

copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202-564-7146 or <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/>. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated July 17, 2009 (74 FR 34754).

#### Draft EISs

*EIS No. 20090247, ERP No. D-NOA-E91027-00*, Comprehensive Ecosystem-Base Amendment 1 (CE-BA1) for the South Atlantic Region, Implementation.

**Summary:** EPA fully supports protection of deepwater coral habitat and the application of the ecosystem-based approach to fishery management proposed by the Comprehensive Ecosystem-Based Amendment 1. Rating LO.

*EIS No. 20090283, ERP No. D-NPS-F65077-WI*, Apostle Islands National Lakeshore General Management Plan/Wilderness Management Plan, Implementation, Bayfield and Ashland Counties, WI.

**Summary:** While EPA has no objections to this project, it did request information on comparing current management zones with zones proposed in the preferred alternative. Rating LO.

*EIS No. 20090286, ERP No. D-NPS-E610790FL*, Biscayne National Park Fishery Management Plan, Improvement of the Status of Fisheries Resources, Implementation, Miami-Dade County, FL.

**Summary:** EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to the park fishery populations from the effects of increased human population growth, improved fishing technology, and increased recreational bycatch. Rating EC1.

*EIS No. 20090307, ERP No. D-UCG-A39141-00*, PROGRAMMATIC—Ballast Water Discharge Standard Project, To Implement a Ballast Water Discharge Standard to Prevent or Reduce the Number of Non-indigenous Species introduced into the United States Waters.

**Summary:** EPA does not object to the proposed action. Rating LO.

#### Final EISs

*EIS No. 20090269, ERP No. F-TVA-E65082-00*, Mountain Reservoirs Land Management Plan, Implementation, Proposes to Develop a Plan for Managing Nine Mountain Reservoirs: Chatuge, Hiwassee, Blue Ridge, Nottely, Ocoes 1, 2, and 3, Appalachia, and Fontana Reservoirs, Fannin, Towns, and Union Counties,

GA; Cherokee, Clay, Graham, and Swain Counties, North Carolina; and Polk County, TN.

**Summary:** EPA continues to have environmental concerns about water quality and shoreline integrity impacts. *EIS No. 20090321, ERP No. F-SFW-L64054-AK*, Kenai National Wildlife Refuge Draft Revised Comprehensive Conservation Plan, Implementation, AK.

**Summary:** No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: October 20, 2009.

**Ken Mittelholtz,**

*Deputy Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.*

[FR Doc. E9-25583 Filed 10-22-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-8972-8]

#### Good Neighbor Environmental Board

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notification of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given that the Good Neighbor Environmental Board (GNEB) will hold a public teleconference on November 3, 2009 from 1 p.m. to 3 p.m. Eastern Standard Time. The meeting is open to the public. For further information regarding the teleconference and background materials, please contact Dolores Wesson at the number listed below.

**Background:** GNEB is a federal advisory committee chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92463. GNEB provides advice and recommendations to the President and Congress on environmental and infrastructure issues along the U.S. border with Mexico. **Purpose of Meeting:** The purpose of this teleconference is to discuss and approve the Good Neighbor Environmental Board's draft advice letter to the President on the environmental effects of the U.S.-Mexico border fence and associated infrastructure. The Board will also continue discussion on the Thirteenth Report to the President.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** If you wish to make oral comments or submit written comments to the Board, please contact Dolores Wesson at least five days prior to the meeting.

**General Information:** Additional information concerning the GNEB can

be found on its Web site at <http://www.epa.gov/ocem/gneb>.

**Meeting Access:** For information on access or services for individuals with disabilities, please contact Dolores Wesson at (202) 564-1351 or e-mail her at [wesson.dolores@epa.gov](mailto:wesson.dolores@epa.gov). To request accommodation of a disability, please contact Dolores Wesson at least 10 days prior to the meeting to give EPA as much time as possible to process your request.

Dated: September 9, 2009.

**Dolores Wesson,**

*Designated Federal Officer.*

[FR Doc. E9-25592 Filed 10-22-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2009-0054; FRL-8795-8]

#### Disulfoton; Registration Review Proposed Decision; Notice of Availability

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the availability of EPA's proposed registration review decision for the pesticide disulfoton and opens a public comment period on the proposed decision. Registration review is EPA's periodic review of pesticide registrations to ensure that each pesticide continues to satisfy the statutory standard for registration, that is, that the pesticide can perform its intended function without unreasonable adverse effects on human health or the environment. Through this program, EPA is ensuring that each pesticide's registration is based on current scientific and other knowledge, including its effects on human health and the environment.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before December 22, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-OPP-2009-0054, by one of the following methods:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

- **Mail:** Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) Regulatory Public Docket (7502P), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001.

- **Delivery:** OPP Regulatory Public Docket (7502P), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. S-4400, One Potomac Yard (South Bldg.), 2777 S.

Crystal Dr., Arlington, VA. Deliveries are only accepted during the Docket Facility's normal hours of operation (8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays). Special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information. The Docket Facility telephone number is (703) 305-5805.

**Instructions:** Direct your comments to docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-OPP-2009-0054. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the docket without change and may be made available on-line at <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through [regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or e-mail. The [regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) website is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through [regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

**Docket:** All documents in the docket are listed in the docket index available at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either in the electronic docket at <http://www.regulations.gov>, or, if only available in hard copy, at the OPP Regulatory Public Docket in Rm. S-4400, One Potomac Yard (South Bldg.), 2777 S. Crystal Dr., Arlington, VA. The hours of operation of this Docket Facility are from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m.,

Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The Docket Facility telephone number is (703) 305-5805.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For pesticide specific information, contact: Eric Miederhoff, Chemical Review Manager, Pesticide Re-evaluation Division (7508P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (703) 347-8028; fax number: (703) 308-7070; e-mail address: [miederhoff.eric@epa.gov](mailto:miederhoff.eric@epa.gov).

For general information on the registration review program, contact: Kevin Costello, Pesticide Re-evaluation Division (7508P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (703) 305-5026; fax number: (703) 308-8090; e-mail address: [costello.kevin@epa.gov](mailto:costello.kevin@epa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. General Information

###### A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

This action is directed to the public in general, and may be of interest to a wide range of stakeholders including environmental, human health, farm worker, and agricultural advocates; the chemical industry; pesticide users; and members of the public interested in the sale, distribution, or use of pesticides. Since others also may be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the chemical review manager listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

###### B. What Should I Consider as I Prepare My Comments for EPA?

1. **Submitting CBI.** Do not submit this information to EPA through [regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or e-mail. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD-ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD-ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD-ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. **Tips for preparing your comments.** When submitting comments, remember to:

- i. Identify the document by docket ID number and other identifying information (subject heading, **Federal Register** date and page number).
- ii. Follow directions. The Agency may ask you to respond to specific questions or organize comments by referencing a Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part or section number.
- iii. Explain why you agree or disagree; suggest alternatives and substitute language for your requested changes.
- iv. Describe any assumptions and provide any technical information and/or data that you used.
- v. If you estimate potential costs or burdens, explain how you arrived at your estimate in sufficient detail to allow for it to be reproduced.
- vi. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns and suggest alternatives.
- vii. Explain your views as clearly as possible, avoiding the use of profanity or personal threats.
- viii. Make sure to submit your comments by the comment period deadline identified.

##### II. Background

###### A. What Action is the Agency Taking?

Pursuant to 40 CFR 155.58, this notice announces the availability of EPA's proposed registration review decision for the pesticide, disulfoton, case number 0102, and opens a 60-day public comment period on the proposed decision. Disulfoton is an organophosphate insecticide registered for use on asparagus, beans, broccoli, Brussels sprouts, cabbage, cauliflower, cotton, coffee beans, lettuce, radish grown for seed, Christmas trees, Easter lilies, and residential ornamentals.

The registration review docket for a pesticide includes earlier documents related to the registration review of the case. For example, the review opened with the posting of a Summary Document, containing a Preliminary Work Plan, for public comment. A Final Work Plan was posted to the docket following public comment on the initial docket.

As stated in the Disulfoton Preliminary Work Plan for registration review, the Agency had intended to revise the existing risk assessments for disulfoton. However, after the publication of the Disulfoton Preliminary Work Plan, pursuant to section 6(f)(1) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended, the Agency announced receipt of requests to voluntarily cancel all disulfoton product

registrations and then granted the voluntary cancellation requests, establishing effective cancellation dates (FRL-8437-1); (74 FR 48551) for all of the products registered for use in the United States containing the active ingredient, disulfoton. The Agency described the impact of the cancellations on the registration review of disulfoton in the Final Work Plan, which was issued on October 1, 2009. Due to the cancellation order issued affecting all disulfoton product registrations in the United States, the Agency has found that it is not necessary to conduct new risk assessments for disulfoton and is therefore issuing a proposed decision pursuant to 40 CFR 155.53(c)(2) and 40 CFR 155.58. The Agency believes that mitigation measures put into effect on product labeling through the reregistration process are adequate to protect human health and the environment until existing stocks of disulfoton are exhausted. This proposed registration review decision is described in more detail in the Disulfoton Proposed Registration Review Decision, available in the disulfoton docket.

Following public comment, the Agency will issue a registration review final decision for products containing disulfoton.

The registration review program is being conducted under congressionally mandated time frames, and EPA recognizes the need both to make timely decisions and to involve the public. Section 3(g) of FIFRA, as amended, required EPA to establish by regulation procedures for reviewing pesticide registrations, originally with a goal of reviewing each pesticide's registration every 15 years to ensure that a pesticide continues to meet the FIFRA standard for registration. The Agency's final rule to implement this program was issued in August 2006, and became effective in October 2006, and appears at 40 CFR part 155, subpart C. The Pesticide Registration Improvement Act of 2003 (PRIA) was amended and extended in September 2007. FIFRA, as amended by PRIA in 2007, requires EPA to complete registration review decisions by October 1, 2022, for all pesticides registered as of October 1, 2007.

The registration review final rule at 40 CFR 155.58(a) provides for a minimum 60-day public comment period on all proposed registration review decisions. This comment period is intended to provide an opportunity for public input and a mechanism for initiating any necessary amendments to the proposed

decision. All comments should be submitted using the methods in **ADDRESSES**, and must be received by EPA on or before the closing date. These comments will become part of the docket for disulfoton. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be marked "late." EPA is not required to consider these late comments.

The Agency will carefully consider all comments received by the closing date and will provide a "Response to Comments Memorandum" in the docket. The registration review decision will explain the effect that any comments had on the decision and provide the Agency's response to significant comments.

Background on the registration review program is provided at: [http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/registration\\_review](http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/registration_review). A link to earlier documents related to the registration review of disulfoton is provided at: [http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/registration\\_review/reg\\_review\\_status.htm](http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/registration_review/reg_review_status.htm).

#### *B. What is the Agency's Authority for Taking this Action?*

Section 3(g) of FIFRA and 40 CFR part 155, subpart C, provide authority for this action.

#### **List of Subjects**

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Pesticides and pests, disulfoton.

Dated: October 19, 2009.

**Richard P. Keigwin, Jr.,**

*Director, Pesticide Re-evaluation Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.*

[FR Doc. E9-25597 Filed 10-22-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-S**

#### **EXPORT-IMPORT BANK OF THE U.S.**

##### **[Public Notice 126]**

#### **Agency Information Collection**

##### **Activities: Final Collection; Comment Request**

**AGENCY:** Export-Import Bank of the U.S.

**ACTION:** Submission for OMB review and comments request.

*Form Title:* Competitiveness Report Survey EIB 00-02 OMB 3048-003.

**SUMMARY:** The Export-Import Bank of the United States (Ex-Im Bank), as a part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other

Federal Agencies to comment on the proposed information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. Our customers will be able to submit this form on paper or electronically.

The purpose of this survey is to fulfill the statutory mandate (Export-Import Act of 1945, as amended, 12 U.S.C. 635) which directs the Export-Import Bank to report annually to Congress any action taken toward providing export credit programs that are competitive with those offered by official foreign export credit agencies.

The following changes have been made to the survey:

1. Added question—Years in Business in Part 1, Question 1.
2. Removed "Medium-term Loan" as an option in Part 1, Question 4.
3. Added question—How many applications did your organization file with Ex-Im Bank in CY 2009 in Part 1, Question 2.
4. Changed the option "Never" to "N/A" in Part 2, Questions 1 and 2.
5. Removed the option "N/A" in "Other" in Part 2, Questions 1 and 2.
6. Added "Services" category to Part 3, Question 3.
7. Added "Local Costs" to Part 3, Question 5.

**DATES:** Comments should be received on or before December 22, 2009 to be assured of consideration.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted through <http://www.Regulations.Gov> or mailed to Monika Edwards, Export-Import Bank of the United States, 811 Vermont Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20571.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Titles and Form Number:* EIB 00-02 Competitiveness Report Survey.

*OMB Number:* 3048-003.

*Type of Review:* Regular.

*Need and Use:* This information will be used to report annually to Congress any action taken toward providing export credit programs that are competitive with those offered by official foreign export credit agencies.

*Affected Public:* This form affects entities involved in the export of U.S. goods and services.

*Annual Number of Respondents:* 125.

*Estimated Time per Respondent:* 0.05 hours.

*Government Annual Burden Hours:* 6.25.

*Frequency of Reporting or Use:* Yearly.

**BILLING CODE 6690-01-P**