

available at USPTO facilities and also can be accessed at the USPTO Web site. Additionally, the USPTO provides the information to other entities, including Patent and Trademark Depository Libraries (PTDLs). The PTDLs maintain the information for use by the public.

Affected Public: Individuals or households; business or other for-profit; not-for-profit institutions.

Frequency: On occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain benefits.

OMB Desk Officer: Nicholas A. Fraser, e-mail: Nicholas.A.Fraser@omb.eop.gov.

Once submitted, the request will be publicly available in electronic format through the Information Collection Review page at <http://www.reginfo.gov>.

Paper copies can be obtained by:

- E-mail: Susan.Fawcett@uspto.gov.

Include "0651-0055 Post Registration (Trademark Processing) copy request" in the subject line of the message.

- Fax: 571-273-0112, marked to the attention of Susan K. Fawcett.

- Mail: Susan K. Fawcett, Records Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Administrative Management Group, United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent on or before June 1, 2009 to Nicholas A. Fraser, OMB Desk Officer, via e-mail at Nicholas.A.Fraser@omb.eop.gov or by fax to (202) 395-5167, marked to the attention of Nicholas A. Fraser.

Susan K. Fawcett,

Records Officer, USPTO, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Administrative Management Group.

[FR Doc. E9-9908 Filed 4-29-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-16-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Institute of Standards and Technology

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Manufacturing Extension Partnership (MEP) Management Information Reporting

AGENCY: National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information

collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before June 29, 2009.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at dHynek@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection tools and instructions should be directed to, Kenneth P. Voytek, National Institute of Standards and Technology—Manufacturing Extension Partnership, 100 Bureau Drive, Stop 4800, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-4800, 301-975-4614 (phone). In addition, written comments may be sent via e-mail to kenneth.voytek@nist.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

Sponsored by NIST, the Manufacturing Extension Partnership (MEP) is a national network of locally based manufacturing extension centers working with small manufacturers to assist them improve their productivity, improve profitability and enhance their economic competitiveness. The information collected will provide the MEP with information regarding MEP Center performance regarding the delivery of technology, and business solutions to U.S.-based manufacturers. The collected information will assist in determining the performance of the MEP Centers at both local and national levels, provide information critical to monitoring and reporting on MEP programmatic performance, and assist management in policy decisions. Responses to the collection of information are mandatory per the regulations governing the operation of the MEP Program (15 CFR parts 290, 291, 292, and H.R. 1274—section 2). The information collected will include center inputs and activities including services delivered, clients served, center staff, quarterly expenses and revenues, partners and affiliates, strategic plan, operating plans, and client success stories. No confidentiality for information submitted is promised or provided.

II. Method of Collection

Web forms will be used to collect and analyze the wide range of information from the MEP Centers.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0693-0032.

Form Number: None.

Type of Review: Regular submission.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit organizations.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 59.

Estimated Time per Response: 120 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 7,080.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$389,400.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: April 24, 2009.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. E9-9832 Filed 4-29-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-475-703]

Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin From Italy: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On March 31, 2009, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on granular polytetrafluoroethylene resin ("PTFE") from Italy, covering the period August 1, 2006, through July 31, 2007. See *Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin From Italy: Final Results of*

Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 74 FR 14519 (March 31, 2009) (“*Final Results*”). We are amending the *Final Results* to correct ministerial errors in the calculation of the weighted average margin and the assessment rate applicable to entries by the respondent to this proceeding, Solvay Solexis S.p.A. and Solvay Solexis, Inc. (collectively, “Solvay”), pursuant to section 751(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), and 19 CFR 351.224(e).

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 30, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Yasmin Nair or Nancy Decker, at (202) 482-3813 or (202) 482-0196, respectively; AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 31, 2009, Solvay submitted a timely allegation of ministerial errors pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(c)(1). First, Solvay alleged that the Department did not incorporate minor corrections from verification into U.S. duty expenses and U.S. brokerage expenses for individual U.S. sales observations. Second, Solvay alleged that the Department erred in its re-calculation of the research and development (“R&D”) expenses that pertain to Solvay’s general and administrative (“G&A”) expense ratio. See Memorandum from Ernest Z. Gziryan, Senior Accountant, to Neal M. Halper, Director, Office of Accounting, “Cost of Production and Constructed Value Calculation Adjustments for the Final Results – Solvay Solexis S.p.A.” (March 23, 2009) at Attachment 5.

On April 1, 2009, the petitioner to this proceeding, E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Company (“petitioner”), submitted a rebuttal to Solvay’s ministerial error allegations. The petitioner did not comment on the alleged errors in the individual sales observations. However, the petitioner argued that the Department should reject Solvay’s allegation regarding R&D expenses because the alleged error is methodological, not ministerial, in nature. Moreover, the petitioner asserted that the Department did not err in the R&D expense re-calculation. The petitioner claimed that Solvay’s proposed correction would understate the R&D expenses that are attributable to the merchandise under review.

Amended Final Results of Review

A ministerial error, as defined in section 751(h) of the Act, “includes

errors in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical error resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other type of unintentional error which the Secretary considers ministerial.” See also 19 CFR 351.224(f). After analyzing Solvay’s allegation, we have determined, in accordance with section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(e), that the Department made ministerial errors in the final results by not revising U.S. duty and brokerage expenses for the individual sales in question. However, for the allegation regarding the R&D expense portion of the G&A expense ratio, we find that Solvay’s allegation is methodological, not ministerial, in nature. Thus, we have made no changes to the R&D expense portion of Solvay’s G&A expenses. For additional explanation, see the Memorandum from PTFE Team to Susan Kuhbach, Director, Office 1: Ministerial Error Allegations (April 24, 2009).

Therefore, we are amending the final results of administrative review of PTFE from Italy for the period August 1, 2006, through July 31, 2007, to include the revised U.S. movement and brokerage expenses for the sales observations at issue. The revised weighted-average percentage dumping margin for Solvay is now 79.45 percent.

Assessment Rate

The Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries based on the amended final results. For details on the assessment of antidumping duties on all appropriate entries, see the *Final Results*.

The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the amended final results of the administrative review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit rates will be effective retroactively on any entries made on or after March 31, 2009, the date of publication of the *Final Results*, for all shipments of PTFE from Italy entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate listed above for Solvay will be the rate established in the amended final results of this review, except if a rate is less than 0.5 percent, and therefore *de minimis*, the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will

continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value (“LTFV”) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rate will be 46.46 percent, the “all others” rate established in the LTFV investigation. See *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin From Italy*, 53 FR 26096 (July 11, 1988). These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred, and in the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is also the reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Disclosure

We will disclose the calculations performed for these amended final results within five days of the date of publication of this notice to interested parties in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 24, 2009.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import
Administration.

[FR Doc. E9-10000 Filed 4-29-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-552-801]

Certain Frozen Fish Fillets From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Notice of Partial Rescission of the Fifth Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (“Department”) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain frozen fish fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (“Vietnam”). See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Frozen Fish Fillets From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam*, 68 FR 47909 (August 12, 2003) (“Order”). On September 30, 2008, the Department initiated an antidumping duty administrative review on certain frozen fish fillets from Vietnam. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 73 FR 56795 (September 30, 2008). The Department initiated this review with respect to 18 companies. The period of review is August 1, 2007, through July 31, 2008. The preliminary results of this administrative review are currently due no later than May 3, 2009. On October 30, 2008, Vinh Quang Fisheries Corporation withdrew its request for review. On December 22, 2008, Anvifish Co., Ltd. (“Anvifish”) withdrew its request for a review. On October 17, 2008, Petitioners¹ withdrew their request for review with respect to 12 companies, including Vinh Quang Fisheries Corporation. Following Anvifish’s withdrawal, on December 23, 2008, Petitioners withdrew their request for review with respect to Anvifish.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 30, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alan Ray and Javier Barrientos, Office 9,

AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5403 and (202) 482-2243, respectively.

Partial Rescission of Review

The applicable regulation, 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), states that if a party that requested an administrative review withdraws the request within 90 days of the publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review, the Secretary will rescind the review. Petitioners withdrew their review request with respect to 13 exporters of subject merchandise within the 90-day deadline, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). Respondents Vinh Quang Fisheries Corporation and Anvifish also withdrew their respective requests for review within the 90-day deadline.

Therefore, we are partially rescinding this review with respect to the following 13 companies, because all requesting parties for these companies timely withdrew the requests for review: An Xuyen Co., Ltd.; Asia Commerce Fisheries Joint Stock Company (aka Acomfish JSC); Ben Tre Forestry Aquaproduct Import-Export Company (aka FAQUIMEX); Binh An Seafood Joint Stock Co.; Hiep Thanh Seafood Joint Stock Co.; Hung Vuong Corporation; Nam Viet Company Limited (aka NAVICO); Phuong Nam Co., Ltd.; Da Nang Seaproducts Import-Export Corporation (aka Da Nang or Seaprodex Danang); Southern Fishery Industries Company, Ltd. (aka South Vina); Thien Ma Seafood Co., Ltd.; Vinh Quang Fisheries Corporation; and Anvifish Co., Ltd.

Assessment Rates

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For those companies for which this review has been rescinded and which have a separate rate from a prior segment of this proceeding, antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(2). Accordingly, the Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice for the following companies: Binh An Seafood Joint Stock Co.; Phuong Nam Co., Ltd.; Da Nang or Seaprodex Danang Southern Fishery Industries Company,

Ltd.; Vinh Quang Fisheries Corporation; and Anvifish Co., Ltd.

The Department cannot order liquidation for companies which, although they are no longer under review as a separate entity, may still be under review as part of the Vietnam-wide entity. Therefore, the Department cannot, at this time, order liquidation of entries for the following companies: An Xuyen Co., Ltd.; Acomfish JSC; FAQUIMEX; Hiep Thanh Seafood Joint Stock Co.; Hung Vuong Corporation; NAVICO; or Thien Ma Seafood Co., Ltd. The Department intends to issue liquidation instructions for the Vietnam-wide entities 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers for whom this review is being rescinded, as of the publication date of this notice, of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding APOs

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: April 24, 2009.

John M. Andersen,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for
Antidumping and Countervailing Duty
Operations.

[FR Doc. E9-9999 Filed 4-29-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

¹ The Catfish Famers of America and individual U.S. catfish processors, America’s Catch, Consolidated Catfish Companies, LLC dba Country Select Catfish, Delta Pride Catfish, Inc., Harvest Select Catfish, Inc., Heartland Catfish Company, Pride of the Pond, Simmons Farm Raised Catfish, Inc., and Southern Pride Catfish Company LLC (“Petitioners”).