

119.09 percent to 159.34 percent. *See* Enclosure 4 of the January 30, 2008, supplement to the Petition.

### Initiation of Antidumping Investigation

Based upon the examination of the Petition on SDGE from the PRC, the Department finds that the Petition meets the requirements of section 732 of the Act. Therefore, we are initiating an antidumping duty investigation to determine whether imports of SDGE from the PRC are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value. In accordance with section 733(b)(1)(A) of the Act, unless postponed, we will make our preliminary determination no later than 140 days after the date of this initiation.

### Separate Rates

In order to obtain separate-rate status in NME investigations, exporters and producers must submit a separate-rate status application. *See* Policy Bulletin 05.1: Separate-Rates Practice and Application of Combination Rates in Antidumping Investigations Involving Non-Market Economy Countries (April 5, 2005) (Separate Rates and Combination Rates Bulletin), available on the Department's website at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/policy/bull05-1.pdf>. Based on our experience in processing the separate-rate applications in previous antidumping duty investigations, we have modified the application for this investigation to make it more administrable and easier for applicants to complete. *See, e.g., Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Certain New Pneumatic Off-the-Road Tires From the People's Republic of China*, 72 FR 43591, 43594-95 (August 6, 2007). The specific requirements for submitting the separate-rate application in this investigation are outlined in detail in the application itself, which will be available on the Department's website at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/ia-highlights-and-news.html> on the date of publication of this initiation notice in the **Federal Register**. The separate-rate application will be due 60 days after publication of this initiation notice.

### Respondent Selection

For this investigation, the Department intends to select respondents based on U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) data for U.S. imports under HTSUS number 8545.11.0000 during the POI. We intend to make our decision regarding respondent selection within 20 days of publication of this **Federal Register** notice. The Department invites comments regarding the CBP data and respondent selection within seven days

of publication of this **Federal Register** notice.

### Use of Combination Rates in an NME Investigation

The Department will calculate combination rates for certain respondents that are eligible for a separate rate in this investigation. The Separate Rates and Combination Rates Bulletin, states:

{w}hile continuing the practice of assigning separate rates only to exporters, all separate rates that the Department will now assign in its NME investigations will be specific to those producers that supplied the exporter during the period of investigation. Note, however, that one rate is calculated for the exporter and all of the producers which supplied subject merchandise to it during the period of investigation. This practice applies both to mandatory respondents receiving an individually calculated separate rate as well as the pool of non-investigated firms receiving the weighted-average of the individually calculated rates. This practice is referred to as the application of "combination rates" because such rates apply to specific combinations of exporters and one or more producers. The cash-deposit rate assigned to an exporter will apply only to merchandise both exported by the firm in question *and* produced by a firm that supplied the exporter during the period of investigation. (Emphasis in original.)

*See Separate Rates and Combination Rates Bulletin at 6.*

### Distribution of Copies of the Petition

In accordance with section 732(b)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.202(f), copies of the public version of the Petition have been provided to the representatives of the Government of the PRC. We will attempt to provide a copy of the public version of the Petition to the foreign producers/exporters, consistent with 19 CFR 351.203(c)(2).

### International Trade Commission Notification

We have notified the ITC of our initiation, as required by section 732(d) of the Act.

### Preliminary Determination by the International Trade Commission

The ITC will preliminarily determine, no later than March 3, 2008, whether there is a reasonable indication that

imports of SDGE from the PRC are materially injuring, or threatening material injury to, a U.S. industry. A negative ITC determination will result in the investigation being terminated; otherwise, this investigation will proceed according to statutory and regulatory time limits.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: February 6, 2008.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E8-2646 Filed 2-12-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-469-814]

### Chlorinated Isocyanurates from Spain: Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received a request for a new shipper review under the antidumping duty order on chlorinated isocyanurates from Spain issued on June 24, 2005. *See Chlorinated Isocyanurates from Spain: Notice of Antidumping Duty Order*, 70 FR 36562 (June 24, 2005). In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.214(c), we are initiating an antidumping new shipper review of Inquide Flix, S.A., (Inquide). The period of review (POR) of this new shipper review is June 1, 2007 through November 30, 2007.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 13, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Scott Lindsay, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0780.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(c), the Department received a timely request from Inquide, a producer and exporter of chlorinated isocyanurates, for a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on chlorinated isocyanurates from Spain. *See* December 28, 2007, submission

from Inquide requesting a new shipper review.

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b), Inquide certified that it is both an exporter and producer of the subject merchandise, that it did not export subject merchandise to the United States during the period of the investigation (POI) (April 1, 2003 through March 31, 2004), and that since the investigation was initiated, it has not been affiliated with any producer or exporter who exported the subject merchandise to the United States during the POI. It also submitted documentation establishing the date on which it first shipped the subject merchandise to the United States, the volume of that shipment, and the date of its first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States. It also certified it had no shipments to the United States during the period subsequent to its first shipment.

The Department conducted a Customs database query in an attempt to confirm that Inquide's shipments of subject merchandise entered the United States for consumption and that liquidation of such entries had been suspended for antidumping duties. See January 31, 2008 New Shipper Review Initiation Checklist, question 18. The Department also examined whether U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) confirmed that such entries were made during the new shipper review period.

#### Initiation of Review

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and section 351.214(d) of the Department's regulations, we find that the request Inquide submitted meets the threshold requirements for initiation of a new shipper review. See *Memorandum to the File from Scott Lindsay, Trade Analyst, through Thomas Gilgunn, Program Manager, New Shipper Initiation Checklist*, dated, January 31, 2008. Accordingly, we are initiating a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on chlorinated isocyanurates from Spain produced and exported by Inquide. Although Inquide's request meets the threshold requirements for initiation, there are a few issues of concern that the Department has with Inquide's new shipper review request. Therefore, immediately following the initiation of this review, the Department intends to issue a questionnaire to Inquide to clarify these issues. This review covers the period June 1, 2007 through November 30, 2007. We intend to issue the preliminary results of this review no later than 180 days after the date on which this review is initiated, and the final results within 90 days after

the date on which we issue the preliminary results. See section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act.

On August 17, 2006, the Pension Protection Act of 2006 (H.R. 4) was signed into law. Section 1632 of H.R. 4 temporarily suspends the authority of the Department to instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to collect a bond or other security in lieu of a cash deposit in new shipper reviews. Therefore, the posting of a bond under section 751(a)(2)(B)(iii) of the Act in lieu of a cash deposit is not available in this case. Importers of chlorinated isocyanurates produced and exported by Inquide must continue to post cash deposits of estimated antidumping duties on each entry of subject merchandise (i.e., chlorinated isocyanurates) at the current all-others rate of 24.83 percent.

Interested parties may submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective order in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 351.306.

This initiation and this notice are issued and published in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and sections 351.214 and 351.221(c)(1)(i) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: January 31, 2008.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E8-2645 Filed 2-12-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-922]

#### Notice of Correction of Postponement of Preliminary Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Raw Flexible Magnets from the People's Republic of China

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 13, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Melissa Blackledge or Shawn Higgins, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3518 or (202) 482-0679, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### CORRECTION:

On January 31, 2008, the Department of Commerce (the "Department") published the notice of postponement of the preliminary determination of the antidumping duty investigation of raw flexible magnets from the People's Republic of China. See *Notice of Postponement of Preliminary Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Raw Flexible Magnets from the People's Republic of China*, 73 FR 5794 (January 31, 2008) ("Postponement Notice"). Subsequent to the signature of the *Postponement Notice*, we identified two inadvertent errors in the above-referenced notice.

In the *Postponement Notice*, under the "*Postponement of Preliminary Determination*" section, the Department mistakenly identified October 18, 2007, rather than October 11, 2007, as the date the Department initiated this investigation. The *Postponement Notice* should have stated, "On October 11, 2007, the Department of Commerce (the "Department") initiated the antidumping duty investigation of raw flexible magnets from the People's Republic of China. See *Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Raw Flexible Magnets from the People's Republic of China and Taiwan*, 72 FR 59071 (October 18, 2007) ("*Initiation Notice*")." Second, in the same section of the *Postponement Notice*, the Department incorrectly identified April 19, 2008, rather than April 18, 2008, as the extended due date of the preliminary determination. The *Postponement Notice* should have stated, "For the reasons identified by the Petitioner, and because there are no compelling reasons to deny the request, the Department is postponing the preliminary determination under section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), by fifty days from February 28, 2008 to April 18, 2008."

#### Conclusion

This notice serves to correct both the date of initiation of this investigation and the extended due date of the preliminary determination as listed in the *Postponement Notice*. This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: February 7, 2008.

**Gary Taverman,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E8-2647 Filed 2-12-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**