

Commission proposes that the STS provider should be required to utilize an interactive menu that provides an option for reaching an STS CA in order to ensure that STS users calling 711 will promptly reach an STS CA to handle their calls. For instance, after an STS caller dials 711 and reaches the provider, the caller would reach an STS CA by pressing one additional number on the telephone. Finally, the Commission invites comment on its tentative conclusion that IP STS is a form of TRS eligible for compensation from the Interstate TRS Fund.

15. The Commission concludes that these proposed changes may be necessary to improve the effectiveness and quality of STS and IP STS services so that users may receive a functionally equivalent telephone service, as mandated by Title IV of the Americans With Disabilities Act.

16. The Commission believes that none of these proposed changes would impose a significant burden on providers, including small businesses. However, if the proposed changes may result in additional financial burden on the part of the affected providers, including small entities, the providers will be promptly reimbursed from the Interstate TRS Fund for the costs of complying with the proposed rules, if adopted. Entities, especially small businesses, are encouraged to quantify the costs and benefits of any reporting requirement that may be established in this proceeding.

17. The modifications the Commission proposes consist of policies aimed at achieving a functionally equivalent telephone service for TRS users and are not expected to have a substantial economic impact upon providers, including small businesses, because each small business will receive financial compensation for reasonable costs incurred rather than absorb an uncompensated financial loss or hardship.

18. With regard to whether a substantial number of small entities may be affected by the requirements proposed in the *STS and IP STS NPRM*, the Commission notes that, of the 7 providers affected by the *STS and IP STS NPRM*, only one meets the definition of a small entity. The SBA has developed a small business size standard for Wired Telecommunications Carriers, which consists of all such firms having 1,500 or fewer employees. Seven providers currently receive compensation from the Interstate TRS Fund for providing STS: AT&T Corp.; GoAmerica, Inc.; Hamilton Relay, Inc.; Nordia Inc.; Kansas Relay Service, Inc.; State of Michigan and Sprint. Because

only one of the providers would be affected by the *STS and IP STS NPRM*, if adopted, is deemed to be small entities under the SBA's small business size standard, the Commission concludes that the number of small entities potentially affected by the Commission's proposed rules in the *STS and IP STS NPRM* is not substantial.

19. Moreover, given that all providers potentially affected by the proposed rules, including the one that is deemed to be a small entity under the SBA's standard, would be entitled to receive prompt reimbursement for its reasonable costs of compliance, the Commission concludes that the *STS and IP STS NPRM*, if adopted, will not have a significant economic impact on small entities.

20. Therefore, the Commission certifies that the proposals in the *STS and IP STS NPRM*, if adopted, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

#### Ordering Clauses

Pursuant to sections 1, 4(i) and (o), 225, 255, 303(r), 403, 624(g), and 706 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 151, 154(i) and (o), 225, 255, 303(r), 403, 554(g), and 606, the *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking is adopted*.

The Commission's Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, Reference Information Center, shall send a copy of the *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*, including the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Certification, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration.

Federal Communications Commission.

**William F. Caton,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E8-18616 Filed 8-12-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712-01-P**

#### FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

##### 47 CFR Part 73

[DA 08-1710; MB Docket No. 08-86; RM-11432]

#### Radio Broadcasting Services; Custer, MI

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This document sets forth a proposal to amend the FM Table of Allotments, Section 73.202(b) of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 73.202(b). The Commission requests comment on a petition filed by Roy E. Henderson.

Petitioner proposes the substitution of FM Channel 227A for vacant Channel 263A at Custer, Michigan. The purpose of the requested channel substitution at Custer is to eliminate a short-spacing with the facilities proposed in an application to upgrade the facilities of Station WCUZ(FM) at Bear Lake, Michigan, from FM Channel 261A to Channel 264C3. Channel 227A can be allotted at Custer in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 13.1 km (8.1 miles) northeast of Custer. The proposed coordinates for Channel 227A at Custer are 44-03-28 North Latitude and 85-08-56 West Longitude. Concurrence by the Government of Canada is required for the allotment of Channel 227A at Custer, Michigan, because the proposed allotment is located within 320 kilometers (200 miles) of the U.S.-Canadian border. See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** *infra*.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before September 15, 2008, and reply comments on or before September 30, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve petitioner's counsel as follows: Robert J. Buenzle, Esq., Law Offices of Robert J. Buenzle, 11710 Plaza America Drive, Suite 2000, Reston, Virginia 20190.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Deborah A. Dupont, Media Bureau (202) 418-7072.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's *Notice of Proposed Rule Making*, MB Docket No. 08-26, adopted July 23, 2008, and released July 25, 2008. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center (Room CY-A257), 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc., 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, (800) 378-3160, or via the company's Web site, <http://www.bcpweb.com>. This document does not contain proposed information collection requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. In addition, therefore, it does not contain any proposed information collection burden "for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees," pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of

2002, Public Law 107–198, *see* 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(4).

The Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding. Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. *See* 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, *see* 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

#### List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio, Radio broadcasting.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR part 73 as follows:

#### PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334, 336.

##### § 73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Michigan, is amended by removing Channel 263A and by adding Channel 227A at Custer.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Assistant Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. E8–18614 Filed 8–12–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

#### GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

##### 48 CFR Parts 501, 549, and 552

[GSAR Case 2008–G515; Docket 2008–0007; Sequence 17]

RIN 3090–AI62

#### General Services Acquisition Regulation; GSAR Case 2008–G515; Rewrite of GSAR Part 549, Termination of Contracts

**AGENCY:** Office of the Chief Acquisition Officer, General Services Administration (GSA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The General Services Administration (GSA) is proposing to amend the General Services Acquisition Regulation (GSAR) to revise language

that provides requirements for termination of contracts.

**DATES:** Interested parties should submit written comments to the Regulatory Secretariat on or before October 14, 2008 to be considered in the formulation of a final rule.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments identified by GSAR Case 2008–G515 by any of the following methods:

- Regulations.gov: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by inputting “GSAR Case 2008–G515” under the heading “Comment or Submission”. Select the link “Send a Comment or Submission” that corresponds with GSAR Case 2008–G515. Follow the instructions provided to complete the “Public Comment and Submission Form”. Please include your name, company name (if any), and “GSAR Case 2008–G515” on your attached document.

- Fax: 202–501–4067.

- Mail: General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat (VPR), 1800 F Street, NW, Room 4041, ATTN: Laurieann Duarte, Washington, DC 20405.

**Instructions:** Please submit comments only and cite GSAR Case 2008–G515 in all correspondence related to this case. All comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal and/or business confidential information provided.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For clarification of content, contact Ms. Jeritta Parnell at (202) 501–4082, or by e-mail at [jeritta.parnell@gsa.gov](mailto:jeritta.parnell@gsa.gov). For information pertaining to the status or publication schedules, contact the Regulatory Secretariat (VPR), Room 4041, GS Building, Washington, DC 20405, (202) 501–4755. Please cite GSAR Case 2008–G515.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### A. Background

The General Services Administration (GSA) is amending the General Services Administration Acquisition Regulation (GSAR) to delete two outdated clauses and the prescriptions for the two clauses. This proposed rule is a result of the General Services Administration Acquisition Manual (GSAM) rewrite initiative. The initiative was undertaken by GSA to revise the GSAM so as to maintain consistency with the FAR and implement streamlined and innovative acquisition procedures that contractors, offerors, and GSA contracting personnel can use when entering into and administering contractual relationships. The GSAM incorporates the General

Services Administration Acquisition Regulation (GSAR) as well as internal agency acquisition policy.

GSA will rewrite each part of the GSAR and GSAM, and as each GSAR part is rewritten, GSA will publish it in the **Federal Register**.

This proposed rule revises GSAR Part 549 by deleting the prescriptive language at section 549.502 for two outdated clauses. The clause at 552.249–70, Termination for Convenience of the Government (Fixed Price) (Short Form), and the clause at 552.249–71, Submission of Termination Liability Schedule, are being deleted. These are two GSA-unique clauses for acquisition and maintenance of telephone systems funded through the Information Technology (IT) Fund. This fund no longer exists. These clauses are obsolete.

#### Discussion of Comments

There were no comments received in response to the “Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking” at 71 FR 7910, February 15, 2006, pertaining to this GSAR Part 549.

This is not a significant regulatory action and, therefore, was not subject to review under Section 6(b) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, dated September 30, 1993. This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804.

#### B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The General Services Administration does not expect this proposed rule to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.*, because the revisions are not considered substantive. The revisions delete obsolete coverage. An Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis has, therefore, not been performed. We invite comments from small businesses and other interested parties. GSA will consider comments from small entities concerning the affected GSAR Parts 501, 549, and 552 in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 610. Interested parties must submit such comments separately and should cite 5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.* (GSAR case 2008–G515), in correspondence.

#### C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the proposed changes to the GSAM do not impose information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.*