

W12–140 on the ground floor of the West Building at the street address stated in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

Discussion

This document proposes adopting a new AD for Sikorsky Model S–92A helicopters. The AD would require revising the Limitations section of the RFM by clarifying that the Model S–92A helicopter was certificated as a transport category rotorcraft in both Categories A and B with different operating limitations for each category and must be operated accordingly. The Model S–92A helicopter was certificated to Category A requirements on December 17, 2002, and certificated to Category B requirements on May 7, 2004. When the Model S–92A is configured with 10 or more passenger seats, it is a Category A rotorcraft, and operators must follow the limitations for Category A. When it is configured with 9 or less passenger seats, it may be considered a Category B rotorcraft, and operators may follow the less stringent Category B limitations. The current limitation language in the RFM does not make a clear distinction between Categories A and B based on the seating configuration. We have received reports that some operators are inappropriately operating Model S–92A helicopters using Category B limitations when the helicopter is configured with 10 or more passenger seats. Operating this helicopter when configured with 10 or more passenger seats and adhering to the less stringent limitations for the Category B configuration approved for a 9 or less passenger seat configuration does not maintain the FAA required minimum level of safety. Therefore, we are proposing to change the Limitations section of the RFM to make it clear that this model helicopter is a Category A helicopter when configured with 10 or more passenger seats.

This unsafe condition is likely to exist or develop on other helicopters of the same type design. Therefore, the proposed AD would require revising the Limitations section of the RFM by replacing the wording in Part 1, Section 1, of the Operating Limitations under Types of Operation. Replace this wording: “Category ‘A’ and ‘B’, Day, Night, VFR and IFR, transport, with maximum seating for 19 passengers” with this wording: “Category ‘A’ with a maximum of 19 passenger seats or Category ‘B’ with 9 or less passenger seats; day, night, VFR and IFR.”

We estimate this proposed AD would affect about 65 helicopters of U.S. registry. Revising the RFM would result in minimal costs.

Regulatory Findings

We have determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. Additionally, this proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that the proposed regulation:

1. Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866;
2. Is not a “significant rule” under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and
3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency’s authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in subtitle VII, part A, subpart III, section 44701, “General requirements.” Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive to read as follows:

Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation: Docket No. FAA–2009–0559; Directorate Identifier 2008–SW–66–AD.

Applicability

Model S–92A helicopters, certificated in any category.

Compliance

Within the next 10 days, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent operating under less stringent requirements, do the following:

(a) Make the following pen and ink changes or insert a copy of this AD into the Limitations section of the Rotorcraft Flight Manual (RFM), Part 1, Section 1, of the Operating Limitations under Types of Operation:

Replace this wording:

“Category ‘A’ and ‘B’, Day, Night, VFR and IFR, transport, with maximum seating for 19 passengers”

With this wording:

“Category ‘A’ with a maximum of 19 passenger seats or Category ‘B’ with 9 or less passenger seats; Day, Night, VFR and IFR.”

(b) Making the change required by paragraph (a) of this AD is terminating action for the requirements of this AD.

(c) To request a different method of compliance or a different compliance time for this AD, follow the procedures in 14 CFR 39.19. Contact the Manager, Boston Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, ATTN: John Coffey, Flight Test Engineer, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803, telephone (781) 238–7161, fax (781) 238–7170, for information about previously approved alternative methods of compliance.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on June 15, 2009.

Mark R. Schilling,

Acting Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. E9–14356 Filed 6–18–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

16 CFR Part 437

Business Opportunity Rule

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission

ACTION: Extension of period to submit workshop comments.

SUMMARY: In a **Federal Register** notice published on April 24, 2009, 74 FR 18712, the FTC announced a workshop, to be held June 1, 2009, in the rulemaking proceeding to amend the Rule on Disclosure Requirements and Prohibitions Concerning Business Opportunities, 16 CFR Part 437 (“The Business Opportunity Rule”). Among other things, the Notice stated that

workshop comments would be accepted until June 15, 2009. In response to a request received on June 11, 2009, for an extension of the comment period, the Commission has extended the workshop comment period until June 29, 2009.

DATES: Workshop comments in the Business Opportunity Rule amendment proceeding must be received on or before June 29, 2009.

ADDRESSES: Comments should respectively refer to “Business Opportunity Rule Workshop—Comment, Project No. P084405. “A comment may be filed electronically or in paper form. Please note that your comment—including your name and your state—will be placed on the public record of this proceeding, including on the publicly accessible FTC Website, at (<http://www.ftc.gov/os/publiccomments.shtm>).

Because comments will be made public, they should not include any sensitive personal information, such as an individual’s Social Security Number; date of birth; driver’s license number or other state identification number, or foreign country equivalent; passport number; financial account number; or credit or debit card number. Comments also should not include any sensitive health information, such as medical records or other individually identifiable health information. In addition, comments should not include any “[t]rade secret or any commercial or financial information which is obtained from any person and which is privileged or confidential. . . .” as provided in Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act (“FTC Act”), 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and FTC Rule 4.10(a)(2), 16 CFR 4.10(a)(2). Comments containing material for which confidential treatment is requested must be filed in paper form, must be clearly labeled “Confidential,” and must comply with FTC Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c).¹

Because paper mail addressed to the FTC is subject to delay due to heightened security screening, please consider submitting your comments and requests to participate in electronic form. Comments filed in electronic form should be submitted by using the following weblink: (<https://secure.commentworks.com/ftc-businessopportunityworkshop>) (and following the instructions on the web-

based form). To ensure that the Commission considers an electronic comment, you must file it on the web-based form at the weblink (<https://secure.commentworks.com/ftc-businessopportunityworkshop>). If this Notice appears at (<http://www.regulations.gov/search/index.jsp>), you may also file an electronic comment through that website. The Commission will consider all comments that regulations.gov forwards to it. You may also visit the FTC Website at <http://www.ftc.gov/> to read the Notice and the news release describing it.

A comment filed in paper form should include the “Business Opportunity Rule Workshop—Comment, Project No. P084405” reference both in the text and on the envelope, and should be mailed or delivered, with two complete copies, to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Room H-135 (Annex S), 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20580. The FTC is requesting that any comment filed in paper form be sent by courier or overnight service, if possible, because U.S. postal mail in the Washington area and at the Commission is subject to delay due to heightened security precautions.

The FTC Act and other laws the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding as appropriate. The Commission will consider all timely and responsive public comments that it receives, whether filed in paper or electronic form. Comments received will be available to the public on the FTC Website, to the extent practicable, at (<http://www.ftc.gov/os/publiccomments.shtm>). As a matter of discretion, the Commission makes every effort to remove home contact information for individuals from the public comments it receives before placing those comments on the FTC Website. More information, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, may be found in the FTC’s privacy policy, at (<http://www.ftc.gov/ftc/privacy.shtm>).

Comments on any proposed filing, recordkeeping, or disclosure requirements that are subject to paperwork burden review under the Paperwork Reduction Act should additionally be submitted to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (“OMB”), Attention: Desk Officer for Federal Trade Commission. Comments should be submitted via facsimile to (202) 395-5167 because U.S. postal mail

at the OMB is subject to delays due to heightened security precautions.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kathleen Benway (202) 326-2024, Division of Marketing Practices, Bureau of Consumer Protection, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Room H-286, Washington, DC 20580.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION On April 24, 2009, the Commission announced in the **Federal Register** a workshop, to be held June 1, 2009, in the rulemaking proceeding to amend the Rule on Disclosure Requirements and Prohibitions Concerning Business Opportunities, 16 CFR Part 437 (“The Business Opportunity Rule”).² The announcement indicated that the workshop would focus on “issues relating to the effectiveness of the proposed revised Business Opportunities Disclosure Form attached to this Notice as a means of conveying material information to prospective purchasers of business opportunities.”³ The announcement further explained that, based on a consultant’s copy testing of an earlier version of the proposed a one-page pre-sale disclosure document, a revised version of the disclosure document, with improved clarity, readability, and understandability, was being proposed. The announcement stated that written comments would be accepted until June 15, 2009.

As indicated in the announcement, the workshop was conducted on June 1, 2009. On June 11, 2009, one of the panelists who had participated at the workshop requested a ten-day extension of the comment period.

The Commission believes that a brief extension of the comment period will not materially delay the completion of this rule amendment proceeding. Accordingly, the Commission has determined to extend the workshop comment period until June 29, 2009.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E9-14449 Filed 6-18-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-S

¹ The comment must be accompanied by an explicit request for confidential treatment, including the factual and legal basis for the request, and must identify the specific portions of the comment to be withheld from the public record. The request will be granted or denied by the Commission’s General Counsel, consistent with applicable law and the public interest. See FTC Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c).

² 74 Fed. Reg. 18712 (Apr. 24, 2009).

³ *Id.* at 18713.