

materially injured, or threatened with material injury, by reason of imports or sales (or the likelihood of sales) for importation of the subject merchandise within 45 days of this final determination. If the ITC determines that material injury or threat of material injury does not exist, the proceeding will be terminated and all securities posted will be refunded or canceled. If the ITC determines that such injury does exist, the Department will issue an antidumping duty order directing CBP to assess upon further instruction by the Department antidumping duties on all imports of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the effective date of the suspension of liquidation.

#### Notification Regarding APO

This notice also serves as a reminder to the parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation. This determination and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 23, 2009.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

#### Appendix I

##### Parties' Comments

*Comment 1:* Whether Huludao Pipe Could Have Reported Steel Consumption on a More Product-Specific Basis

*Comment 2:* Whether Huludao Pipe Could Have Reported the Consumption of Paint, Thinner, and Packing Labor on a More Product-Specific Basis

*Comment 3:* The Department's Valuation of Huludao Pipe's Water Consumption

*Comment 4:* Huludao Pipe's Reported Steel By-Product Quantity

*Comment 5:* Whether Huludao Pipe's Reported Scrap Steel Offset Should be Reduced by Transportation Costs

*Comment 6:* Application of Warehousing Grace Period

*Comment 7:* Reported Days in Warehouse

*Comment 8:* Calculation of Warehousing Volume

*Comment 9:* Whether the Date of the Commercial Invoice Is the Proper Date of Sale

*Comment 10:* Scrap Surrogate Value

*Comment 11:* Eligibility of Pangang Group Beihai Steel Pipe Corporation for a Separate Rate

*Comment 12:* Applying Adverse Facts Available to Non-Responsive Companies

*Comment 13:* Selection of Surrogate Financial Statements

*Comment 14:* Whether the Imposition of Both Countervailing and Antidumping Duties Constitutes the Double Counting of Duties

[FR Doc. E9-7093 Filed 3-30-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### International Trade Administration

##### Childrens Hospital, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscopes

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, as amended by Pub. L. 106-36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 3705, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue., NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 09-001. Applicant: Childrens Hospital, Los Angeles, CA 90027. Instrument: Transmission Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI Company, Czech Republic. Intended Use: See notice at 74 FR 8503, February 25, 2009.

Docket Number: 09-002. Applicant: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Denver, CO 80202. Instrument: Transmission Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: JEOL, Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 74 FR 8503, February 25, 2009.

Docket Number: 09-003. Applicant: U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Laurel, MD 20708. Instrument: Transmission Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: JEOL Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 74 FR 8503, February 25, 2009.

*Comments:* None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were ordered. Reasons: Each foreign instrument is an electron microscope and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring an electron

microscope. We know of no electron microscope, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of each instrument.

Dated: March 23, 2009.

**Christopher Cassel,**

*Acting Director, Subsidies Enforcement Office, Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E9-7222 Filed 3-30-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### International Trade Administration

[C-570-931]

##### Circular Welded Austenitic Stainless Pressure Pipe from the People's Republic of China: Correction to Countervailing Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* March 31, 2009.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Robert Copyak, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Room 4014, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2209.

##### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Correction

On March 19, 2009, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice of countervailing duty order on circular welded austenitic stainless pressure pipe from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). See *Circular Welded Austenitic Stainless Pressure Pipe from the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order*, 74 FR 11712 (March 19, 2009) ("CVD Order"). Subsequent to the publication of the CVD Order in the **Federal Register**, we identified an inadvertent error.

The notice states that on March 11, 2009, the United States International Trade Commission (ITC) notified the Department of its final affirmative determination of material injury. This is a typographical error. The Department received the ITC's notification of its final affirmative determination of material injury on March 12, 2009.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 777(i) and 706(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: March 24, 2009.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E9-7230 Filed 3-30-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-475-703]

#### Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin From Italy: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On September 22, 2008, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on granular polytetrafluoroethylene resin from Italy, covering the period August 1, 2006, through July 31, 2007. We invited interested parties to comment on these preliminary results. Based on our analysis of the comments received and the results of verification, we have made changes to the margin calculation. The final weighted-average dumping margin for the reviewed firm is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 31, 2009.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Yasmin Nair or Nancy Decker, at (202) 482-3813 or (202) 482-0196, respectively; AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14<sup>th</sup> Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On September 22, 2008, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on granular polytetrafluoroethylene ("PTFE") resin from Italy. *See Amended Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin From Italy*, 73 FR 54557 (September 22, 2008) ("Preliminary Results").

From October 13 through October 17, 2008, we verified Solvay Solexis S.p.A.'s sales response. We issued a report for this verification on December 11, 2008. *See Memorandum from Shane*

Subler and Alicia Winston, International Trade Compliance Analysts, to Susan H. Kuhbach, Director, Office 1, "Verification of the Sales Response of Solvay Solexis S.p.A. in the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from Italy," (December 11, 2008) ("Home Market Verification Report"). From November 13 through November 19, 2008, we verified Solvay Solexis S.p.A.'s cost response. We issued a report for this verification on January 6, 2009. *See Memorandum from Ernest Z. Gziryman, Senior Accountant, to Neal M. Halper, Director, Office of Accounting, "Verification of the Cost of Production and Constructed Value Data Submitted by Solvay Solexis S.p.A. in the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene ("PTFE") Resin from Italy," (January 6, 2009) ("Cost Verification Report")*. On October 27 and 28, 2008, we verified Solvay Solexis, Incorporated's constructed export price ("CEP") sales response. *See Memorandum from Shane Subler and Alicia Winston, International Trade Compliance Analysts, to Susan H. Kuhbach, Director, Office 1, "Verification of the Sales Response of Solvay Solexis, Inc. in the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from Italy," (January 9, 2009) ("CEP Verification Report")*. In this notice, we refer to the three reports collectively as the "Verification Reports."

We invited parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. On January 26, 2009, we received case briefs from E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Company ("the petitioner") and Solvay Solexis, Inc. and Solvay Solexis S.p.A. (collectively, "Solvay"). On January 29, 2009, we received rebuttal briefs from the petitioner and Solvay. On January 26, 2009, Solvay requested a public hearing; the hearing was held at the Department of Commerce on February 2, 2009. A record of the February 2, 2009, hearing is available in the Central Records Unit ("CRU"), Room 1117 of the main Department building.

On January 9, 2009, we extended the time limit for the final results of this administrative review, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). *See Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin From Italy: Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 74 FR 885 (January 9, 2009).

#### Scope of the Order

The product covered by the order is granular PTFE resin, filled or unfilled. The order also covers PTFE wet raw polymer exported from Italy to the United States. *See Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin From Italy: Final Affirmative Determination of Circumvention of Antidumping Duty Order*, 58 FR 26100 (April 30, 1993). The order excludes PTFE dispersions in water and fine powders. During the period covered by this review, such merchandise was classified under item number 3904.61.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). We are providing this HTSUS number for convenience and U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") purposes only. The written description of the scope remains dispositive.

#### Cost of Production

Consistent with the *Preliminary Results*, we disregarded home-market sales by Solvay that failed the cost-of-production test.

#### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" ("Decision Memorandum") from John M. Andersen, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated March 23, 2009, which is hereby adopted by this notice. Attached to this notice, as an appendix, is a list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded in the Decision Memorandum. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this memorandum, which is on file in the CRU, Room 1117 of the main Department building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Import Administration website at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/index.html>. The paper copy and the electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

#### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

First, we revised the calculations from the *Preliminary Results* to account for minor corrections that Solvay submitted during the home market and CEP sales verifications. We revised the following fields in Solvay's home market sales database: packing and indirect selling expenses. We revised the following fields in Solvay's U.S. market sales