLM State Director at the address indicated above by January 27, 2010. Upon determination by the authorized officer that a public meeting will be held, a notice of the time and place will be published in the **Federal Register** and in at least one local newspaper at least 30

days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The application will be processed in accordance with the regulations set forth in 43 CFR 2310.4.

(Authority: 43 CFR 2310.3–1)

**Fred O'Ferrall,**

*Chief, Branch of Lands and Mineral Resources.*

[FR Doc. E9–26116 Filed 10–28–09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410–11–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

#### Office of the Secretary; Renewal of the Wind Turbine Guidelines Advisory Committee

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of renewal.

**SUMMARY:** The Secretary of the Interior (Secretary), after consultation with the General Services Administration, has renewed the Wind Turbine Guidelines Advisory Committee. The Committee will provide advice and recommendations to the Secretary on developing effective measures to avoid or minimize impacts to wildlife and their habitats related to land-based wind energy facilities.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rachel London, Division of Habitat and Resource Conservation, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior, (703) 358–2161.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** We are publishing this notice in accordance with the requirements of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.) (FACA). The Secretary certifies that he has determined that the renewal of the Committee is necessary and is in the public interest.

The Committee will conduct its operations in accordance with the provisions of the FACA. It will report to the Secretary through the Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and will function solely as an advisory body. Committee membership includes representation from governments, wind energy development organizations, and wildlife conservation organizations.

In accordance with FACA, we have filed a copy of the Committee's charter with the Committee Management Secretariat, General Services Administration; Committee on Environment and Public Works, United States Senate; Committee on Natural Resources, United States House of Representatives; and the Library of Congress.

The certification for renewal is published below.

### Certification

I hereby certify that the Wind Turbine Guidelines Advisory Committee is necessary and is in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of the Interior by Public Laws 16 U.S.C. 703–712, Migratory Bird Treaty Act; 16 U.S.C. 668–668d, Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act; 16 U.S.C. 1531–1544, Endangered Species Act; and 42 U.S.C. 4371 *et seq.*, National Environmental Policy Act. The Committee will assist the Department of the Interior by providing advice and recommendations on developing effective measures to avoid or minimize impacts to wildlife and their habitats related to land-based wind energy development.

Dated: October 20, 2009.

**Ken Salazar,**

*Secretary of the Interior.*

[FR Doc. E9–26015 Filed 10–28–09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–55–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–619]

### Certain Flash Memory Controllers, Drives, Memory Cards, and Media Players and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Final Determination of No Violation of Section 337; Termination of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined that there has been no violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in this investigation, and has terminated the investigation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Panyin A. Hughes, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3042. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its

Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on December 12, 2007, based on a complaint filed by SanDisk Corporation of Milpitas, CA. 72 FR 70610 (Dec. 12, 2007). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain flash memory controllers, drives, memory cards, media players and products containing the same by reason of infringement of various claims of United States Patent Nos. 6,426,893; 6,763,424 ("the '424 patent"); 5,719,808; 6,947,332; and 7,137,011 ("the '011 patent"). Three patents and several claims were subsequently terminated from the investigation. Claims 17, 24 and 30 of the '424 patent and claim 8 of the '011 patent remain in the investigation. The complaint named nearly fifty respondents. Twenty-one respondents were terminated from the investigation based on settlement agreements, consent orders and withdrawal of allegations from the complaint. Five respondents defaulted. The following respondents remain in the investigation: Imation Corporation of Oakdale, MN; Imation Enterprises Corporation of Oakdale, MN; and Memorex Products, Inc. of Cerritos, CA (collectively, "Imation Respondents"); Phison Electronics Corporation of Hsinchu, Taiwan; Silicon Motion Inc. of Taiwan; Silicon Motion, Inc. of Milpitas, CA; Skymedi Corporation of Hsinchu, Taiwan; Power Quotient International Co., Ltd. of Taipei, Taiwan; Power Quotient International (HK) Co., Ltd. of Hong Kong; Syscom Development Co., Ltd. of the British Virgin Islands; PQI Corporation of Fremont, California; Kingston Technology Corporation of Fountain Valley, CA; Kingston Technology Company, Inc. of Fountain Valley, CA; MemoSun, Inc. of Fountain Valley, CA; Transcend Information Inc. of Taipei, Taiwan; Transcend Information Inc. of Orange, CA; Transcend Information Maryland, Inc. of Linthicum, MD; Apacer Technology Inc. of Taipei Hsien, Taiwan; Apacer Memory America, Inc. of Milpitas, CA; Dane Memory S.A. of Bagnolet, France; Deantusaiocht Dane-

Elec TEO of Spiddal, Galway, Ireland; Dane-Elec Corporation USA of Irvine CA; LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc. of Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey; and LG Electronics, Inc. of Seoul, South Korea.

On April 10, 2009, the ALJ issued his final ID finding no violation of section 337 by Respondents. The ALJ issued a corrected version of his final ID on April 16, 2009. The ID included the ALJ's recommended determination on remedy and bonding. In the subject ID, the ALJ found that the accused products do not infringe asserted claims 17, 24 and 30 of the '424 patent. The ALJ also found that none of the asserted claims of the '424 patent were proven to be invalid as anticipated or obvious in view of the prior art. The ALJ further found the Respondents not liable for contributory or induced infringement of the asserted claims of the '424 patent. Likewise, the ALJ found that SanDisk failed to prove that the Imation Respondents, the only respondents accused of infringing claim 8 of the '011 patent, induced or contributed to infringement of the patent. The ALJ also found that SanDisk's rights in the '011 patent were not exhausted and that claim 8 of the '011 patent satisfies the indefiniteness requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. The ALJ, however, concluded that the prior art rendered claim 8 of the '011 patent obvious.

On May 4, 2009, SanDisk and the Commission investigative attorney filed petitions for review of the ID. That same day, Respondents filed a collective contingent petition for review of the ID with respect to the '424 patent. Skymedi Corporation and the Imation Respondents, in addition to joining the collective contingent petition for review, filed individual contingent petitions for review. On May 18, 2009, the parties filed responses to the various petitions and contingent petitions for review.

On August 24, 2009, the Commission determined to review the final ID in part and requested briefing on several issues it determined to review, and on remedy, the public interest and bonding. 74 FR 44382 (Aug. 28, 2009). The Commission determined to review the claim construction of claims 17, 24 and 30 of the '424 patent; infringement of the asserted claims of the '424 patent; validity of the '424 patent; and the ALJ's decision not to consider the Sinclair PCT publication as evidence of prior art to claim 17 of the '424 patent. *Id.*

On September 3, 2009, the parties filed written submissions on the issues on review, remedy, the public interest and bonding. On September 14, 2009, the parties filed response submissions

on the issues on review, remedy, the public interest and bonding.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the ALJ's final ID, the Commission has determined to (1) reverse the ALJ's finding that claim 17 of the '424 patent does not cover single-page updates; (2) reverse the ALJ's finding that the claim term "reading and assembling data from the first and second plurality of pages" as recited in claim 20 of the '424 patent excludes the so-called table method as disclosed in Figure 12; (3) affirm the ALJ's finding that the accused products do not infringe the asserted claims of the '424 patent; and (4) affirm the ALJ's finding that none of the asserted claims of the '424 patent were proven to be invalid as anticipated or obvious in view of the prior art considered by the ALJ. Given the Commission's affirmation of the ALJ's determination that SanDisk failed to establish that the accused controllers infringe claim 17 of the '424 patent, the Commission declines to reach the issue of whether the ALJ should have considered the Sinclair PCT publication as evidence of prior art to claim 17 of the '424 patent.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.42-46 and 210.50 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42-46 and 210.50).

Issued: October 23, 2009.

By order of the Commission.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E9-25974 Filed 10-28-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-660]

### In the Matter of: Certain Active Comfort Footwear; Notice of Commission Determination To Terminate the Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to terminate the investigation.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mark B. Rees, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-