

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 97.022, "Flood Insurance.")

Dated: February 8, 2008.

**David I. Maurstad,**

*Federal Insurance Administrator of the National Flood Insurance Program, Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency.*

[FR Doc. E8-3366 Filed 2-21-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110-12-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Federal Emergency Management Agency

#### 44 CFR Part 67

[Docket No. FEMA-D-7808]

#### Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations; Correction

**AGENCY:** Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects the table to a proposed rule published in the **Federal Register** of July 25, 2007. This correction clarifies the table

representing the flooding source(s), location of referenced elevation, the effective and modified elevation in feet and the communities affected for Henderson County, North Carolina, and Incorporated Areas; specifically, for flooding source "Boylston Creek," than was previously published.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William R. Blanton, Jr., Engineering Management Branch, Mitigation Directorate, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646-2903.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) publishes proposed determinations of Base (1-percent-annual-chance) Flood Elevations (BFEs) and modified BFEs for communities participating in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4104, and 44 CFR 67.4(a).

These proposed BFEs and modified BFEs, together with the floodplain management criteria required by 44 CFR 60.3, are the minimum that are required. They should not be construed to mean that the community must change any

existing ordinances that are more stringent in their floodplain management requirements. The community may at any time enact stricter requirements of its own, or pursuant to policies established by other Federal, State, or regional entities. These proposed BFEs are used to meet the floodplain management requirements of the NFIP and are also used to calculate the appropriate flood insurance premium rates for new buildings built after these elevations are made final, and for the contents in these buildings.

#### Correction

In proposed rule FR Doc. 07-3615, beginning on page 40791 in the issue of July 25, 2007, make the following corrections, in the table published under the authority of 44 CFR 67.4. On page 40791, in § 67.4, in the table with center heading Henderson County, North Carolina, and Incorporated Areas, the flooding source, location of referenced elevation, the effective and modified elevation in feet and the communities affected for flooding source "Boylston Creek", needs to be corrected to read as follows:

Flooding source(s)	Location of referenced elevation**	* Elevation in feet (NGVD) + Elevation in feet (NAVD) # Depth in feet above ground		Communities affected
		Effective	Modified	
*	*	*	*	*
<b>Henderson County, North Carolina, and Incorporated Areas</b>				
*	*	*	*	*
Boylston Creek .....	Approximately 50 feet downstream of Banner Farm Road.	+2,173	+2,072	Town of Mills River.
	Approximately 230 feet upstream of Turkey Pen Gap Road.	None	+2,190	
*	*	*	*	*

Dated: February 12, 2008.

David I. Maurstad,

Federal Insurance Administrator of the  
National Flood Insurance Program,  
Department of Homeland Security, Federal  
Emergency Management Agency.

[FR Doc. E8-3368 Filed 2-21-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-12-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

#### 50 CFR Part 17

[FWS-R2-ES-2008-0031; 92220-1113-  
0000-C3]

RIN 1018-AU68

#### Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Establishment of a Nonessential Experimental Population of Rio Grande Silvery Minnow in the Big Bend Reach of the Rio Grande in Texas

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service,  
Interior.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; notice of  
availability of draft environmental  
assessment; reopening of public  
comment period.

**SUMMARY:** We, the U.S. Fish and  
Wildlife Service (Service), announce the  
reopening of the public comment period  
on the proposed rule and draft  
environmental assessment regarding our  
proposal to establish a nonessential  
experimental population of Rio Grande  
silvery minnow (*Hybognathus amarus*),  
a Federally listed endangered fish, into  
its historic habitat in the Big Bend reach  
of the Rio Grande in Presidio, Brewster,  
and Terrell counties, Texas.

Comments previously submitted on  
the proposed rule or draft EA need not  
be resubmitted as they have been  
incorporated into the public record and  
will be fully considered in preparation  
of the final rule and final environmental  
assessment.

**DATES:** We will accept public comments  
received or postmarked on or before  
March 10, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments  
by one of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the  
instructions for submitting comments.

- *U.S. mail or hand-delivery:* Public  
Comments Processing, Attn: RIN 1018-  
AU68; Division of Policy and Directives  
Management; U.S. Fish and Wildlife  
Service; 4401 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite  
222; Arlington, VA 22203.

We will not accept e-mail or faxes. We  
will post all comments on [http://](http://www.regulations.gov)

[www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). This generally  
means that we will post any personal  
information you provide us (see the  
Public Comments Solicited section  
below for more information).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Adam Zerrenner, Field Supervisor,  
Austin Ecological Services Field Office,  
10711 Burnet Road, Suite 200, Austin,  
TX 78758; telephone 512/490-0057;  
facsimile 512/490-0974. If you use a  
telecommunications device for the deaf  
(TDD), call the Federal Information  
Relay Service (FIRS) at 800-877-8339.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background and Additional Information

We propose to reestablish the Rio  
Grande silvery minnow under section  
10(j) of the Endangered Species Act of  
1973, as amended (Act), and to classify  
it as a nonessential experimental  
population (NEP) (72 FR 50918). On the  
Rio Grande, the geographic boundaries  
of the NEP would extend from Little  
Box Canyon downstream of Fort  
Quitman, Hudspeth County, Texas,  
through Big Bend National Park and the  
Rio Grande Wild and Scenic River, to  
Amistad Dam and the nearby railroad  
bridge (Big Bend reach of the Rio  
Grande). On the Pecos River, the  
geographic boundaries of the NEP  
would extend from the river's  
confluence with Independence Creek to  
its confluence with the Rio Grande. This  
proposed reestablishment is part of the  
recovery actions that the Service,  
Federal and State agencies, and other  
partners are conducting throughout the  
species' historic range. The proposed  
rule provides a plan for establishing the  
NEP and provides for limited allowable  
legal taking of Rio Grande silvery  
minnows within the defined NEP area.  
A draft environmental assessment (EA)  
has been prepared on this proposed  
action and is available for comment (see  
**ADDRESSES** section below).

For background and other information  
on the proposed rule and draft EA, refer  
to our notice published in the **Federal  
Register** on September 5, 2007 (72 FR  
50918).

##### Public Comments Solicited

On September 5, 2007, we published  
a proposed rule, notice of availability of  
a draft environmental assessment, and  
notice of a public hearing regarding our  
proposal to establish a nonessential  
experimental population of the Rio  
Grande silvery minnow in the Big Bend  
reach of the Rio Grande in Texas (72 FR  
50918). We accepted public comments  
regarding the proposed rule and draft  
environmental assessment for 60 days,

ending November 5, 2007. During the  
comment period, we held a public  
hearing on October 10, 2007, in Alpine,  
Texas. In response to requests from  
interested parties, we are reopening the  
comment period for an additional 15  
days (see **DATES**) to offer all interested  
parties an opportunity to submit data  
and information to be considered in  
developing a final rule and final EA.

We want the final rule to be as  
effective as possible and the final EA on  
the proposed action to evaluate all  
potential issues associated with this  
action. Therefore, we invite the public,  
Tribal and government agencies, the  
scientific community, industry, and  
other interested parties to submit  
comments or recommendations not  
previously submitted concerning any  
aspect of the proposed rule and the draft  
EA. Comments should be as specific as  
possible.

To issue a final rule to implement this  
proposed action and to determine  
whether to prepare a finding of no  
significant impact or an environmental  
impact statement, we will take into  
consideration all comments and any  
additional information we receive. Such  
communications may lead to a final rule  
that differs from this proposal.

You may submit your comments and  
materials concerning the proposed rule  
and draft EA by one of the methods  
listed in the **ADDRESSES** section. We will  
not accept comments sent by e-mail or  
fax or to an address not listed in the  
**ADDRESSES** section. We will not accept  
anonymous comments; your comments  
must include your first and last name,  
city, State, country, and postal (zip)  
code. Finally, we will not consider  
hand-delivered comments that we do  
not receive, or mailed comments that  
are not postmarked by the date specified  
in the **DATES** section. Comments must be  
submitted to

<http://www.regulations.gov> before  
midnight (Eastern Standard Time) on  
the date specified in the **DATES** section.

We will post your entire comment—  
including your personal identifying  
information—on [http://](http://www.regulations.gov)  
[www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). If you provide  
personal identifying information in  
addition to the required items specified  
on the previous paragraph, such as your  
street address, phone number, or e-mail  
address, you may request at the top of  
your document we withhold this  
information from public review.  
However, we cannot guarantee that we  
will be able to do so.

Comments and materials we receive,  
as well as supporting documentation we  
used in preparing this proposed rule,  
will be available for public inspection  
on <http://www.regulations.gov>, or by