## **DEPARTMENT OF STATE**

[Public Notice 6654]

60-Day Notice of Proposed Information Collection: DS-3013 and 3013-s, Application Under the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, OMB 1405-0076

**ACTION:** Notice of request for public comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of State is seeking Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval for the information collection described below. The purpose of this notice is to allow 60 days for public comment in the **Federal Register** preceding submission to OMB. We are conducting this process in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

- Title of Information Collection: Application Under the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction
  - OMB Control Number: 1405-0076
  - Type of Request: Revision
  - Originating Office: CA/OCS/PRI
  - Form Number: DS-3013, 3013-s
- Respondents: Person seeking return of or access to child
- Estimated Number of Respondents: 2,355
- Estimated Number of Responses: 2,355
- Average Hours per Response: 1 hour
  - Total Estimated Burden: 2,355
  - Frequency: On occasion
  - Obligation to Respond: Voluntary

**DATES:** The Department will accept comments from the public up to 60 days from August 7, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

- E-mail: ASKPRI@state.gov.
- Mail (paper, disk, or CĎ–ROM submissions): U.S. Department of State, CA/OCS/PRI, SA–29, 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20520
  - Fax: (202) 736–9111

You must include the DS form number (if applicable), information collection title, and OMB control number in any correspondence.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information regarding the collection listed in this notice, including requests for copies of the proposed information collection should be made to Derek A. Rivers, Bureau of Consular Affairs, Overseas Citizens Services (CA/OCS/PRI), U.S. Department of State, SA–29, 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20520, who may be reached on (202) 736–9082 or ASKPRI@state.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** We are soliciting public comments to permit the Department to:

- Evaluate whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of our functions.
- Evaluate the accuracy of our estimate of the burden of the proposed collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used.
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.
- Minimize the reporting burden on those who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of technology.

Abstract of proposed collection: The Application Under the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction (DS-3013 and DS 3013-s) is used by parents or legal guardians who are asking the State Department's assistance in seeking the return of, or access to, a child or children alleged to be wrongfully removed from or retained outside of the child's habitual residence and currently located in another country that is also party to the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction. The application requests information regarding the identities of the applicant, the child or children, and the person alleged to have wrongfully removed or retained the child or children. In addition, the application requires that the applicant provide the circumstances of the alleged wrongful removal or retention and the legal justification for the request for return or access. The State Department, as the U.S. Central Authority, uses this information to establish, if possible, the applicants' claims under the Convention; to advise applicants about available remedies under the Convention; and to provide the information necessary to the foreign Central Authority in its efforts to locate the child or children, and to facilitate return of or access to the child or children pursuant to the Convention.

Methodology: The completed form DS-3013 and DS 3013-s may be submitted to the Office of Children's Issues by mail, by fax, or electronically through http://www.travel.state.gov.

Dated: May 20, 2009.

# Mary Ellen Hickey,

Managing Director, Bureau of Consular Affairs, Department of State. [FR Doc. E9–13337 Filed 6–5–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-06-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF STATE**

[Public Notice 6657]

Notice of Availability of the Final Environmental Impact Statement; Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership ("Alberta Clipper Project")

**AGENCY:** Department of State. **ACTION:** Notice of Availability of the Final Environmental Impact Statement; Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership ("Alberta Clipper Project").

SUMMARY: Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership ("Enbridge") has applied to the Department of State for a Presidential Permit, pursuant to Executive Order 13337 of April 30, 2004, to construct, connect, operate, and maintain a 36-inch diameter crude oil and liquid hydrocarbon pipeline at the U.S.-Canadian border near Neche, Pembina County, North Dakota, for the purpose of transporting liquid hydrocarbons and other petroleum products between the United States and Canada. Enbridge seeks this authorization in connection with its Alberta Clipper Pipeline, which is designed to transport Canadian crude oil from the Western Canadian Sedimentary Basin ("WCSB") to existing refinery markets in the Midwest region of the United States. The Department of State has prepared a final **Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)** for the United States portion of the proposed Alberta Clipper Pipeline ("Proposed Project").

The Secretary of State is designated and empowered to receive all applications for Presidential Permits, as referred to in Executive Order 13337, as amended, for the construction, operation, and maintenance, at the borders of the United States, of facilities for the exportation or importation of petroleum, petroleum products, coal, or other fuels to or from a foreign country. Any person wishing to comment on the final EIS may do so. To ensure consideration prior to a Department of State decision on the Enbridge proposal, it is important that we receive comments no later than July 3, 2009.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The final EIS was prepared in conformity with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and to evaluate the potential environmental impacts of the United States portion of the proposed pipeline. The document also evaluates alternatives to the proposal, including system alternatives and pipeline route alternatives.

The federal cooperating agencies for the development of this EIS are: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; U.S. Department of Agriculture—Farm Service Agency, Natural Resources Conservation Service; U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs; and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. In addition, two Indian Bands are acting as cooperating agencies for this EIS: the Fond du Lac Band of Lake Superior Chippewa; and the Leech Lake Band of Ojibwe Indians. Cooperating agencies either have jurisdiction by law or special expertise with respect to the environmental impacts assessed in connection with the proposal and are involved in the Department's analysis of those environmental impacts.

The Alberta Clipper pipeline would be a new pipeline that would transport crude oil from Enbridge's existing facilities in Hardisty, Alberta, Canada to its existing terminal in Superior, Wisconsin. From there, the liquid hydrocarbons would be transported to Midwestern markets, the eastern United States and Canada, and the Midcontinent and U.S. Gulf markets. Crude oil would be transported to markets in the Midwest via Enbridge's Lakehead System, which is currently being expanded, and potentially through pipelines that may be constructed in the future. The pipeline would be designed to transport an average crude oil volume of approximately 450,000 bpd.

The Proposed Project would consist of approximately 326.9 miles of new 36inch-diameter pipeline and associated facilities installed primarily within or adjacent to existing Enbridge pipeline corridors. The Proposed Project also would require new construction at existing pump stations and construction of delivery facilities and mainline valves. The pipeline would have the capacity to deliver an average of 450,000 barrels per day (bpd) of heavy crude oil from a supply hub near Hardisty to an existing terminal in Superior. In Canada, the pipeline includes approximately 673 miles of new pipeline and associated facilities from Hardisty to the U.S./Canada border near Neche, North Dakota. The Canadian portion of the Alberta Clipper pipeline system has been approved by the Canadian National Energy Board and other Canadian reviewing entities, and is under construction.

Approximately 82 percent of the proposed pipeline route in the United States would be within or adjacent to an existing Enbridge pipeline corridor. The existing corridor houses six pipelines between the U.S./Canada border and Clearbrook, Minnesota (including the Southern Lights LSr Project pipeline

which began construction in summer 2008), and four existing pipelines between Clearbrook and Superior. The existing pipelines transport crude oil or petroleum products. A fifth pipeline has been proposed for the corridor between Clearbrook and Superior, and Enbridge proposes to construct it concurrently with the Alberta Clipper Project pipeline.

Enbridge proposes to begin construction activities for the Project in summer 2009, with a planned in-service date of early 2010, subject to receipt of all necessary permits, approvals, and authorizations pursuant to DOS regulations (40 CFR 1500–1508 and 22 CFR 161).

As a separate but connected action to the Proposed Project, Enbridge is proposing to construct, operate, and maintain the Superior Terminal Expansion Project. This project would consist of five new 250,000-barrel storage tanks and associated piping and facilities at the existing Enbridge terminal. The Superior Terminal Expansion Project is not part of the Presidential Permit Application submitted to DOS and would be permitted separately from the Alberta Clipper Project.

Construction of the Proposed Project would generally require a 140-foot-wide construction right-of-way to allow temporary storage of topsoil and spoil and to accommodate safe operation of construction equipment. Enbridge would retain a portion of the construction right-of-way in order to maintain a 75-feet right-of-way from the current outermost pipeline.

The Proposed Project would not require construction of new pump stations. Mainline valves would be installed along the pipeline to limit the volume of a spill if one were to occur. Enbridge conducted an analysis to determine the most appropriate locations for mainline valves in compliance with the requirements of 49 CFR Part 195. Construction of the pipeline would require establishment of rail unloading sites for delivery of pipe and contractor/pipe storage yards at locations near the construction right-ofway to temporarily store pipe and the contractor's vehicles, equipment, tools, and other construction-related items. Rail unloading areas would be located adjacent to railroad sidings. Enbridge would need to gain access to the construction right-of-way during pipeline installation and the permanent right-of-way during operation. In general, Enbridge would use existing public roads to gain access to the construction right-of-way as much as possible. In areas where public roads are limited, existing privately owned roads may be used for access, provided that Enbridge receives permission from the landowners. If neither public nor privately owned roads are available, Enbridge would construct new access roads that typically would be approximately 10 to 30 feet wide.

U.S. States and counties that could possibly be affected by construction of

the proposed pipeline are:
• North Dakota: Pembina;

• Minnesota: Kittson, Marshall, Pennington, Red Lake, Polk, Clearwater, Beltrami, Hubbard, Cass, Itasca, Aitkin, St. Louis, Carlton; and

• Wisconsin: Douglas.

Comment Procedures and Public Meetings: Any person wishing to comment on the final EIS may do so. To ensure consideration prior to a Department of State decision on the proposal, it is important that we receive your comments no later than July 3, 2009.

Options for submitting comments on the Final EIS are as follows:

• By mail to: Elizabeth Orlando, Alberta Clipper Project Manager, U.S. Department of State, OES/ENV Room 2657, Washington, DC 20520.

Please note that Department of State mail can be delayed due to security screening.

- Fax to: (202) 647–5947, attention Elizabeth Orlando.
  - E-mail to:

albertaclipperEIS@state.gov.

• Comment over the Internet via the Alberta Clipper EIS Web site: http://www.albertaclipper.state.gov.

Comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available on-line at http://www.albertaclipper.state.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the commenter indicates that the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI, or otherwise protected, through e-mail. If you send a comment by e-mail, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, we recommend that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If we cannot read your comment because of technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, we may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic

comments should avoid the use of any special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

Copies of the final EIS have been mailed to interested federal, tribal, state and local agencies; public interest groups; individuals and affected landowners who requested a copy of the final EIS or provided comments during the scoping process; libraries; newspapers; and other stakeholders.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Enbridge application for a Presidential Permit, including associated maps and drawings, the final EIS; a list of libraries where the final EIS may be reviewed; and other project information is available for viewing and download at the project Web site: http://www.albertaclipper.state.gov.

For information on the proposed project or the final EIS contact Elizabeth Orlando, OES/ENV Room 2657, U.S. Department of State, Washington, DC 20520, or by telephone (202) 647–4284, or by fax at (202) 647–5947.

#### Daniel Fantozzi,

Director, Bureau of Oceans and International Environmental and Scientific Affairs/Office of Environmental Policy, Department of State. [FR Doc. E9–13335 Filed 6–5–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-07-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF STATE**

[Public Notice 6656]

Issuance of an Amended Presidential Permit Authorizing the Construction, Operation, and Maintenance of an International Bridge Near McAllen, TX, at the International Boundary Between the United States and Mexico

**SUMMARY:** At the request of the permittee, the Department of State has amended the Presidential permit, originally issued in 1999, that authorizes the Cities of McAllen, Hidalgo, and Mission, Texas to construct, operate, and maintain an international bridge known as "Anzalduas Bridge" near McAllen, Texas, at the international boundary between the United States and Mexico. The amendment removes an article in the original permit that limited initial operating hours of the bridge to twelve hours per day. With this restriction removed, the Department of Homeland Security's Bureau of Customs and Border Patrol, working with local and Mexican authorities, can set the bridge's hours of operation in accordance with demand and available resources. In making its determination to amend the permit, the Department provided public

notice of the proposed amendment and provided the opportunity for comment (74 FR 12173, March 23, 2009) and also consulted with other federal agencies, as required by Executive Order 11423, as amended.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Daniel Darrach, U.S.-Mexico Border Affairs Coordinator, via e-mail at WHA-BorderAffairs@state.gov; by phone at 202–647–9894; or by mail at Office of Mexican Affairs—Room 3909, Department of State, 2201 C St., NW., Washington, DC 20520. Information about Presidential permits is available on the Internet at http://www.state.gov/p/wha/rt/permit/.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The following is the text of the amended permit:

By virtue of the authority vested in me as Acting Assistant Secretary of State for the Bureau of Economic, Energy, and Business Affairs under Executive Order 11423, 33 FR 11741 (1963), as amended by Executive Order 12847 of May 17, 1993, 58 FR 29511 (1993), Executive Order 13284 of January 23, 2003, 68 FR 4075 (2003), and Executive Order 13337 of April 30, 2004, 69 FR 25299 (2004), and Department of State Delegation of Authority 322 of January 16, 2009; having considered the environmental effects of the proposed action in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (83 Stat. 852; 42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and other statutes relating to environmental concerns; having considered the proposed action in accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (80 Stat. 917, 16 U.S.C. 470f et seq.); and having requested and received the views of various of the federal departments and other interested persons; I hereby grant permission, subject to the conditions herein set forth, to the Cities of McAllen, Hidalgo, and Mission, Texas (hereinafter referred to as "permittee"), to construct, operate, and maintain an international vehicular and pedestrian bridge southwest of McAllen, Texas, and adjacent to Reynosa, Tamaulipas, Mexico.

The term "facilities" as used in this permit means the bridge, its approaches and any land, structure or installations appurtenant thereto, as set forth in Alternative #3 in the "Anzalduas International Crossing U.S.-Side Improvements, Final Environmental Assessment" dated March 1996, as amended and supplemented by the Department of State (the "EA").

The term "United States facilities" as used in this permit means that part of the facilities in the United States.

This permit is subject to the following conditions:

Article 1. The United States facilities herein described, and all aspects of their operation, shall be subject to the conditions, provisions, and requirements of this permit or any amendment thereof; further that this permit may be terminated at the will of the Secretary of State or the Secretary's delegate or may be amended by the Secretary of State or the Secretary's delegate at will or upon proper application therefore; further that the permittee shall make no substantial change in the location of the United States facilities or in the operation authorized by this permit until such changes have been approved by the Secretary of State or the Secretary's delegate.

Article 2. (1) Standards for, and the manner of, the construction, operation, and maintenance of the United States facilities shall be subject to inspection and approval by the representatives of appropriate federal or state agencies. The permittee shall allow duly authorized officers and employees of such agencies free and unrestricted access to said facilities in the performance of their official duties.

(2) Approval of the United States Coast Guard in conformity with Section 5 of the International Bridge Act of 1972 (33 U.S.C. 535c), by virtue of authority delegated to the Commandant, U.S. Coast Guard by Section 1.46(q) of Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, shall be obtained prior to initiation of construction.

Article 3. The permittee shall comply with all federal and state laws and regulations regarding the construction, operation, and maintenance of the United States facilities, and with all applicable industrial codes.

Article 4. Upon the termination, revocation, or surrender of this permit, and unless otherwise agreed by the Secretary of State or the Secretary's delegate, the United States facilities in the immediate vicinity of the international boundary shall be removed by and at the expense of the permittee within such time as the Secretary of State or the Secretary's delegate may specify, and upon failure of the permittee to remove this portion of the United States facilities as ordered, the Secretary of State or the Secretary's delegate may direct that possession of such facilities be taken and that they be removed at the expense of the permittee; and the permittee shall have no claim for damages by reason of such possession or removal.

Article 5. If, in the future, it should appear to the United States Coast Guard