

This rule will affect the following entities, some of which might be small entities: The owners or operators of vessels needing to transit the bridge with less than 14 days advance notice. There have been no requests for bridge openings in several years so this rule will not affect a substantial number of small entities. Vessels that can safely transit under the bridge may do so at any time. Before the effective period, we will issue maritime advisories widely available to users of the river.

Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), in the NPRM we offered to assist small entities in understanding the rule so that they could better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process.

Collection of Information

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or Tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

Taking of Private Property

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to

minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and would not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that might disproportionately affect children.

Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have Tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian Tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian Tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian Tribes.

Energy Effects

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a “significant energy action” under that order because it is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

Technical Standards

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory activities unless the agency provides Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, with an explanation of why using these standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., specifications of materials, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.ID, which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have concluded that this action is one of a category of actions which do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2–1, paragraph (32)(e), of the Instruction.

Under figure 2–1, paragraph (32)(e), of the Instruction, an environmental analysis checklist and a categorical exclusion determination are not required for this rule.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges.

■ For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 117 as follows:

PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 117 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 499; 33 CFR 1.05–1; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. Section 117.493(a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 117.493 Sabine River.

(a) The draw of the Union Pacific railroad bridge, mile 19.3 near Echo shall open on signal if at least 14 days notice is given.

* * * * *

Dated: August 4, 2009.

Mary E. Landry,

*Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard Commander,
Eighth Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. E9–19703 Filed 8–17–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–15–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

39 CFR Part 3020

[Docket No. CP2009–48; Order No. 267]

International Mail

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission is making changes to the Competitive Product List, including adding a new contract within the Global Plus 2 product on the Competitive Product List. This is

consistent with changes in a recent law governing postal operations. Republication of the lists of market dominant and competitive products is also consistent with new requirements.

DATES: Effective August 18, 2009 and is applicable beginning July 31, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, 202-789-6820 or stephen.sharfman@prc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: *Regulatory History*, 74 FR 35898 (July 21, 2009).

- I. Introduction
- II. Background
- III. Comments
- IV. Commission Analysis
- V. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

The Postal Service proposes to add a specific Global Plus 2 contract to the Global Plus Contract product established in Docket No. MC2008-7. For the reasons discussed below, the Commission approves the Postal Service's proposal.

II. Background

On July 13, 2009, the Postal Service filed a notice, pursuant to 39 CFR 3015.5, announcing that it has entered into two additional Global Plus 2 contracts, which it states fit within the previously established Global Plus 2 Contracts product.¹ The Postal Service states that each contract is functionally equivalent to previously submitted Global Plus 2 contracts, are filed in accordance with Order No. 112² and are supported by Governors' Decision No. 08-10 filed in Docket No. MC2008-7.³ Notice at 1.

The Notice also states that in Docket No. MC2008-7, the Governors established prices and classifications for competitive products not of general applicability for Global Plus Contracts. The Postal Service relates that the instant contract is the immediate

¹ Notice of the United States Postal Service of Filing Two Functionally Equivalent Global Plus 2 Negotiated Service Agreements, July 13, 2009 (Notice). While the Notice was filed jointly in Docket Nos. CP2009-48 and CP2009-49, the Commission will address the issues in these dockets in separate orders. The Postal Service requests that the two contracts be included in the Global Plus 2 product, and "that they be considered the new 'baseline' contracts for future functional equivalency analyses." * * * *Id.* at 2.

² See Docket Nos. MC2008-7, CP2008-16 and CP2008-17, Order Concerning Global Plus 2 Negotiated Service Agreements, October 3, 2008 (Order No. 112).

³ See Docket Nos. MC2008-7, CP2008-16 and CP2008-17, Decision of the Governors of the United States Postal Service on the Establishment of Prices and Classification for Global Direct, Global Bulk Economy, and Global Plus Contracts, July 16, 2008 (Governors' Decision 08-10).

successor contract to the contract in Docket No. CP2008-16 which will expire soon, and which the Commission found to be functionally equivalent in Order No. 112.

The Postal Service contends that the instant contract should be included within the Global Plus 2 product on the Competitive Product List. *Id.*

In support, the Postal Service has filed a redacted version of the contract and related materials as Attachment 1-A. A redacted version of the certified statement required by 39 CFR 3015.5 is included as Attachment 2-A. The Postal Service states that the contract should be included within the Global Plus 2 product and requests that the instant contract be considered the "baseline contract[s] for future functional equivalency analyses concerning this product." *Id.* at 2.

The Postal Service filed the instant contract pursuant to 39 CFR 3015.5. The contract becomes effective August 1, 2009, unless regulatory reviews affect that date, and have a one-year term.

The Postal Service maintains that certain portions of each contract and certified statement required by 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2), containing names and identifying information of the Global Plus 2 customer, related financial information, portions of the certified statement which contain costs and pricing as well as the accompanying analyses that provide prices, terms, conditions, and financial projections should remain under seal. *Id.* at 3.

The Postal Service asserts the contract is functionally equivalent with the contract filed in Docket No. CP2009-49 because they share similar cost and market characteristics. It contends that they should be classified as a single product. *Id.* It states that while the existing contracts filed in Docket Nos. CP2008-16 and CP2008-17 exhibited minor distinctions, the new contracts are identical to one another. *Id.* at 4.

The instant contract is with the same Postal Qualified Wholesalers (PQW) as in Docket No. CP2008-16. Even though some terms and conditions of the contract have changed, the Postal Service states that the essence of the service to the PQW customers is offering price-based incentives to commit large amounts of mail volume or postage revenue for Global Bulk Economy (GBE) and Global Direct (GD).⁴

⁴ The Postal Service states the commitments also account for International Priority Airmail (IPA), International Surface Air Lift (ISAL), Express Mail International (EMI), and Priority Mail International (PMI) items mailed under a separate but related Global Plus 1 contract with each customer. The Global Plus 1 contracts are the subject of a separate competitive products proceeding.

The Postal Service indicates that the instant contract has material differences which include removal of retroactivity provisions; explanations of price modification as a result of currency rate fluctuations or postal administration fees; removal of language on enforcement of mailing requirements; and restructuring of price incentives, commitments, penalties and clarification of continuing contractual obligations in the event of termination.

The Postal Service maintains these differences only add detail or amplify processes included in prior Global Plus 2 contracts. It contends because the instant contract has the same cost attributes and methodology as well as similar cost and market characteristics, the differences do not affect the fundamental service being offered or the essential structure of the contract. *Id.* at 8. Therefore, it asserts these contracts are "functionally equivalent in all pertinent respects." *Id.* at 8.

In Order No. 250, the Commission gave notice of the filing, appointed a Public Representative, and provided the public with an opportunity to comment.⁵

On July 23, 2009, Chairman's Information Request No. 1 (CHIR No. 1) was issued with responses due by July 28, 2009. On July 28, 2009, the Postal Service provided its responses to CHIR No. 1.

III. Comments

Comments were filed by the Public Representative.⁶ No other interested parties submitted comments. The Public Representative states the contract appears to satisfy the statutory criteria, but because he believes there are ambiguities in the cost methodology, his response is not an unqualified recommendation in support of the contract's approval. *Id.* at 2. He notes that relevant provisions of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633 and 3642 appear to be met by these additional Global Plus 2 contracts. *Id.* The Public Representative states that he believes the contracts are functionally equivalent to the existing Global Plus Contracts product. He also determines that the Postal Service has provided greater transparency and accessibility in its filings. *Id.* at 3.

The Public Representative notes that the general public benefits from the availability of these contracts in several ways: well prepared international mail adds increased efficiency in the

⁵ Notice of Filing of Two Functionally Equivalent Global Plus 2 Negotiated Service Agreements, July 16, 2009 (Order No. 250).

⁶ Public Representative Comments in Response to Order No. 250, July 23, 2009 (Public Representative Comments).

mailstream, enhanced volume results in timeliness in outbound shipments to all countries including those with small volume, and the addition of shipping options may result in expansion of mail volumes, particularly with the incentives for PQWs to promote the use of outbound international shipping resulting in expansion of these services for the Postal Service. *Id.* at 4.

Finally, he discusses the need for self-contained docket filings. In particular, he notes that the instant contract relies on data from the most recent International Cost and Revenue Analysis (ICRA), which was filed in another docket. He suggest that the Postal Service identify the location of the ICRA utilized and cited in that docket. *Id.* at 6.

IV. Commission Analysis

The Postal Service proposes to add an additional contract under the Global Plus Contracts product that was created in Docket No. MC2008-7. As filed, this docket presents two issues for the Commission to consider: (1) Whether the contract satisfies 39 U.S.C. 3633, and (2) whether the contract is functionally equivalent to previously reviewed Global Plus 2 contracts. In reaching its conclusions, the Commission has reviewed the Notice, the contract and the financial analyses provided under seal, supplemental information, and the Public Representative's comments.

Statutory requirements. The Postal Service contends that the instant contract and supporting documents filed in this docket establish compliance with the statutory provisions applicable to rates for competitive products (39 U.S.C. 3633). Notice at 2.

J. Ron Poland, Manager, Statistical Programs, Finance Department asserts Governors' Decision No. 08-10 for Global Plus Contracts establishes price floor and ceiling formulas issued on July 16, 2008. He certifies that the pricing in the instant contract meets the Governors' pricing formula and meets the criteria of 39 U.S.C. 3633(a)(1), (2) and (3). He further states that the prices demonstrate that the contract and the included ancillary services should cover their attributable costs, preclude the subsidization of competitive products by market dominant products, and should not impair the ability of competitive products on the whole to cover an appropriate share of institutional costs. Notice, Attachment 2-A.

For his part, the Public Representative indicates that the contract appears to satisfy 39 U.S.C. 3633. Public Representative Comments at 1-3.

Based on the data submitted, including the supplemental information, the Commission finds that the contract should cover its attributable costs (39 U.S.C. 3633(a)(2)), should not lead to the subsidization of competitive products by market dominant products (39 U.S.C. 3633(a)(1)), and should have a positive effect on competitive products' contribution to institutional costs (39 U.S.C. 3633(a)(3)). Thus, an initial review of the contract indicates that it comports with the provisions applicable to rates for competitive products.

Functional equivalence. The Postal Service asserts that the instant contract is functionally equivalent to the contract filed in the companion proceeding, Docket No. CP2009-49, as well as with Global Plus 2 contracts filed previously because they share similar cost and market characteristics. Notice at 4. The Postal Service states that the customers under the existing and proposed contracts are the same. In addition, it notes that existing contracts exhibited some differences; the contracts proposed in Docket Nos. CP2009-48 and CP2009-49 are identical. *Id.*

Having reviewed the contracts filed in the instant proceeding and in Docket No. CP2009-49, and the Postal Service's justification, the Commission finds that the two contracts may be treated as functionally equivalent.

New baseline. The Postal Service requests that the contracts filed in Docket Nos. CP2009-48 and 2009-49 be included in the Global Plus 2 product and "considered the new 'baseline' contracts for purposes of future functional equivalency analyses concerning this product." *Id.* at 2. Currently, the Global Plus 2 product consists of two existing contracts that will be superseded by the contracts in Docket Nos. CP2009-48 and CP2009-49. Under those circumstances, the new contracts need not be designated as a new product. Accordingly, the new contracts in Docket Nos. CP2009-48 and CP2009-49 will be included in the Global Plus 2 product and become the "baseline" for future functional equivalency analyses regarding that product.

Other considerations. If the agreement terminates earlier than anticipated, the Postal Service shall promptly inform the Commission of the new termination date.

In conclusion, the Commission finds that the negotiated service agreement submitted in Docket No. CP2009-48 is appropriately included within the Global Plus 2 product.

V. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. The contract filed in Docket No. CP2009-48 is included within the Global Plus 2 product (MC2008-7 and CP2009-48).

2. The existing Global Plus 2 product (MC2008-7, CP2008-16 and CP2008-17) is removed from the product list.

3. As discussed in the body of this Order, future contract filings which rely on materials filed under seal in other dockets should be self contained.

4. The Postal Service shall notify the Commission if the termination date changes as discussed in this Order.

List of Subjects in 39 CFR Part 3020

Administrative practice and procedure; Postal Service.

Issued: July 31, 2009.

By the Commission.

Ann C. Fisher,

Acting Secretary.

■ For the reasons stated in the preamble, under the authority at 39 U.S.C. 503, the Postal Regulatory Commission amends 39 CFR part 3030 as follows:

PART 3020—PRODUCT LISTS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 3020 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 39 U.S.C. 503; 3622; 3631; 3642; 3682.

■ 2. Revise Appendix A to Subpart A of Part 3020—Mail Classification Schedule to read as follows:

Appendix A to Subpart A of Part 3020—Mail Classification Schedule

Part A—Market Dominant Products

1000 Market Dominant Product List

First-Class Mail

Single-Piece Letters/Postcards

Bulk Letters/Postcards

Flats

Parcels

Outbound Single-Piece First-Class Mail

International

Inbound Single-Piece First-Class Mail

International

Standard Mail (Regular and Nonprofit)

High Density and Saturation Letters

High Density and Saturation Flats/Parcels

Carrier Route

Letters

Flats

Not Flat-Machinables (NFMs)/Parcels

Periodicals

Within County Periodicals

Outside County Periodicals

Package Services

Single-Piece Parcel Post

Inbound Surface Parcel Post (at UPU rates)

Bound Printed Matter Flats

Bound Printed Matter Parcels

Media Mail/Library Mail

Special Services

Ancillary Services	Address Correction Service	Bookspan Negotiated Service Agreement [Reserved for Product Description]
International Ancillary Services	[Reserved for Product Description]	Bank of America Corporation Negotiated Service Agreement
Address List Services	Applications and Mailing Permits	The Bradford Group Negotiated Service Agreement
Caller Service	[Reserved for Product Description]	Part B—Competitive Products
Change-of-Address Credit Card	Business Reply Mail	2000 Competitive Product List
Authentication	[Reserved for Product Description]	Express Mail
Confirm	Bulk Parcel Return Service	Express Mail
International Reply Coupon Service	[Reserved for Product Description]	Outbound International Expedited Services
International Business Reply Mail Service	Certified Mail	Inbound International Expedited Services
Money Orders	[Reserved for Product Description]	Inbound International Expedited Services 1 (CP2008–7)
Post Office Box Service	Certificate of Mailing	Inbound International Expedited Services 2 (MC2009–10 and CP2009–12)
Negotiated Service Agreements	[Reserved for Product Description]	Priority Mail
HSBC North America Holdings Inc.	Collect on Delivery	Priority Mail
Negotiated Service Agreement	[Reserved for Product Description]	Outbound Priority Mail International
Bookspan Negotiated Service Agreement	Delivery Confirmation	Inbound Air Parcel Post
Bank of America Corporation Negotiated Service Agreement	[Reserved for Product Description]	Royal Mail Group Inbound Air Parcel Post Agreement
The Bradford Group Negotiated Service Agreement	Insurance	Parcel Select
Inbound International	[Reserved for Product Description]	Parcel Return Service
Canada Post—United States Postal Service	Merchandise Return Service	International
Contractual Bilateral Agreement for	[Reserved for Product Description]	International Priority Airlift (IPA)
Inbound Market Dominant Services	Parcel Airlift (PAL)	International Surface Airlift (ISAL)
Market Dominant Product Descriptions	[Reserved for Product Description]	International Direct Sacks—M—Bags
First-Class Mail	Registered Mail	Global Customized Shipping Services
[Reserved for Class Description]	[Reserved for Product Description]	Inbound Surface Parcel Post (at non-UPU rates)
Single-Piece Letters/Postcards	Return Receipt	Canada Post—United States Postal service
[Reserved for Product Description]	[Reserved for Product Description]	Contractual Bilateral Agreement for
Bulk Letters/Postcards	Return Receipt for Merchandise	Inbound Competitive Services (MC2009– 8 and CP2009–9)
[Reserved for Product Description]	[Reserved for Product Description]	International Money Transfer Service
Flats	Restricted Delivery	International Ancillary Services
[Reserved for Product Description]	[Reserved for Product Description]	Special Services
Parcels	Shipper-Paid Forwarding	Premium Forwarding Service
[Reserved for Product Description]	[Reserved for Product Description]	Negotiated Service Agreements
Outbound Single-Piece First-Class Mail	Signature Confirmation	Domestic
International	[Reserved for Product Description]	Express Mail Contract 1 (MC2008–5)
[Reserved for Product Description]	Special Handling	Express Mail Contract 2 (MC2009–3 and CP2009–4)
Inbound Single-Piece First-Class Mail	[Reserved for Product Description]	Express Mail Contract 3 (MC2009–15 and CP2009–21)
International	Stamped Envelopes	Express Mail Contract 4 (MC2009–34 and CP2009–45)
[Reserved for Product Description]	[Reserved for Product Description]	Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 1 (MC2009–6 and CP2009–7)
Standard Mail (Regular and Nonprofit)	Stamped Cards	Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 2 (MC2009–12 and CP2009–14)
[Reserved for Class Description]	[Reserved for Product Description]	Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 3 (MC2009–13 and CP2009–17)
High Density and Saturation Letters	Premium Stamped Stationery	Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 4 (MC2009–17 and CP2009–24)
[Reserved for Product Description]	[Reserved for Product Description]	Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 5 (MC2009–18 and CP2009–25)
High Density and Saturation Flats/Parcels	Premium Stamped Cards	Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 6 (MC2009–31 and CP2009–42)
[Reserved for Product Description]	[Reserved for Product Description]	Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 7 (MC2009–32 and CP2009–43)
Carrier Route	International Ancillary Services	Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 8 (MC2009–33 and CP2009–44)
[Reserved for Product Description]	[Reserved for Product Description]	Parcel Return Service Contract 1 (MC2009– 1 and CP2009–2)
Letters	International Certificate of Mailing	Priority Mail Contract 1 (MC2008–8 and CP2008–26)
[Reserved for Product Description]	[Reserved for Product Description]	Priority Mail Contract 2 (MC2009–2 and CP2009–3)
Flats	International Registered Mail	Priority Mail Contract 3 (MC2009–4 and CP2009–5)
[Reserved for Product Description]	[Reserved for Product Description]	Priority Mail Contract 4 (MC2009–5 and CP2009–6)
Not Flat-Machinables (NFM)/Parcels	International Return Receipt	
[Reserved for Product Description]	[Reserved for Product Description]	
Periodicals	International Restricted Delivery	
[Reserved for Class Description]	[Reserved for Product Description]	
Within County Periodicals	Address List Services	
[Reserved for Product Description]	[Reserved for Product Description]	
Outside County Periodicals	Address List Services	
[Reserved for Product Description]	[Reserved for Product Description]	
Package Services	Caller Service	
[Reserved for Class Description]	[Reserved for Product Description]	
Single-Piece Parcel Post	Change-of-Address Credit Card	
[Reserved for Product Description]	Authentication	
Inbound Surface Parcel Post (at UPU rates)	[Reserved for Product Description]	
[Reserved for Product Description]	Confirm	
Bound Printed Matter Flats	[Reserved for Product Description]	
[Reserved for Product Description]	International Reply Coupon Service	
Bound Printed Matter Parcels	[Reserved for Product Description]	
[Reserved for Product Description]	International Business Reply Mail Service	
Media Mail/Library Mail	[Reserved for Product Description]	
[Reserved for Product Description]	Money Orders	
Special Services	[Reserved for Product Description]	
[Reserved for Class Description]	Post Office Box Service	
Ancillary Services	[Reserved for Product Description]	
[Reserved for Product Description]	Negotiated Service Agreements	
	[Reserved for Class Description]	
	HSBC North America Holdings Inc.	
	Negotiated Service Agreement	
	[Reserved for Product Description]	

Priority Mail Contract 5 (MC2009–21 and CP2009–26)
 Priority Mail Contract 6 (MC2009–25 and CP2009–30)
 Priority Mail Contract 7 (MC2009–25 and CP2009–31)
 Priority Mail Contract 8 (MC2009–25 and CP2009–32)
 Priority Mail Contract 9 (MC2009–25 and CP2009–33)
 Priority Mail Contract 10 (MC2009–25 and CP2009–34)
 Priority Mail Contract 11 (MC2009–27 and CP2009–37)
 Priority Mail Contract 12 (MC2009–28 and CP2009–38)
 Priority Mail Contract 13 (MC2009–29 and CP2009–39)
 Priority Mail Contract 14 (MC2009–30 and CP2009–40)
 Outbound International
 Direct Entry Parcels Contracts
 Direct Entry Parcels 1 (MC2009–26 and CP2009–36)
 Global Direct Contracts (MC2009–9, CP2009–10, and CP2009–11)
 Global Expedited Package Services (GEPS) Contracts
 GEPS 1 (CP2008–5, CP2008–11, CP2008–12, and CP2008–13, CP2008–18, CP2008–19, CP2008–20, CP2008–21, CP2008–22, CP2008–23, and CP2008–24)
 Global Plus Contracts
 Global Plus 1 (CP2008–8, CP2008–46 and CP2009–47)
 Global Plus 2 (MC2008–7 and CP2009–48)
 Inbound International
 Inbound Direct Entry Contracts with Foreign Postal Administrations (MC2008–6, CP2008–14 and CP2008–15)
 International Business Reply Service
 Competitive Contract 1 (MC2009–14 and CP2009–20)
 Competitive Product Descriptions
 Express Mail
 [Reserved for Group Description]
 Express Mail
 [Reserved for Product Description]
 Outbound International Expedited Services
 [Reserved for Product Description]
 Inbound International Expedited Services
 [Reserved for Product Description]
 Priority
 [Reserved for Product Description]
 Priority Mail
 [Reserved for Product Description]
 Outbound Priority Mail International
 [Reserved for Product Description]
 Inbound Air Parcel Post
 [Reserved for Product Description]
 Parcel Select
 [Reserved for Group Description]
 Parcel Return Service
 [Reserved for Group Description]
 International
 [Reserved for Group Description]
 International Priority Airlift (IPA)
 [Reserved for Product Description]
 International Surface Airlift (ISAL)
 [Reserved for Product Description]
 International Direct Sacks—M—Bags
 [Reserved for Product Description]
 Global Customized Shipping Services
 [Reserved for Product Description]
 International Money Transfer Service
 [Reserved for Product Description]

Inbound Surface Parcel Post (at non-UPU rates)
 [Reserved for Product Description]
 International Ancillary Services
 [Reserved for Product Description]
 International Certificate of Mailing
 [Reserved for Product Description]
 International Registered Mail
 [Reserved for Product Description]
 International Return Receipt
 [Reserved for Product Description]
 International Restricted Delivery
 [Reserved for Product Description]
 International Insurance
 [Reserved for Product Description]
 Negotiated Service Agreements
 [Reserved for Group Description]
 Domestic
 [Reserved for Product Description]
 Outbound International
 [Reserved for Group Description]

Part C—Glossary of Terms and Conditions
 [Reserved]

Part D—Country Price Lists for International Mail [Reserved]

[FR Doc. E9–19757 Filed 8–17–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R05–OAR–2009–0294; FRL–8944–7]

Approval of Implementation Plans of Michigan: Clean Air Interstate Rule

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is approving revisions to the Michigan State Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted on July 16, 2007, and on June 10, 2009. Together, the revisions address the requirements for an abbreviated Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) SIP. EPA is also providing notice that the December 20, 2007, conditional approval of the July 16, 2007, submittal automatically converted to a disapproval.

DATES: This direct final rule will be effective October 19, 2009, unless EPA receives adverse comments by September 17, 2009. If adverse comments are received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** informing the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R05–OAR–2009–0294, by one of the following methods:

1. <http://www.regulations.gov>: Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

2. *E-mail:* mooney.john@epa.gov.

3. *Fax:* (312) 692–2551.

4. *Mail:* John M. Mooney, Chief, Criteria Pollutant Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

5. *Hand Delivery:* John M. Mooney, Chief, Criteria Pollutant Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. Deliveries are only accepted during the regional office normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information. The regional office official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., excluding Federal holidays.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA–R05–OAR–2009–0294. EPA’s policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit through <http://www.regulations.gov> or e-mail, information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected. The <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site is an “anonymous access” system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through <http://www.regulations.gov>, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD–ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters and any form of encryption and should be free of any defects or viruses. For additional information about EPA’s public docket visit the EPA Docket Center homepage at <http://www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm>.

Docket: All documents in the electronic docket are listed in the <http://www.regulations.gov> index. Although listed in the index, some