

years. This cyclical evaluation is referred to as the "Six-Year Review of National Primary Drinking Water Regulations" or simply, the "Six-Year Review". Through the Six-Year Review process, the EPA reviews and assesses risks to human health posed by regulated drinking water contaminants.

EPA completed and published review results for the first Six-Year Review cycle (1996–2002) on July 18, 2003 (68 FR 42908). The occurrence assessments conducted for the first Six-Year Review were based on compliance monitoring data from 1993 to 1997, which were provided by States.

EPA expects to complete and publish the review results for the second Six-Year Review cycle (2003–2009) in the near future. The occurrence assessments conducted for the second Six-Year Review are based on data collected between 1998 and 2005 and voluntarily submitted by States and other primacy agencies under the current Information Collection Request (ICR No. 2231.01, 71 FR 32340).

EPA's Office of Water is renewing the current ICR and requesting that States and other primacy agencies voluntarily provide historical compliance monitoring (contaminant occurrence) data for community water systems (CWSs) and non-transient non-community water systems (NTNCWSs) to the Agency. The Agency is requesting contaminant occurrence data and treatment technique data collected from 2006 to 2012 for all regulated chemical, radiological and microbial contaminants to support the Agency's future Six-Year Reviews. This collection request is the same as the current ICR (ICR No. 2231.01, 71 FR 32340) regarding data type and duration (i.e., same number of years). However, the Agency will be increasing the scope to request data collected for several additional rules (e.g., the Surface Water Treatment Rules, the Disinfectants and Disinfection By Product Rules, the Ground Water Rule) that are not reflected in the current ICR.

The compliance monitoring records in this information collection (including all results for analytical detections and non-detections) provide the data needed to conduct statistical estimates of national occurrence for regulated contaminants and evaluate the treatment technique information associated with control of pathogens, disinfectants, and disinfection byproducts. These national occurrence estimates and treatment technique information will support the SDWA section 1412(b)(9) mandate that requires the Agency to review the existing NPDRs and determine whether

revisions are appropriate. In addition, SDWA section 1445(g) requires the Agency to maintain a national drinking water contaminant occurrence database (i.e., the National Contaminant Occurrence Data (NCOD)) using occurrence data for both regulated and unregulated contaminants in public water systems (PWSs). This data collection will provide new occurrence data on regulated contaminants to maintain the NCOD.

It is in the interest of the Agency to minimize the burden on States (and other primacy agencies) by allowing submission of data in virtually any electronic format, and to provide States that use the Safe Drinking Water Information System State Versions (SDWIS/State) with extraction scripts if wanted.

**Burden Statement:** The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 12.2 hours per State. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements which have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

The ICR provides a detailed explanation of the Agency's estimate, which is only briefly summarized here:

*Estimated total number of potential respondents:* 56.

*Frequency of response:* One time only.

*Estimated total average number of responses for each respondent:* 1.

*Estimated total annual burden hours:* 681 hours.

*Estimated total annual costs:* \$30,608. This includes an estimated burden cost of \$30,608 and an estimated cost of \$0 for capital investment or maintenance and operational costs.

It should be additionally noted that the values above should be considered estimated values and are from the current ICR approved by OMB. These values may change in part due to the scope modification; however, it is not expected to be significant.

### Are There Changes in the Estimates From the Last Approval?

There is a potential for the estimated total cost to change compared with that identified in the ICR currently approved by OMB. The change will reflect the slight modification of the scope, revised burden hours, and updated labor costs. While the increase in the scope may increase the annual burden hour, the data extraction tool that assists States using SDWIS/State and the increased number of States utilizing this database (from those in the previous ICR) may likely minimize the increased annual burden hours. The anticipated burden hours could also decrease from that in the currently approved ICR based on feedback from the States about the actual number of hours utilized when States or primacy agencies chose to use the data extraction tool or load the data onto a secure website. The increase in labor costs, since the previous ICR, may increase the annual burden; however, it is anticipated that it will not be significant. Therefore, with the scope modification, revised burden hours, and updated labor costs, the change in the estimated annual costs may not be significant.

### What Is the Next Step in the Process for This ICR?

EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.12. At that time, EPA will issue another **Federal Register** notice pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv) to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB. If you have any questions about this ICR or the approval process, please contact the technical person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

Dated: September 2, 2009.

**Cynthia C. Dougherty,**

*Director, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water.*

[FR Doc. E9–21941 Filed 9–10–09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA–HQ–OPP–2009–0192; FRL–8435–2]

### Pesticide Experimental Use Permit; Receipt of Application; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces EPA's receipt of an application 56228-EUP-UN from U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) requesting an experimental use permit (EUP) for the chemical Mammalian Gonadotropin Releasing Hormone (GnRH). The Agency has determined that the permit may be of regional and national significance. Therefore, in accordance with 40 CFR 172.11(a), the Agency is soliciting comments on this application.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before October 13, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-OPP-2009-0192, by one of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

- *Mail:* Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) Regulatory Public Docket (7502P), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001.

- *Delivery:* OPP Regulatory Public Docket (7502P), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. S-4400, One Potomac Yard (South Bldg.), 2777 S. Crystal Dr., Arlington, VA. Deliveries are only accepted during the Docket Facility's normal hours of operation (8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays). Special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information. The Docket Facility telephone number is (703) 305-5805.

*Instructions:* Direct your comments to docket ID number EPA-HQ-OPP-2009-0192. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the docket without change and may be made available on-line at <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through [regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or e-mail. The [regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) website is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through [regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an

electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

*Docket:* All documents in the docket are listed in the docket index available at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either in the electronic docket at <http://www.regulations.gov>, or, if only available in hard copy, at the OPP Regulatory Public Docket in Rm. S-4400, One Potomac Yard (South Bldg.), 2777 S. Crystal Dr., Arlington, VA. The hours of operation of this Docket Facility are from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The Docket Facility telephone number is (703) 305-5805.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Autumn Metzger, Registration Division (7505P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (703) 305-5314; e-mail address: [metzger.autumn@epa.gov](mailto:metzger.autumn@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****I. General Information***A. Does this Action Apply to Me?*

This action is directed to the public in general. This action may, however, be of interest to those persons who are or may be required to conduct testing of chemical substances under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA) or the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA). Since other entities may also be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

*B. What Should I Consider as I Prepare My Comments for EPA?*

1. *Submitting CBI.* Do not submit this information to EPA through

[regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or e-mail. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD-ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD-ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD-ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. *Tips for preparing your comments.* When submitting comments, remember to:

- Identify the document by docket ID number and other identifying information (subject heading, **Federal Register** date and page number).
- Follow directions. The Agency may ask you to respond to specific questions or organize comments by referencing a Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part or section number.
- Explain why you agree or disagree; suggest alternatives and substitute language for your requested changes.
- Describe any assumptions and provide any technical information and/or data that you used.
- If you estimate potential costs or burdens, explain how you arrived at your estimate in sufficient detail to allow for it to be reproduced.
- Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns and suggest alternatives.
- Explain your views as clearly as possible, avoiding the use of profanity or personal threats.
- Make sure to submit your comments by the comment period deadline identified.

3. *Environmental justice.* EPA seeks to achieve environmental justice, the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of any group, including minority and/or low income populations, in the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies. To help address potential environmental justice issues, the Agency seeks information on any groups or segments of the population who, as a result of their location, cultural practices, or other factors, may have atypical or disproportionately high and adverse human health impacts or environmental effects from exposure to the pesticide(s) discussed in this document, compared to the general population.

## II. What Action is the Agency Taking?

Under section 5 of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. 136c, EPA can allow manufacturers to field test pesticides under development. Manufacturers are required to obtain an EUP before testing new pesticides or new uses of pesticides if they conduct experimental field tests on 10 acres or more of land or one acre or more of water.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 172.11(a), the Agency has determined that the following EUP application may be of regional and national significance, and therefore is seeking public comment on the EUP application:

**Submitter:** USDA's APHIS, (56228-EUP-UN).

**Pesticide Chemical:** Mammalian Gonadotropin Releasing Hormone (GnRH).

**Summary of Request:** USDA's APHIS, is requesting an EUP of GonaCon, an Immunocontraceptive Vaccine, containing the active ingredient GnRH, to investigate the efficacy of reproductive control, physiological side-effects and reproductive and social behavior on overabundant feral horses (*Equus caballus*) in the Theodore Roosevelt National Park in North Dakota. The proposed EUP program would be initiated in October and November 2009, during which time study horses will be vaccinated and released and then monitored for approximately 5 years. Approximately 28 mares will be vaccinated with 2.0 milliliter (ml) solution, which is equivalent to .06 ml active ingredient per horse or 1.68 ml active ingredient total for the study. The total area of the park consists of 19,000 hectares (ha) (~46,950 acres), however the area where the study will be conducted will be much smaller than this as the horses only inhabit the south unit of the park. North Dakota will be the only state in which the proposed program will be conducted.

A copy of the application and any information submitted is available for public review in the docket established for this EUP application as described under **ADDRESSES**.

Following the review of the application and any comments and data received in response to this solicitation, EPA will decide whether to issue or deny the EUP request, and if issued, the conditions under which it is to be conducted. Any issuance of an EUP will be announced in the **Federal Register**.

### List of Subjects

Environmental protection,  
Experimental use permits.

Dated: September 2, 2009.

**Lois Rossi,**

*Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.*

[FR Doc. E9-21898 Filed 9-10-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-S**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-8597-3]

### Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202-564-7146.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated July 17, 2009 (74 FR 34754).

### Draft EISs

EIS No. 20090208, ERP No. D-FHW-F40449-IL, Illinois 336 Corridor Project (Federal Aid Primary Route 315), Proposed Macomb Bypass in McDonough County, to I-474 west of Peoria in Peoria County, Funding, McDonough, Fulton and Peoria Counties, IL

**Summary:** EPA expressed environmental concerns about wetland, floodplain, air quality, and upland forest impacts. EPA also requested additional information on traffic levels. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20090237, ERP No. D-UMC-E11070-NC, U.S. Marine Corps Grow the Force at MCB Camp Lejeune, MCAS New River, and MCAS Cherry Point, To Provide the Infrastructure To Support the Permanent Increases at these three Installations, U.S. Army Corps Section 404 and 10 Permits, City of Jacksonville, NC

**Summary:** EPA expressed environmental concerns about aquatic habitat, wetland, and water quality impacts. EPA recommended that the USMC consider a hybrid alternative to minimize impacts to wetlands and other jurisdictional waters. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20090239, ERP No. D-AFS-L65574-OR, Big Summit Allotment Management Plan, Proposes to Reauthorize Cattle Term Grazing Permits, Construct Range Improvements, and Restore Riparian Vegetation on Five Allotments,

Lookout Mountain Ranger District, Ochoco National Forest, Crook County, OR

**Summary:** EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to water quality within creeks that are already on the Oregon State's 303(d) list and subsequent impacts to aquatic resources. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20090242, ERP No. D-IBR-K39118-CA, Delta-Mendota Canal/California Aqueduct Intertie Project, Construction and Operation of a Pumping Plant and Pipeline Connection, San Luis Delta-Mendota Water Authority Project, Central Valley Project, Alameda and San Joaquin Counties, CA

**Summary:** EPA expressed environmental concerns about water supply reliability and the ability to meet water delivery targets. EPA recommended the FEIS describe efforts to better align contract obligations with existing and future water supplies; and explore reduced inflow and export scenarios. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20090252, ERP No. D-NPS-D65041-DC, White-Tailed Deer Management Plan, To Develop a White-Tailed Deer Management Plan that Supports Long-Term Protection, Preservation and Restoration of Native Vegetation and other Natural and Cultural Resources in Rock Creek Park, Washington, DC

**Summary:** EPA does not object to the proposed project, but recommends that the project team continue to work with other agencies regarding deer management issues. Rating LO.

### Final EISs

EIS No. 20090258, ERP No. F-FRC-E05104-00, Catawba-Wateree Hydroelectric Project (FERC No. 2232), Application for Hydroelectric License, Catawba and Wateree Rivers in Burke, McDowell, Caldwell, Catawba, Alexander, Iredell, Mecklenburg, Lincoln and Gaston Counties, NC and York, Lancaster, Chester, Fairfield and Kershaw Counties, SC

**Summary:** EPA continues to have environmental concerns about impacts to aquatic species in the Catawba River below the Bridgewater development.

EIS No. 20090260, ERP No. F-COE-G39049-TX, Calhoun Port Authority's, Proposed Matagorda Ship Channel Improvement Project to Widen and Deepen Berthing Facilities, U.S. Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Calhoun and Matagorda Counties, TX

**Summary:** No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.