

the consistency achieved among the EPA Regions and states, the resources required to conduct the reviews, and the overall effectiveness of the program.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations in 40 CFR are listed in 40 CFR part 9.

**Burden Statement:** The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 612 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements which have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

**Respondents/Affected Entities:** 50 states and 4 territories.

**Estimated Number of Respondents:** 54.

**Frequency of Response:** Once every four years.

**Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden:** 11,016.

**Estimated Total Annual Cost:** \$357,684, includes \$0 annualized capital or O&M costs.

**Changes in the Estimates:** There is an increase of 5,894 hours in the total estimated burden currently identified in the OMB Inventory of Approved ICR Burdens. This increase is based on EPA's recent experience with administering the program, an estimated increase in the number of respondents during the next cycle, and its work with the states to try to improve the value and utilization of the elements and metrics by which state environmental programs are measured.

Dated: November 6, 2008.

**John Moses,**

*Acting Director, Collection Strategies Division.*

[FR Doc. E8-27111 Filed 11-13-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[AMS-FRL-8740-9]

### California State Nonroad Engine Pollution Control Standards; California Nonroad Compression Ignition Engines—In-Use Fleets; Authorization Request; Extension of Comment Period

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice; Extension of Comment Period.

**SUMMARY:** EPA previously announced the opportunity for public hearing and written comment on the California Air Resources Board's request for an authorization of its regulations for fleets that operate nonroad, diesel fueled equipment with engines 25 horsepower (hp) and greater and that require such fleets to meet fleet average emissions standards for oxides of nitrogen and particulate matter, or, alternatively, to comply with best available control technology requirements for the vehicles in those fleets. This previous announcement occurred on October 7, 2008, at 72 FR 58385. By this notice EPA is announcing an extension of the written comment period from November 28, 2008 to December 19, 2008.

**DATES:** The written comment period is extended to December 19, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2008-0691, by one of the following methods:

- <http://www.regulations.gov>: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

- E-mail: [a-and-r-docket@epa.gov](mailto:a-and-r-docket@epa.gov).

- Fax: (202) 566-1741.

- Mail: Air and Radiation Docket, Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2008-0691, Environmental Protection Agency, Mailcode: 6102T, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460. Please include a total of two copies.

- Hand Delivery: EPA Docket Center, Public Reading Room, EPA West Building, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

**Instructions:** Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2008-0691. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any

personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through <http://www.regulations.gov> or e-mail. The <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through <http://www.regulations.gov>, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses. For additional information about EPA's public docket visit the EPA Docket Center homepage at <http://www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm>.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Dickinson, Compliance and Innovative Strategies Division (6405J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave, NW., Washington, DC 20460. Telephone: (202) 343-9256, Fax: (202) 343-2804, e-mail address: [Dickinson.David@EPA.GOV](mailto:Dickinson.David@EPA.GOV).

Dated: November 7, 2008.

**Robert J. Meyers,**

*Principal Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Air and Radiation.*

[FR Doc. E8-27103 Filed 11-13-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-8587-6]

### Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared Pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for

copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202-564-7146. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 6, 2008 (73 FR 19833).

#### Draft EISs

*EIS No. 20080336, ERP No. D-AFS-65557-OR*, Farley Vegetation Management Project, To Conduct Timber Harvest Commercial and Non-Commercial Thinning, Fuels Treatment Prescribed Burning and Reforestation, Desolation Creek, North Fork John Day Ranger District, Umatilla National Forest, Grant County, OR.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns about wildfire analysis, potential unauthorized public vehicle use, and water quality impacts from grazing. EPA recommends that the final EIS include assurances that appropriate subsequent treatments will be conducted to maintain the decreases in fire risk. Also, the final EIS should consider increasing riparian plantings and enclosures and modifying project design elements for road closure/decommissioning enforcement and monitoring. Rating EC2.

*EIS No. 20080344, ERP No. D-COE-F39042-MN*, Mississippi River Headwaters Reservoir Operating Plan Evaluation (ROPE), Proposed Revision to the Operating Plan for the Reservoirs, Upper Mississippi River Headwaters, Bemidji to St. Paul, MN.

*Summary:* EPA expressed concerns about impacts to water quality, migratory bird populations and tribal harvests of wild rice. EPA also recommended that the Final EIS include additional detailed and quantitative information and analyses regarding the potential environmental impacts, as well as more information regarding the project purpose and need. Rating EC2.

*EIS No. 20080345, ERP No. D-USN-E11067-NC*, Navy Cherry Point Range Complex, Proposed Action is to Support and Conduct Current and Emerging Training and Research, Development, Testing and Evaluation (RDT&E) Activities, South Atlantic Bight, Cape Hatteras, NC.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns about the deposition of expended training materials and their potential impacts over time to reef complexes and hard bottom habitat. Rating EC2.

*EIS No. 20080348, ERP No. D-USN-E11068-00*, Undersea Warfare Training Range Project, Installation and Operation, Preferred Site Jacksonville Operating Area, FL and

Alternative Sites (within the Charleston, SC; Cherry Point, NC; and VACAPES Operating Areas, VA.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns about the deposition of expended training materials and their potential impacts over time to reef complexes and hard bottom habitat. Rating EC2.

*EIS No. 20080395, ERP No. D-AFS-J65523-00*, Sioux Ranger District Travel Management Project, To Designate the Road and Trail and Areas Suitable for Public Motorized Travel, Sioux Ranger District, Custer National Forest, Carter County of MT and Harding County of South Dakota.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns about potential effects to water quality, aquatic habitat, wildlife and other resources from roads and motorized uses. EPA supports the preferred alternative (B) and recommends further reductions in motorized routes in areas with high hazard (erosive) soils and in high risk watersheds. Rating EC2.

*EIS No. 20080396, ERP No. D-AFS-J65524-MT*, Ashland Ranger District Travel Management Project, Proposing to Designate Routes for Public Motorized Use, Ashland Ranger District, Custer National Forest, Rosebud and Power River Counties, MT.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns about potential adverse impacts to water quality, aquatic habitat, wildlife and other resources from roads and motorized uses. EPA supports the preferred alternative (B), but recommends further reductions in motorized routes in areas with high hazard (erosive) soils and in high risk watersheds. Rating EC2.

*EIS No. 20080356, ERP No. DS-AFS-K65298-CA*, Kings River Project, New Information regarding Pacific Fisher (*Martes pennanti*), Proposal to Restore Historical Pre-1850 Forest Conditions, Implementation, High Sierra Ranger District, Sierra National Forest, Fresno County, CA.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns about the Proposed Action that would result in a more intensive level of logging than is currently allowed. As a result, the project increases the risk of short-term and cumulative impacts to aquatic and late successional forest species. Rating EC2.

#### Final EISs

*EIS No. 20080337, ERP No. F-BLM-J65502-00*, PROGRAMMATIC EIS—Oil Shale and Tar Sands Resource

Management (RMP) Amendments to Address Land Use Allocations in Colorado, Utah and Wyoming.

*Summary:* EPA continues to have environmental concerns about impacts to surface water and groundwater; air quality; and the adequacy of the cumulative impacts analysis.

*EIS No. 20080379, ERP No. F-AFS-G65100-NM*, Santa Fe National Forest Plan Amendment for Oil & Gas Leasing and Roads Management, Implementation, San Juan Basin, Cuba Ranger District, NM.

*Summary:* No formal comments were sent to the preparing agency.

*EIS No. 20080382, ERP No. F-AFS-G65104-NM*, Surface Management of Gas Leasing and Development, Proposes to Amend the Forest Plan include Standard and Guidelines Related to Gas Leasing and Development in the Jicarilla Ranger District, Carson National Forest, Rio Arriba County, NM.

*Summary:* No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

*EIS No. 20080390, ERP No. F-BLM-J67034-MT*, Montana Tunnels Mine Project, Proposed M-Pit Mine Expansion to Existing Mine Pit to Access and Mine Additional Ore Resources, Jefferson County, MT.

*Summary:* EPA continues to have environmental concerns about potential geochemical, hydrological and long-term water quality uncertainties and adequacy of financial assurances to address potential closure/post-closure environmental contamination.

*EIS No. 20080402, ERP No. F-AFS-J65459-MT*, Whitetail-Pipestone Travel Management, Develop Site-Specific Travel Management Plan, Jefferson and Butte Ranger Districts, Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, Jefferson and Silver Bow Counties, MT.

*Summary:* EPA continues to express environmental concerns about potential effects to water quality, aquatic habitat, and other resources from roads and motorized uses.

Dated: November 10, 2008.

**Ken Mittelholtz,**

*Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.*

[FR Doc. E8-27108 Filed 11-13-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P