burdens and increasing the practical utility of information collected by the Federal Government?

• Should OMB encourage agencies to adopt ''one-stop'' information collection techniques, which consolidate multiple forms via a single electronic form to reduce the burden on the public? How should OMB encourage agencies to take advantage of online tools to simplify the completion of already-approved surveys or mobile technology to deliver a survey by alternative means?

• What practices could OMB implement under the PRA to facilitate the use of new technologies, such as social media, as well as future technologies, while supporting the Federal Government's responsibilities for Information Resource Management?

• What new steps, if any, might be taken under the PRA to eliminate any redundant or excessive mandatory information collections, especially in connection with programs that now impose the most significant burdens, including tax, health, and transportation programs?

• Examples of successful paperwork burden reduction practices implemented by an agency that could be implemented by other agencies. Please provide recommendations, and if possible, OMB control numbers.

Cass R. Sunstein,

Administrator, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs.

[FR Doc. E9–25757 Filed 10–26–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3110–01–P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Advisory Committee for Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

Name: Advisory Committee for Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences (#1171).

Date/Time: November 19, 2009; 8:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. November 20, 2009; 8:30 a.m. to 3 p.m.

Place: National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Stafford I, Room 1235, Arlington, VA 22230.

Type of Meeting: Open.

Contact Person: Ms. Lisa Jones, Office of the Assistant Director, Directorate for Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Room 905, Arlington, Virginia 22230, 703–292–8700.

Summary of Minutes: May be obtained from contact person listed above.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations to the National Science Foundation on major goals and policies pertaining to Social, Behavioral and Economic Sciences Directorate programs and activities.

Agenda:

Thursday

Updates and discussions on continuing activities.

• Budget process and status: FY 2010, FY 2011, and FY 2012.

• SBE Future Directions.

• Division Breakout Sessions: Overview and Key issues.

• Report from Breakout Sessions.

NSF Strategic Plan.

Discussion with NSF Director and Deputy Director.

Follow-up to SBE Science in Federal Context.

Friday

Updates and discussion on continuing activities.

• CISE and Cyberinfrastructure.

• SBE/CISE Joint AC Subcommittee on Portfolio Analysis.

• Climate and Energy Research.

• GPRA, OMB/OSTP Priorities and SciSIP. Innovation.

Open Discussion.

Planning for FY 2010 and Beyond.

Dated: October 22, 2009.

Susanne Bolton,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. E9–25769 Filed 10–26–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC–2009–0453]

Draft Regulatory Guide, DG–1199, ''Alternative Radiological Source Terms for Evaluating Design Basis Accidents at Nuclear Power Reactors:'' Issuance, Availability; Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Extension of comment period.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Blumberg, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, telephone 301–415–1083, or e-mail *Mark.Blumberg@nrc.gov*.

DATES: The comment period closes on January 13, 2010.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any one of the following methods. Please include Docket ID NRC–2009–0453 in the subject line of your comments. Comments submitted in writing or in electronic form will be posted on the NRC Web site and on the Federal rulemaking Web site *Regulations.gov*. Because your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information, the NRC cautions you against including any information in your submission that you do not want to be publicly disclosed.

The NRC requests that any party soliciting or aggregating comments received from other persons for submission to the NRC inform those persons that the NRC will not edit their comments to remove any identifying or contact information, and therefore, they should not include any information in their comments that they do not want publicly disclosed.

Federal Rulemaking Web site: Go to *http://www.regulations.gov* and search for documents filed under Docket ID NRC–2009–0453. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher 301–492–3668; e-mail *Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov*.

Mail comments to: Michael T. Lesar, Chief, Rulemaking and Directives Branch (RDB), Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, Mail Stop: TWB–05–B01M, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, or by fax to RDB at (301) 492–3446.

You can access publicly available documents related to this notice using the following methods:

NRC's Public Document Room (PDR): The public may examine and have copied for a fee publicly available documents at the NRC's PDR, Public File Area O1 F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS): Publicly available documents created or received at the NRC are available electronically at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at *http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html*. From this page, the public can gain entry into ADAMS, which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC's PDR reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by e-mail to *pdr.resource@nrc.gov*. The Draft Regulatory Guide, DG–1199, ''Alternative Radiological Source Terms for Evaluating Design Basis Accidents at Nuclear Power Reactors,'' is available electronically under ADAMS Accession Number ML090960464.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 14, 2009 (74 FR 52822), the NRC published a notice of issuance and

availability of Draft Regulatory Guide DG–1199, ''Alternative Radiological Source Terms for Evaluating Design Basis Accidents at Nuclear Power Reactors.'' Due to the amount of highly technical material on DG–1199 the public comment period has been extended 30 additional days. The comment submittal deadline is extended from the original December 11, 2009 deadline to January 13, 2010.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 20th day of October 2009.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Andrea D. Valentin,

Chief, Regulatory Guide Development Branch, Division of Engineering, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. E9–25781 Filed 10–26–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC–2009–0469; Docket No. 030–04552]

Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for License Amendment to Byproduct Materials License No. 19–10306–01 for Unrestricted Release of the Department of the Army's Rad Yard Facility Located in the Bush River Study Area, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Issuance of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for license amendment.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dennis Lawyer, Health Physicist, Commercial and R&D Branch, Division of Nuclear Materials Safety, Region I, 475 Allendale Road, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania; telephone 610–337–5366; fax number 610–337–5269 or by e-mail: *dennis.lawyer@nrc.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering the issuance of a license amendment to Byproduct Materials License No. 19–10306–01. This license is held by the Department of the Army, U.S. Army Research, Development and Engineering Command (the Licensee), for its 5-acre Rad Yard Facility (the Facility), located in the Bush River Study Area, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland. Issuance of the amendment would authorize release of the Rad Yard Facility for unrestricted use. The Licensee requested this action in a letter dated September 18, 2008. The NRC has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) in support of this proposed action in accordance with the requirements of Title 10, *Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR), Part 51 (10 CFR Part 51). Based on the EA, the NRC has concluded that a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) is appropriate with respect to the proposed action. The amendment will be issued to the Licensee following the publication of this FONSI and EA in the **Federal Register**.

II. Environmental Assessment

Identification of Proposed Action

The proposed action would approve the Licensee's September 18, 2008, license amendment request, resulting in release of the Rad Yard Facility for unrestricted use. License No. 19–10306–01 was issued on October 27, 1964, pursuant to 10 CFR Part 30, and has been amended periodically since that time. This license authorized the Licensee to use unsealed byproduct materials at the Rad Yard Facility for purposes of storing and processing radioactive waste. The license also authorized use of licensed material at other locations that will not be affected by this action; therefore, the license will not be terminated if the proposed action is approved.

The Rad Yard Facility is situated on approximately five acres and consists of two vacated small buildings, abandoned-in-place concrete slabs, sumps, and a waste water tank. The Facility is located in within the Army's Aberdeen Proving Ground, Edgewood Area and borders on the Bush River.

In October 2002, the Licensee ceased licensed activities at the Facility and initiated a survey and decontamination of the Facility. The Licensee contracted with Weston Solutions Inc. to perform remediation under reciprocity and their New Mexico License No. RD–245–20. Weston Solutions Inc. performed the decontamination in accordance with their NRC-approved, operating radiation safety procedures. The Licensee conducted surveys of the Facility and provided information to the NRC to demonstrate that it meets the criteria in Subpart E of 10 CFR Part 20 for unrestricted release.

Need for the Proposed Action

The Licensee has ceased conducting licensed activities at the Facility, and seeks the unrestricted use of its Facility.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The historical review of licensed activities conducted at the Facility shows that such activities involved use of the following radionuclides with half-lives greater than 120 days: carbon 14, technetium 99, cobalt 60, strontium 90, and cesium 137. Prior to performing the final status survey, the Licensee's contractor conducted decontamination activities, as necessary, in the areas of the Facility affected by these radionuclides.

The Licensee conducted a final status survey on November 14 through December 12, 2007, February 12, 2008, and May 22, 2008. This survey included soil sampling and surface readings on the remaining building components at the Facility. The final status survey report was attached to the Licensee's amendment request dated September 18, 2008. The Licensee elected to demonstrate compliance with the radiological criteria for unrestricted release as specified in 10 CFR 20.1402 by developing site-specific derived concentration guideline levels (DCGLs), based in part on the screening approach described in NUREG–1757, ''Consolidated NMSS Decommissioning Guidance,'' Volume 2. The DCGL values were 5 pCi/g for cesium 137 and 0.5 pCi/g for cobalt 60, which would also be sufficient to mitigate the carbon 14, technetium 99 and strontium 90 levels. The Licensee's final status survey results were below these DCGLs and are in compliance with the As Low As Reasonably Achievable (ALARA) requirement of 10 CFR 20.1402. The NRC thus finds that the Licensee's final status survey results are acceptable.

The NRC staff conducted a confirmatory survey and sampling on November 13–14, 2007, and May 22, 2008. One of the building surface confirmatory survey results exceeded the DCGLs established for the Facility. Upon discovery, the licensee performed decontamination of the location and increased the measurement protocol to perform a 100 percent scan of the building. No other confirmatory survey or sample results exceeded the DCGLs established for the Facility. Based on its review, the staff has determined that the affected environment and any environmental impacts associated with the proposed action are bounded by the impacts evaluated by the ''Generic Environmental Impact Statement in Support of Rulemaking on Radiological Criteria for License Termination of NRC-Licensed Nuclear Facilities'' (NUREG–1496) Volumes 1–3 (ML042310492, ML042320379, and ML042330385). The