your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Any adverse comments will be reviewed by the BLM Alaska State Director, who may sustain, vacate, or modify this realty action.

In the absence of any adverse comments, the classification and decision to lease the land as described in the notice will become effective on August 3, 2009.

(Authority: 43 CFR 2741.5)

#### William Runnoe,

Glennallen Field Manager.

[FR Doc. E9–13010 Filed 6–3–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-JA-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

[OMB Number 1122-0001]

## Office on Violence Against Women; Agency Information Collection Activities: Revision of a Currently Approved Collection

ACTION: 60-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review: Certification of Compliance with the Statutory Eligibility Requirements of the Violence Against Women Act as Amended for Applicants to the STOP (Services\* Training\* Officers\* Prosecutors) Violence Against Women Formula Grant Program.

The Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for "sixty days" until August 3, 2009. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395–5806.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies

concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

# Overview of This Information Collection

(1) Type of Information Collection: Revision of a currently approved collection

(2) Title of the Form/Collection: Certification of Compliance with the Statutory Eligibility Requirements of the Violence Against Women Act as Amended for Applicants to the STOP Formula Grant Program

(3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection: Form Number: 1122–0001. U.S. Department of Justice, Office on

Violence Against Women (4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: The affected public includes STOP formula grantees (50 states, the District of Columbia and five territories (Guam, Puerto Rico, American Samoa, Virgin Islands, Northern Mariana Islands)). The STOP Violence Against Women Formula Grant Program was authorized through the Violence Against Women Act of 1994 and reauthorized and amended by the Violence Against Women Act of 2000 and the Violence Against Women Act of 2005. The purpose of the STOP Formula Grant Program is to promote a coordinated, multi-disciplinary approach to improving the criminal justice system's response to violence against women. It envisions a partnership among law enforcement, prosecution, courts, and victim advocacy organizations to enhance

victim safety and hold offenders

accountable for their crimes of violence against women. The Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) administers the STOP Formula Grant Program funds which must be distributed by STOP state administrators according to statutory formula (as amended by VAWA 2000 and VAWA 2005).

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply: It is estimated that it will take the approximately 56 respondents (state administrators from the STOP Formula Grant Program) less than one hour to complete a Certification of Compliance with the Statutory Eligibility Requirements of the Violence Against Women Act, as Amended.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: The total annual hour burden to complete the Certification is less than 56 hours.

If additional information is required contact: Lynn Bryant, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Suite 1600, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: June 1, 2009.

## Lynn Bryant,

Department Clearance Officer, PRA, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. E9–13082 Filed 6–3–09; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4410–FX–P** 

# **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

#### Notice of Lodging of Amended Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on May 22, 2009, an Amended Consent Decree in *United States* v. *Northrop Grumman Space & Mission Systems Corp.*, et al., Civil Action No. 09–0866, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

The Amended Consent Decree is nearly identical to the Consent Decree that was lodged by the United States in February of 2009, on behalf of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), and the California Department of Toxic Substances Control ("DTSC") under Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607, et seq., and Section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as

amended, 42 U.S.C. 6973, related to the releases and threatened releases of hazardous substances at the Puente Valley Operable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Area 4 Superfund Site ("Site") in Los Angeles County, California. The only differences between the Amended Consent Decree and the original are the addition of two entities related to Northrop Grumman Space & Mission Systems Corp. ("Northrop" or "Performing Settling Defendant"), and some minor clerical edits.

The Amended Consent Decree, like the Consent Decree that was lodged in February of 2009, resolves the liability of the Performing Settling Defendant and 43 cashout parties associated with 17 source properties and their related entities ("Contributing Settling Defendants") with respect to the groundwater contamination and its investigation and treatment as set forth in the Interim Record of Decision, as modified by the Explanation of

Significant Differences.

The Amended Consent Decree requires the Performing Settling Defendant, on behalf of all of the Settling Defendants, to construct the intermediate zone remedy to address groundwater contamination and operate it for eight years from the operational and functional date of the groundwater treatment system for the intermediate zone at an estimated cost of \$21 million, pay \$465,420.90 to EPA for past costs, and pay \$90,000 to DTSC for past response costs. The Performing Settling Defendant represents that between 2002 and June 30, 2007, it incurred costs in excess of seven million dollars (\$7 million) to implement the intermediate zone remedial action in compliance with Unilateral Administrative Order No. 2002–06 issued on March 21, 2002, pending negotiations of the Consent Decree. Settling Defendants who currently own source properties within the PVOU are required to provide access and all of the Settling Defendants are required to retain records and provide EPA access to information. The Amended Consent Decree gives all Settling Defendants a covenant not to sue. The Amended Consent Decree reserves the United States' right to sue the Settling Defendants for the final Record of Decision and is subject to standard reopeners and reservations of rights.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the Amended Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed

to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to United States v. Northrop Grumman Space & Mission Systems Corp., D.J. Ref. 90–11–2–354/16. Commenters may request an opportunity for a public meeting in the affected area, in accordance with Section 7003 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6973(d).

The Amended Consent Decree may be examined at U.S. EPA Region IX at 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105. During the public comment period, the Amended Consent Decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/ Consent Decrees.html. A copy of the Amended Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$95.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if by e-mail or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

#### Maureen Katz,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. E9–13018 Filed 6–3–09; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4410–15–P** 

# **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, the Toxic Substances Control Act and the Clean Air Act

Notice is hereby given that on May 29, 2009, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States* v. *Friction Holdings LLC,* Civ. No. 09–662, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana.

The proposed Consent Decree resolved the United States claims against Friction Holdings under the Clean Air Act ("CAA") 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq., the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA") 42 U.S.C. 6901 et seq., the Clean Water Act ("CWA"), 33 U.S.C. § 1251 et seq., and the Toxic Substances Control Act ("TSCA"), 15 U.S.C. 2601–2692, in connection with Friction's operation of an automotive

and heavy duty wet friction material and parts manufacturing facility in Crawfordsville, Indiana.

Under the proposed Decree, Friction Holdings would be required to: (1) Pay a civil penalty of \$337,500; (2) prepare and implement, under the Clean Water Act, various sampling, monitoring, and operations plans, to insure that cyanide in the facility's waste water is being handled properly; (3) pursuant to RCRA, investigate the facility's groundwater to determine if the groundwater is contaminated with PCBs and other hazardous substance, and if so whether the migration of the contaminated groundwater is under control; (4) pursuant to RCRA, remediate two small areas of suspected PCB contamination; (5) pursuant to TSCA, eliminate several sources of PCB contamination at the facility, and study the need for, and conduct where required, risked-based disposals or remediation of on-Facility PCB contamination. Prior to entering into the Decree, the Defendant brought the facility into compliance with the Clean Air Act and resolved the allegations in the Complaint pertaining to violations of that statute.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, **Environment and Natural Resources** Division, and either e- mailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to United States v. Friction Holdings LLC, D.J. Ref. 90-5-2-1-07285. Commenters may request an opportunity for a public meeting in the affected area, in accordance with Section 7003(d) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6973(d).

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of Indiana, 10 West Market St., Suite 2100, Indianapolis, IN 46204 (contact Asst. U.S. Attorney Thomas Kieper (317-226-6333)), and at U.S. EPA Region 5, 7th Floor Records Center, 77 West Jackson Blvd., Chicago, Illinois 60604 (contact U.S. EPA Senior Attorney Thomas Kenney (312–886– 0708, or U.S. EPA Assistant Regional Counsel Robert Smith, (312–886–0765)). During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/ Consent Decrees.html. A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of